

ASSASSIN 1:

Was this man Bob Kice?



Answer: No.

Why Not?: *Too short.* Note assassin 2 to his left. There is a humongous *height discrepancy** between them. In other words, we don't need to know the exact heights of the assassins. *Whatever* was the height of assassin 1 in feet and inches will also tell us how tall is assassin 2. They are standing side by side so we can measure the height discrepancy *proportionally*.

For example, as detailed in "Eyewitness Identifications?: The FBI Report Versus the On-Site NBC Film Footage" (see panel 11,) if the short assassin in position 1 was just 5'3", the "giant" assassin in position 2 would have to have been 6'9".

Which would rule out *both of them!* Bob Kice was many inches taller than 5'3" and *no one* at Jonestown was as tall as 6'9"!

So let's raise the height of assassin 1. Let's say 5'6-1/2", at least closer to the average height of a man and closer as well to what was the height of Bob Kice. Well then, assassin 2 is now by *proportional* measurement, 7'1-1/2"! Yet more unlikely; indeed, impossible!



**ASSASSINS 1, 2 & 3 WITH HEIGHTS
AND BODY BUILD AS MARKED**

ASSASSIN 1: TOO SHORT

* Note regarding *height discrepancy*: Assassin 2 was fiercely kinetic; seen to jump, leap, stomp, stoop, swerve, all of which distort the appearance of height on film. This is one of the few frames of Assassin 2 stretched out to near-full height; indeed, his knees are still bent so he might have been an inch or two taller. Assassin 1, by contrast, had no need for maneuvers that might contort the appearance of his height on film. He was slowly inching out from behind the trailer with head upright and arms by his side, so his full height is visible. Thus this height comparison is accurate: full height to full height.