

Conspiracy

RIA-1

# Victims of Conspiracy

*"This is an organized, orchestrated, premeditated, government campaign to destroy a politically progressive church..."*

*—Charles Garry*

**YOU CAN NEVER BELIEVE IT — UNTIL IT HAPPENS TO YOU!**

Peoples Temple and Rev. Jim Jones have been maligned by some of the news media and have been the brunt of vicious lies for months. The outlandish lies have been carried repeatedly on the front pages of some newspapers, while articles that vindicate us seldom appear anywhere but on the back pages near the obituary column.

We are responsible for saving many lives from drug addiction, crime, and anti-social behavior. Even from terrorism. And taxpayers have been saved perhaps a million dollars a year by the kinds of work we have done with youth headed into delinquency. And yet we are harassed. Obviously, anyone can see the lies perpetrated against us are nothing but a smear.

It is hard to know whom to trust among reporters. Some very responsible and successful reporters know and believe in our good works, but their hands are tied for some reason. We don't even want to ask some reporters who would print the truth about Peoples Temple to come under the kind of harassment it would cause them...the kind of harassment we have been under and endured. In many cases we have remained silent rather than bring the heat of this controversy against us down on some conscientious news reporters.

We are not sure anybody is listening or that many care. We would not be surprised if we were short-lived. But this is the way **DEMOCRACY DIES, AND WE OWE IT TO THOSE COMING AFTER US TO TELL WHAT CAN HAPPEN TO THEM**—whether anybody cares what happens to us or not.

*Inside are the facts about a conspiracy against good people. Maybe you can never believe it—UNTIL IT HAPPENS TO YOU!*

RI-A-1-a

When Hitler came for the Communists, I didn't speak up, for I wasn't a Communist.  
When he arrested the Jews, I didn't protest because I wasn't a Jew.  
When the Nazis arrested the trade unionists, I didn't protest, for I wasn't in a union.  
Then they came after the Catholics, but I didn't speak out because I was not Catholic.  
When they came for me it was TOO LATE... There was no one left to stand up for me.  
—Rev. Martin Niemöller

## TEMPLE GOOD WORKS VICIOUSLY ATTACKED WHILE EX-NAZI BUTCHER GOES FREE

Have you heard of the ex-Nazi who ordered the execution of 6,000—10,000 Romanian Jews during Hitler's fascist reich who is living in the United States—now the Bishop of an entire orthodox denomination in this country?

Probably not. News of this butcher is scarce, even though he had the bodies of tens of thousands of Jews stamped "Kosher meat" and hung from meat hooks in a slaughterhouse—even though he has been indicted for lying to the U.S. Immigration Service in order to obtain his U.S. citizenship—there is hardly one word said about him. He moves about freely.

Yet more and more "news"—outrageously biased articles and outright lies—have been printed about Jim Jones day after day.

Why? We think we have an idea. Among the sources used in most of the vicious stories against the Temple is an admitted Nazi. The Temple, in its community newspaper, the Peoples Forum, has openly printed the truth about this ex-Nazi butcher who poses as a "man of God". We have consistently run articles exposing the activities of neo-Nazi groups in the U.S. and right here in San Francisco. We were warned months ago not to touch that subject or those people. Yet we have felt strongly that somebody had to print the truth about these NAZIS who are thriving in our midst.

It is very strange that some of the people used as sources for the disparaging articles were outright advocates of terrorism....And that some of the harassment and threats we have been subjected to we have traced to NAZIS....And that the tactic of using the media to lay down a relentless barrage of bad publicity to destroy someone was perfected in NAZI Germany by Hitler's propaganda minister Joseph Goebbels....

### *BLACKMAIL ATTEMPT: Dennis Banks Is Offered a Deal for His Freedom.*

The following are excerpts from the personal testimony of DENNIS BANKS, American Indian Movement leader:

"He (David Conn) said that my association with Peoples Temple could reflect very badly on my extradition. He then asked me to make a public denunciation of Jim Jones. He assured me that if I made such a denunciation, the rulings in my extradition would go in my favor.

"Conn was obviously trying to make a deal with me, and I was being blackmailed. Conn let me know that besides working with Treasury agents and other government agents, that he was already working with ex-members of the Peoples Temple, such as Grace Stoen....

"Conn pressed hard for me to meet with a U.S. Treasury Department agent alone that very night. These agents all knew I had a lot hanging over me. Besides the extradition (which to me is certainly a life and death matter), I also had a case in Federal Court in which the Treasury Department was involved.

"It was not just a matter of Conn indicating that it would go well with me if I cooperated, but the implication was that if I didn't cooperate, it would go badly for me.

"Conn also said that he in no way wanted this information revealed for fear that it would 'blow their cover' and ruin any possible meeting between me and the Treasury agents."  
signed, DENNIS BANKS



# Temple Burns—Arson

The third church fire in San Francisco within a month gutted the Peoples Temple of the Disciples of Christ Denominational Brotherhood at 1839 Geary street early yesterday.

Fire department arson investigators said they believe an incendiary is at large. An empty gasoline can found at the fire, and a similar can found at one of the earlier blazes, were declared to be conclusive evidence of arson.

Normally about 40 student members of the Peoples Temple would have been in the building.

## Bomb planted beneath Rev. Jim Jones' bus

SAN FRANCISCO—A bomb with a burned-down fuse was found yesterday on a bus owned by the People's Temple of Redwood Valley.

Mike Prokes, associate pastor, said the bomb was found under the bus fuel tank.

The Rev. Jim Jones, his wife and another member of the temple were in the bus before the explosion.

## Local Group Suffers Terror in the Night

## Minister threatened

These reprinted headlines clearly show that apprehensions of Temple members about violence and sabotage are not unfounded.

### VIOLENCE, DEATH THREATS, AND ARSON PERPETRATED AGAINST JIM JONES AND TEMPLE MEMBERS FOR YEARS!!

Peoples Temple is no stranger to persecution. As a progressive group and outspoken against social and racial injustice, we have always known what it is to live in the shadow of threats of violence and death.

The Temple in San Francisco has been burned to the ground twice.

We have discovered bombs under our buses—the bomb squad of the SFPD had to dismantle a bomb FOUND UNDER THE VERY BUS REV. & MRS. JONES WERE RESTING ON AT THE TIME!

Our senior members and families have endured hate calls and racial slurs during the day and on the phone at night for years.

Jim Jones has endured many death threats and assassination attempts. His immediate family has, also. Even in Guyana, paid assassins have taken shots at him.

Our children have been harassed in their schools. Our pets have been tortured and left mutilated on our doorsteps.

In an atmosphere of hate whipped up by the press, a man called the Temple numerous times saying he would shoot everyone who stepped out of the building. We had to call for police protection.

## **U.S. CUSTOMS SEIZURE TEMPLE CARGO**

In August, 1977, seven agents of the U.S. CUSTOMS (DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY) broke into crates of supplies being shipped by the Temple to our agricultural mission in Guyana, South America.

The Customs agents refused to give any explanation or probable cause for this sudden search and interruption of our shipment.

When Attorney CHARLES GARRY demanded an explanation of the U.S. Customs Department, they replied they were "Exempt from disclosure" and refused to provide any answer.



## **TAMPERING WITH U.S. MAIL**

A mail package containing important documents and church-related business documents arrived at the San Francisco Temple Headquarters from Guyana completely destroyed. The package had been opened, tampered with and its contents completely ruined. A substance the color and scent of wine had been poured all over the papers. Legal documents and affidavits were lost.

Letters requesting an explanation from the Post Office have remained unanswered.

## **SURVEILLANCE**

When MRS. UNITA BLACKWELL WRIGHT (black woman Mayor of Meyersville, Mississippi and fellow traveller with Shirley MacLaine to Peoples Republic of China), came to speak at Peoples Temple, two men were caught spying on the meeting.

They were found standing in the narrow corridor beside the Temple with large briefcases, and they fled when they were approached by a curious Temple member.

Their license plate traced them to Biloxi, Mississippi, and then to Keesler Air Force Base, where they were confirmed to be high-ranking government employees and electronics experts.

Letters from Congressmen got only vague answers from the Department of the Air Force: "The alleged activities are not within the Air Force's investigative jurisdiction. We understand your concern and regret we cannot be of more assistance."

## **FREEDOM of RELIGION VIOLATED**

The Social Security checks of hundreds of senior citizens, members of Peoples Temple residing at the Temple's Guyana mission, are being deliberately withheld.

Guyana is a country where one may legally receive one's Social Security benefits. The Social Security Administration is supposed to automatically transfer the checks on request of the recipient.

Each and every one of the seniors who are Social Security recipients filed for automatic transfer of their checks to Guyana.

But tens of thousands of dollars worth of these checks have been withheld.

We have located a memo which was circulated by the local Post Office demanding the "return of all SSI (gold checks) and Social Security (green) to the Department of H.E.W. (Health, Education and Welfare) when there is a forwarding order for Georgetown, Guyana, South America".

Congressmen and attorneys have written on our behalf and have so far been ignored by H.E.W. It appears to be a flagrant move to deny subsistence funds to the elderly, blind, and disabled, and to cut off their economic lifeline. The Temple also questions if this does not constitute a violation of its First Amendment rights of religious freedom, maintaining that surely religious preference should in no way pre-empt U.S. citizens from receiving benefits they labored for, and which is their legal due.



## THE LATEST SMEAR

Following the death by murder (which even the papers called mysterious), of Chris Lewis, another vicious comment appeared in the news. It was inferred that Chris was a hired bodyguard for Jim Jones. That is an outrageous lie! The Temple has never hired anyone, and Chris never worked for us in this or any other capacity.

He did make contributions to the Temple by helping young people come off drugs. It was Jim Jones and the Temple that helped him with a trial that he went through some years ago and had gotten Chris off drugs. His efforts on behalf of young people with drug problems are documented and proven by the large number of youth living happy, useful lives today who came through the Temple rehabilitation program.

Chris' family were members of the Temple. His mother lived and died in our church and was a very good woman. We help the families and loved ones of members; that is our standard practice. Though Chris himself did not follow our advice, he did help get many troubled youth off drugs—and we know that for a fact.

If the authors of the news article on Chris Lewis concluded that he was a part of us, then a lot of questions should be raised. Because the night of his death a threatening phone call came to the Temple saying, "There will be more. Tonight was the first."

And it was undoubtedly these lies that he was a Temple "bodyguard" that got him shot. We don't believe this was a gangland murder. We believe the conspirators are responsible—there are those who would sacrifice anyone if it served their purpose. We had to talk to some of his friends outside the church to keep them from taking revenge. This murder we will not forget.

## A CAMPAIGN TO DISCREDIT JIM JONES?

There is only one reason why some people would attempt to paint such a bizarre image of Jim Jones as has been done over the past months. He cares about ALL people. And he can do something about the plight of the poor and oppressed. Reactionary forces are trying to destroy his image because he is the most persistent fighter for social justice. Next time you see this terribly bizarre, distorted attention—think.

For months the distortions and lies have been hashed and rehashed—but never a word is printed or spoken about the lives salvaged and millions of your tax dollars saved through Temple rehabilitation programs.

Perhaps some agencies felt they had to discredit Jim Jones and Peoples Temple in order to protect the system. But when Peoples Temple endeavors only to relieve society of its many burdens, it is ridiculous that they resort to such cruel and inhumane tactics. No one else seems to be willing to help. The fact that Jim Jones was appointed to the San Francisco Housing Commission and elected head commissioner speaks for itself. Good citizens would not have placed him in that position if he had been the type of person the media has tried to smear in the public mind. He has never done anything fraudulent.

**YOU SHOULD HELP, BECAUSE NEXT TIME IT MAY BE YOU!**

What follows are quotes from religious, political and community leaders who have seen through the lies being spread about Jim Jones and have spoken out on behalf of this great leader for social and racial justice. The names of these prominent officials are not used here, because we do not want them to get the kind of harassment we have suffered.

*"You are the epitome of caring for humans, sharing and providing basic needs for each other, helping the sick and afflicted and educating the youth. Everyone's struggle is your struggle; your fight for human dignity is beyond compare."*

*"Peoples Temple is targeted for destruction because it represents a determined effort to deal with the most fundamental problem of our society—oppression of the poor."*

*"One gets the impression (at Peoples Temple) of being in the midst of the human race at its best; a community of people whose primary concern is to love and to serve....Peoples Temple is led by a man of deep integrity and sensitivity."*

UPDATE: THE LATEST FROM JONESTOWN

Charles Garry lived with us several days and nights at the Temple project in Guyana. He is a man known to speak the truth all the time—and he called Jonestown "PARADISE".

An article dated December 11, 1977, in the Guyana Chronicle, the country's leading newspaper, calls the Temple agricultural project "a first-class example of community life." Written by a Dental Surgeon who stayed several days at the project, the article further states: "I have never before seen so many people of varying races working happily together, side by side, without a single spark of friction. With its own school, saw-mill, electricity, roads, houses, and so on, all being scrupulously clean, I could not help but be impressed."

What was just a "jungle outpost" a very few years ago is now a center of productive activity. A Guyanese official who visited recently wrote in the guest book: "This is a model community that should be emulated all over the world." We have yet to get the first criticism from any government leader or any delegation, and we have visitors from the U.S., Europe, and many South American countries all the time. Our project has been praised by government leaders throughout the world. Recent visitors have included a delegation from the Ministry of Education and the Minister of Interior Development. The Ministry of Education delegates were thrilled with our nursery and educational programs, which include instruction in several foreign languages, corrective work for many types of learning disabilities, and mathematical and scientific training.

The medical department is considered by many to be the most unique of its kind for this type of community. The staff includes a medical doctor, a pharmacist, a dietician, a pediatric specialist, several nurse practitioners, and a number of RNs and LVNs plus many medical assistants, full-time health care workers, and trainees. There is a physical therapy department, under the supervision of a licensed therapist. We conduct regular cancer clinics, both in Jonestown and in nearby communities. We have also been able to treat children from the surrounding area who have not been so fortunate as to receive skilled care previously.

Medical equipment includes two EKG machines, a centrifuge, electronic microscope, and an autoclave. We will soon be getting an X-ray machine, to be operated by a licensed technician with 20 years of experience. All lab work such as urinalysis and blood testing, is done by our lab technician.

This is an environment where everything grows and thrives. We have just cleared another 200 acres of lush jungle land for planting—a continuing process as our agricultural programs expand. We have food stored months ahead of time, to prepare for self-sufficiency of the project. Frequently, too, we take large amounts of food into nearby communities to distribute. To meet protein requirements, we are acquiring milk cows. Already we have two bulls for propagation of a herd. The pigs are thriving, and a flock of thousands of chickens has just graduated 2000 chicks from modern incubators to add to its population. We are raising a number of rabbits for food.

A whole host of craft and cottage industries have taken hold as the individual talents of residents flower for the collective good. Toys, furniture, and handicrafts are among the items made. The community is very colorful, a true modern village, as homes are being landscaped with fruit trees, gardens and decorative fencing.

The excellent health of residents here and the astounding growth of the project are glowing reflections of the spirit of socialist cooperation. Every person is motivated to function at their very best, as they see their talents being used directly to help others. Almost needless to say, everyone feels very secure and well cared for in an environment like this. The experience of Jonestown, and the inspiration of its founder-leader Jim Jones, is building happy and healthy lives. We are more proud than we can say of what we have been able to accomplish in such a short time in this beautiful jungle interior.

TO: JJ FROM: TB



RI-A-2

ELAINE BROWN

I saw Elaine Browns FBI files yesterday. It is one whole box full so utterly impossible to copy unless there is some real need for it and then I will do it but please do let me know. The most interesting thing that there is to note about Elaine is that up until 1971 she had terribly terribly thick FBI files. Every move was put in there. Then in 1971 --- when Elaine's record should have been even longer due to the fact that she was running the party--- all of her records became very very short. They give her residence and the fact that she is the head of the Panther Party. Tying this information in with something that Pat told me the other day makes me think seriously that Elaine turned informer for the Panther Party perhaps about the time that Huey left for Cuba. Pat told me that while Huey was in Cuba she got information from an INFORMER In the DA's office. Pat said that after Huey left a very odd thing happened and that is that she would get information from the informer in the DA's office faster than she would get the information from the Panther Party. Pat said that she was learning more about the Party from the DA's office than she was from the Party herself. It was as if someone in the Party (high up) was having a direct pipeline with the DA. Pat thinks that it was Elaine and that Elaine had (before Huey left) called Garry's office all the time and after he left she stopped completely. Her whole personality changed. When Elaine found out that Huey was coming back it was then that she called up Garry and asked him if she was still head of the party. Elaine is a meglamaniac in the truest sense of the word. I personally think that she was offered a deal by the FBI that they would keep huey out of the country and the party would be hers if she would inform. Pat also told me a long time ago that Elaine used to treat Erica Huggins so badly that it was a wonder that she didn't leave the party over the way that Elaine treated her. --Putting it all together it seems unlikely



that Elaine could have been anything but an asset. I am not saying this for the sake of rhetoric but rather I think that it is in fact the case. Elaine had been caught smuggling cocaine into Goerge Jackson in prison which would have gotten both her and him in a lot of trouble. However-- the whole matter was completely dropped. Another thing is that Elaine smuggled 3 pounds of grass into the Panther Headquarters and was seen doing it buy FBI and nothing was done whatsoever. It was just a note. Anyway---- Huey thinks that they woman is crazy and snapped under the pressure however he does not and will not accept the idea that she may have turned informer some 6 years ago. Another twist to this thing also is that someone who is currently under investigation for the Richmond murder case a few years ago and was getting the finger pointed at felt that Elaine was a fink and in private testamoney in the DA's office ( according to the DA source) stated that Elaine brown helped coordinate and participate in the Murder. This might help explain why Elain left in such a hurry.



TO: JJ FROM: Teri REPORTS

A1-A-3

FBI AGENT:

Mr. Sweringen is a newly retired agent of the FBI. He worked on both AIM and Panther cases and had access to a lot of materials. He claims to have become disillusioned with the FBI when he became the censorer who goes through the files and marks out the bad stuff with that special pen that you can't see through. He claims that it was then that he saw just how low down the FBI really was. It could well be that after watergate and after more investigations of different agencies have begun that he knows that he is going to be in trouble anyway so he has decided to turn to the left in hopes of building alliances before he goes down the drain. This guy retains Garry as a lawyer because he felt that information could be best disseminated working through the attorney client privilege. The guy is a white man. 30ish according to Pat. He has not yet surfaced and doesn't know if and when he would do so. He has written the book of which you have a copy. Pat has shown me a very limited amount of the total stuff thinking that what she showed me could be conveyed to Dennis Banks. We do do that. However, I found Pat's "secret hiding place" two weeks ago so every few days we check it and photocopy any new materials that have come in from this guy. None of it to date has been earthshaking to us in fact there is some that I didn't bother to copy. But we do keep checking because the only way to know if it is worthwhile or not is to keep reading it so we are doing that much. I do think that both Pat and Garry would be very upset if they had any idea that this was what we were doing.

Pat has shown me all the stuff that deals with AIM and some of the Panther stuff--but not the book and supporting documents. In that this guy worked on AIM in IA where this whole Mowhawk Skyhouse case is going on the man has agreed to talk to Dennis in early January.

CONSPIRACY FILE

RI-A-4

\*\*\*\* Iris Conn is listed as Iris Ridgway at 5837 Huntington Avenue, Richmond. Phone 524-8790/

\*\*\*\* Sheila Conn is listed at the same address above, but the phone number is 526-3885.

\*\*\*\* Christopher Conn is also listed in the phone book as being at that same address and his number is 526-9288.

\*\*\*\* Frank Rothchild is an attorney with offices in Mill Valley: 415 Panaramic Way, MV. 383-6503. Name of firm is Bunyan and Rothchild.

\*\*\*\*\* Mrs. Floretta Sawdey, 2338-27th Avenue, Oakland. 653-8508. In City Directory listed as no one else living there and no occupation, thus retired or unempl. When I called, I asked for if the number was right and the person answering said no. I then called back very carefully and got the same person again. I think it was Deanna Mertle

Mrs. Lola Gates, 29 El Gavilan, Orinda. 254-5020. Is a widow and housewife. Has three sons, 19, 18 and 12. Two female students live with the woman and I talked with one of them. The woman did not want to talk much.

Mrs. J. Hayes Hunter, 6859 ~~Hayes~~ Eagle Rd, Penngrove. 707-795-5938. Husband is a junior high school counselor. She is a part-time RN in a convalescent hospital. Lives way out away from people, she says.

Television Consortium, Sonoma State College. 707-664-2517. Makes moves for State colleges and university system. Uses television a lot. About 20 people work in the office and the Continuing education office is in and w out too.

Wayne Friday, 1095-14th Street,, SF 94114. 431-1702. Is a reporter for one of the newspapers, but not say which one. Says that his wife is a "political writer too." Says that he is on assignment and is not home much.

Tim Barth, 2415 Karen Drive, Santa Clara (per old phone book). Phone number--408-984-1464--has been disconnected and none is listed now.

Mrs. Wilson, Sacramento, 916-446-2094. Number is a collection agency.


Mendoza, San Diego, 714-233-1877 has been changed to 714-461-7326. No ans

Phyllis Gary, 2668 Foresthill Drive, San Jose (not Los Gatos). 374-0899. Occupations of people in household: secretary of mortgage savings and loan, waitress, plumber, hod workers

Katina Vasilopoulos, listed in old City Directory as being a cook at the Maple Cafe. Address 217 Laws, phone 462-4459. (Own opinion is that she might have known Jack and Rheavvianna when they lived on Laws also.)

Edna Cross, 719 South Oak, Ukiah. Listed in same old City Directory as above with ~~xxxx~~ no one else living at that address and no occupation listed.

*We should ask X Rerranna X Jack about these.*



DATA SLIP

RI-A-5

LETTERS OFFICE

Proc. by \_\_\_\_\_

Date rec'd \_\_\_\_\_ Don. \_\_\_\_\_

Date ans'd \_\_\_\_\_ Code \_\_\_\_\_

Check where needed:

CIRCLE ONE: H C

testimony F C

gift or return needed \_\_\_\_\_

make DONT SEND (old address)

note address change -- \_\_\_\_\_

FORWARD TO

Staff



MAILROOM

Return or date  
gift code: \_\_\_\_\_ sent: \_\_\_\_\_ by: \_\_\_\_\_

CIRCLE ONE

Proc. by \_\_\_\_\_


I F

N I F

zip check

type

type check



42 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100

84-4286-2018

RI-A-5-a

This is a copy of a  
letter to Garry from  
Lacko.

re.

LUCKHOO & LUCKHOO  
LEGAL PRACTITIONERS

HEVELYN A. LUCKHOO

COMMISSIONER OF OATHS AND NOTARY PUBLIC  
SOLICITOR

WITH  
SIR LIONEL A. LUCKHOO K.C.M.G., C.B.E., S.C.  
BARRISTER-AT-LAW

C. LLOYD LUCKHOO S.C.  
BARRISTER-AT-LAW

EDWARD A. LUCKHOO LL.B.,  
BARRISTER-AT-LAW

KEITH R. L. LUCKHOO LL.B.,  
BARRISTER-AT-LAW

DIAL 65816

CHIEFS:

"WHITEHALL"

N 1/2 LOT 1 CROAL STREET

(P.O. BOX 163),

GEORGETOWN.

GUYANA.

SOUTH AMERICA.

2nd November, 1977

Dear Charles,

I am deeply honoured by your biography <sup>gift</sup> and the generous inscription therein which I have just received. I shall write to you after I have read and digested the same.

I send herewith copies of the three publications of my stories which have been printed up to the present. Someone from abroad (Fred Archer) comes on the 13th of this month to spend a few weeks and to get material to do my biography which will be printed simultaneously in London and New York. I am happy about this because we live for a short while and it provides a feeling of satisfaction to know that one's efforts will be recorded for those of my family who will come in the distant future when I am pushing up daisies.

Just glancing through the pages of your book, you certainly have had an exciting life in which your tenacity and courage are strongly evidenced. Maybe one day I shall be privileged to see you in action and to hear you in person.

I hope our mutual client takes our advice if and when the occasion arises.

I have just concluded my 205th murder, but I fear I have some pending in which the chances of success are rather slim. I am advised to pick my cases, but somehow this approach does not appeal to me although I do confess that when I was in the 190s I exercised some discretion in the acceptance of briefs.

My daughter's comments on you were, "Daddy he seems to be a very real person," and she is looking forward to the reading of your biography.

I have had suggestions from abroad that I should do a lecture tour with some of the anecdotes and some of my criminal cases, and also the diplomatic

12A-5-C

LUCKHOO & LUCKHOO  
LEGAL PRACTITIONERS

DATE 2nd November, 1977

period of my life when I represented two independent countries, Barbados and Guyana in Europe and the U.K. as Ambassador, but nothing has fructified.

Maybe one day I shall be invited into your part of the world to do the kind of talks I did in England, and then it would be my great pleasure to renew our acquaintance.

Warmest regards to you and your wife and with best wishes!

*Ever*

Yours,

*David*



Legal RIA-6

REPORTS  
JEAN  
NOV. 28, MONDAY

The way Eric described the Oliver hearing was that it was a farce. They really didn't have a case, the judge (Joe Kennedy) was trying hard to be cordial to the attorney and Mrs. Oliver but was really trying to impress on them that their case was totally impractical. Bill could not be brought back here unless he wanted to come.

A few important things to note: The judge did not accept the fact that Bill was married as a criterion of emancipation. He said he would have to be self-supporting. Charles insisted the marriage meant he was emancipated, but the judge didn't buy it.

Said the attorney was an ass and stupid. Charles was mad at him because he was so stupid and because he tried to malign Charles to the judge. Charles told the judge he didn't want to listen to this garbage and proceeded to walk out. He told the judge Mrs. Oliver could talk to her sons, that he personally would arrange it, and the judge said that if she were to try to go over there to visit, she would have to pay her own way.

The atty. kept saying "There is someone here who can tell you just how awful it is in Guyana." The judge was not interested and refused to let the lawyer bring this person up. We assumed it was Yolanda Crawford, though Charles did not know.

The judge read everything Charles had submitted in the statement he filed at Juvenile superior court, including the transcript of Charles tape with Bill and Bruce. But he would not entertain the person the other attorney had to tell "how bad it really was."

The judge told Mr.s Oliver -- "We have no way of bringing him back. Do you want me to file an order when he will be 18 in just 27 days??" When she insisted, the judge obliged her, though he admitted to the court, according to Garry, that it didn't mean a thing. There was no way they could bring the young man back if he did not want to come. "All the court orders in the world won't get him back."

Charles told Beverly she could visit: "I'll see you get to J nestown."

RE JOE MATTER: Eric will not file anything until they pick it up in the press. If they do, he will call a press conference. The matter is still scheduled for Dec. 12, Eric is waiting for Joe to call him back; since he is not going to be able to represent his client on the 12th, he will have to see it postponed. He reassured us there are two crack people working on the case to see what the underlying theories are of Constitutional law in this. When I asked him to project a date when they would come up with an answer, he said he had no idea.

Lead \*

RE: CALL FROM LARRY TUPPER. Mary Harden, who Eric said was the stepdaughter of Larry Tupper, called Eric today. Then Tupper's wife called. Then Charles talked to Tupper himself. He told Eric that Donna Conn had told him to call Eric. Tupper said he talked to the children (the ones he is concerned about are Janet and Larry Jr.) once before when he had threatened to bring kidnapping suit. Eric said Rita had violated a court order and that he was within his prerogative to sue when he did. Eric thinks Tupper is "sincere." Sincerely interested in the children. Eric told him the good things, said he knew all the bad

things, but that the children were well and that if he had any children he would want them to be over there. Tupper said he knew of Eric, but did not understand "why he was representing those creeps." Eric ~~xxxx~~ told Tupper when he asked that there was no such thing as a "private conversation" because everyone in the world can listen to the radio. Said there were no telephones in Jonestown, so they would have to talk over the radio.

Eric's comment to all= this, Conn and all, was "Let's disarm these guys." He wants, insists that Marcie talk to Tupper and let him know he will be able to talk to his children when the copy allows. He was adamant that we not be antagonistic, that he really wants to keep up communication with his children.

RE: JOE JOHNSON: Said he ran into Joe this mornign and Joe wanted Jim to know that he is in our camp and doing whatever he can to fight for Jim.

RE: OLIVERS; He said if the Olivers call to talk to their sons, we sould allow it, but we should definitely tape the conversation. He said the same things with Mickey Touchete.

*202 Letter*

RE: DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF POLICE -- He said before he writes to the agency on the East Coast, he wants to talk to his friend who is on the verge of defecting. He already mentioned us to thi; man, and he had never heard of us but would check. He is still a club member, but iw going to talk soon. He will only talk to Eric and two others -- Eric is having a conference with him Thursday to find out what he learned of our standing in the agency he is working for (the same one that sent the letter to the Deputy Comm. of P lice there.)

He recommends we pinpoint the agency in an FOIA letter on behalf of Hill -- citing this exact information. June will do this on Eric's letter-head, asap. — *also Mary Ruth*

RE: PHARMACEUTICAL NUMBER -- suggested we go ahead and order the stuff we need through a wholesale firms such as McKisson & Robbins, use the fact that we have a doctor, a pharmacist, and a thouand people in need of medicine. Said he thinks it will work.

RE: INSURANCE -- Harold brought it all down and June and he have gone over it all. Eric wants to see the insurance originalss, wants to determine if there is any way the cost of litigation in any of this can be charged to a policy we have, of one sort ~~xxxx~~ or another. He thinks he can maybe find something, will have to see for himself.

# INTERPOL Special Agent Attacks Jim Jones & Peoples Temple

**WE HAVE DOCUMENTED PROOF THAT "PRIVATE INVESTIGATOR" JOSEPH MAZOR IS A SPECIAL AGENT FOR INTERPOL - THE NAZI-INFESTED INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL POLICE ORGANIZATION BEGUN IN HITLER'S GERMANY!**

This is the man who, according to the Berkeley Barb, admitted that he has been employed since November of 1976 to "investigate" Peoples Temple, yet refused to say who pays him. We know that he is working closely with Elmer and Deanna Mertle, former members of the Temple and sources for the vicious media attacks. We know also that he has contacted the Guyanese embassy in the United States and has played on personal loyalties, family ties, and child custody matters to the point of obviously trying to wear Temple unity down. By his own admission to Barb columnist Art Silverman, Mazor hired one of the largest public relations firms in San Francisco—Russom, Lowry and Leeper—to "show him how to handle the media" on the Peoples Temple "project". Sources close to the Barb said that Mazor had approached Lowry, Russom, and Leeper saying "he wanted to become San Francisco's next Hal Lipset", (a famous investigator), and that the Temple controversy "presented an excellent opportunity to garner publicity."

**NOW WE HAVE LEARNED OF THIS MAN'S INTERPOL CONNECTION. AND WE HAVE LEARNED FROM A HIGH GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL THAT INTERPOL IS CIRCULATING THE SAME VICIOUS LIES TOLD IN THE MEDIA ABOUT THE TEMPLE AND ITS LEADER, PLUS MORE. INTERPOL IS CIRCULATING THE FALSE REPORT THAT JIM JONES PARTICIPATED IN A RIOT IN GUYANA AND IN THROWING TEAR GAS AT A TIME WHEN HE WAS NOT EVEN THERE.** This too can be proven. It is an obvious attempt to discredit Jim Jones and his progressive organization in the country where we have established our immensely successful agricultural mission.

**WHO IS THIS MAN, JOSEPH MAZOR?** He is a man with a lengthy criminal record. He has served time in jails in three states on a variety of charges (bogus checks, fraud) and has violated probation and parole on three occasions by committing more crimes. The California Adult Authority report (1970), evaluates Mazor as a "smooth con man with an insatiable desire to get ahead. He is cunning, well-educated, and so well-versed in the law that he had five attorneys in the Pomona area convinced that he had a law degree. It is felt that the subject is a menace to the community." This is the profile of the man who is parading himself as a respectable private investigator. **THE FACT THAT HE IS ALSO AN AGENT FOR INTERPOL RAISES SERIOUS QUESTIONS**

Joseph Mazor is now under an intense investigation. His Interpol connections are only the beginning. We will not stop until we have exposed every detail of his inhumane attempt to destroy a great humanitarian leader.

RI-A-7-a

# What is **INTERPOL?**

**INTERPOL** is a private international police organization made up of national police representatives from over 100 member nations. Supposedly organized to apprehend criminals, control drug traffic, etc., Interpol's U.S. branch is funded, staffed, and housed in the U.S. Treasury Department, across the street from the White House. It has a direct hook-up to the computerized National Crime Information Center (NCIC), run by the FBI, and direct contact with state and local police. All Interpol nations have access to US files and records denied to U.S. citizens. Any police state, or private group in any member nation, via their police, can obtain information on U.S. citizens, businesses, tourists, immigrants, suspects, etc., without regard to validity, relevance, truth, or source. Yet, for U.S. citizens, Interpol files are exempt from the Freedom of Information Act! The NCIC computerized files at the disposal of Interpol are massive. A foreign agency, operating through Interpol, could even plant information in U.S. government files.

**INTERPOL** is basically a Nazi organization. Its vice-president in the 1930's and 40's was Nazi General Kurt Daluege, executed later for war crimes. During Hitler's power period, Interpol was headquartered in Berlin. Its 1939 conference was held under the patronage of the Reichsfuehrer, the SS, and the Nazi chief of Police, Heinrich Himmler. In 1968, Paul Dickopf, a former henchman in Hitler's Security Police, was elected president. During his reign, the organization became affluent, due to large contributions by three member nations during his tenure: Venezuela, Brazil, and Switzerland, where, coincidentally, the Nazi SS (Odessa) brotherhood is still very much alive.

[Information on Interpol compiled from The Peoples Almanac, by David Wallechinsky and Irving Wallace, Doubleday & Co., Garden City, 1975. Article by Vaughan Young.]

NI-A-8

November 19, 1977

San Francisco Examiner  
Reg Murphy, Editor  
110 Fifth Street  
San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr Murphy,

The business of a newspaper is to REPORT the news not MAKE it, least of all to act as judge, jury and prosecutor. It seems to us that is exactly what the Examiner has done in the case of the Peoples Temple. It has tried and convicted this church, largely composed of Blacks, on the basis of statements made by persons who can hardly be regarded as unbiased. This is persecution not reporting the news.

The members of the Peoples Temple we have met strike us as being idealistic, civic-minded and particularly concerned with the critical problems afflicting Black and other minority youth. In their own way, they seem to be attempting to do something about alleviating the suffering of these youth. This is hardly cause for mounting an intensive campaign against them and their pastor, Rev. James Jones.

We sincerely urge the Examiner to get to the business of reporting the news ( there is no lack of serious problems).

Sincerely,

*Mike Davidow*  
Mike Davidow

*Gail Davidow*  
Gail Davidow

7700 Geary Blvd.  
San Francisco, CA. 94121

REPORTS  
JEAN  
MONDAY, NOV. 21

1. Eric says OK on questions to JJ from the Examiner. But they must be done under his supervision. Irene will get the questions and let Eric see them before they go over. Maybe there ought to be a statement in the EX from Hill in regards to the Jeremy situation. This is the only way he would hear of a public statement about the circumstances of his birth.

When Irene and I brought it up again about wanting to say something so the outside world would ~~xxx~~ hear the truth about Hill and Jeremy, he objected vehemently again. NO. The outside world would not understand. They would look at it as justification for all the things the press has said about Hill. That he would do anything to keep things together. Revolutionary leaders understand and have done such things, but we do not talk about them. It would be damaging. ~~xxxxxxx~~ WE accept that, but we can't shove it down the throats of people with bourgeoisie mentality. ...Go ahead and do it, but I don't approve it. We don't need to explain this in any way. Why should we have a Pres conference about this? A conference needs to spell out the strength, why we do not intend to let this child be returned to its "mother" -- the woman is a stranger, birth was only incidental to the child's growth and development which is totally separated from this woman. "I want the film." Get away from the child and this stuff. We want to say why Guyana is paradise. This will get us friends. Not the mother-son issue. Let's talk about the assets, we have them. Not about it in terms of defensive mechanisms. Why don't we stop worrying about this shit, like that narrow, smutty way the media wants it and would portray it. We need to affirm our assets. What we are doing. We've isolated ourselves into defensive tactics. We're going to have to sell ourselves to the public. (See notes from the former write-up that Mary ann had in files, the ones Sharon and Irene did up about Jeremy's chronology. Mary ann LOOKED that up and read it to him, and he thought it was excellent.) Only our people deserve to know the truth and they do not require any explanation. People have done this and more to bring freedom to the country, but they have not said what they have done. Don't give them a case when they don't already have one. Disastrous. I feel so strongly it is bad, that I won't have it. (this is all pretty much verbatim from Eric tonight.)

2. Re. letter -- Luckhoo CAN get the letter. I know. He is very powerful. ..."If there is a letter, Luckhoo can get it." Nothing ~~xxx~~ else on this.

3. He will call the lawyer in Schwartz case tomorrow. Will tell him that he, Eric, does not have authority to represent us but he does have authority to settle the case. This we understand is his feeler to see if the atty. will settle out of court. He did not explain further, nor why now is the time he chose to do this.

4. Someone called him from LA today, no names retrieved by Charles, who claimed to be friends with someone who heard the radio broadcast and wanted to talk to relatives in Guyana. He ~~xxx~~ said yes, there would be no problem, and that a phone patch could be done. He told us that we need this kind of stuff.

5. Said Delores McMurray had called him wanting to know whom to contact to give money to the church. Said she receives and wants it to go to PT. Eric said there is no problem in taking it and when she calls Martha, as he instructed her to do, we should take it. If we want we can say we don't want it, will only take whatever she can give whenever she can. There is in his mind no problem for us or for her (apparently this is some money she gets for Renee from the government.) No special documentation necessary. He said she was an imbalanced but very nice person.

6. Re. Kilduff. -- he brought up an interesting point. Said he's not seen anything in the Chron about us for awhile, esp. noticeable silence from Kilduff. He thinks maybe he temporarily shot his wad on the Head case. Lost credibility with the staff there. It's a thought.

7. Again he said that he would not object to Hill making a public statement to clarify about Jeremy, but it would have to be done along the lines he (Eric) indicates. Again stated our direct approach to the subject would only alienate people, especially the feminists.

8. Re. the JOE MATTER-- received a call that 12/12/77 was continuation date. The Asian had called to tell him. Eric will call the Deputy A.G. and tell him the date is inconvenient, as he has a court hearing in Gilroy that day. In the meantime he is having the law which the AG is using in this matter researched -- he is not sure the case is valid. Will let us know. Nothing immediate with this, no continuation date definitely set, then.

MEETING WITH DAVID FEICHEIMER:

1. David believed that if you know that you don't have a winning hand in a game then the thing to do is to frustrate them in their own game of bureaucratic red tape. That is if you had 25 people on the project named John Stoen you could tie up court procedures a long time just in routine work. The same would go for Jim Jones---there could be alot of Jim Jones to run around Jonestown. I don't believe that this is a solution or even a means to solving our problem. I frankly wouldnt advise it but am just passing it on.
2. He does not believe that we are the victims of a big governmental conspiracy but he is rather of the opinion that a little Joseph Mazur could have the connections to single handedly make our lives completely miserable. David claims that all you need to do is pay off a computer person at HEW and they could cut off checks to half the country so it is not surprising to him that they have issued an order to stop it all going to 1 country. He feels that Mazur is a person without morales and with plenty of brains and that Mazur saw us as an opportunity to get big. Mazur even said so in his talks about becomming the other Hal Lipset etc.
3. David disagrees with the way that Garry handled to press in our regard. David insists that anything said would have been better than nothing said. Like the chicken liver charge--- he said to even say that it made a person "feel better" was better than not talking. He said that to have you disappear and not one word from anyone one made you look even more frightening to the public eye. He doesn't understand why we don't come out and tell the real story about Grace. He also commented that the average person doean't know the difference between us and the Moonie and Cleavers church---- to them we are all one and the same.





-He mentioned also that he could buy any information we want. He said that he always buys his information and never trades it ( of course we only have his word to go on on that). He said that he can get anyones record off of the Treasury Computer if wanted but that the price is 1,500. He stressed again that he is not charging us anything for reason that he likes us and that the money that he asks for just goes to buy the information.

-He mentioned that EST had paid him \$30,000 to write the worst story possible on the so that they could figure out how to clean up their act.


-He mentioned as a matter of warning that if you should try to go political in guyana that you would get shot--- no doubt about it.

-He mentioned also that we can get sued for having those people phone numbers so that we should be careful about that becuae that constitutes invasion of privacy.




REBUTTAL OF THE CHARGES

R-I-A-11



84-4286-2018

(1) Deanna Mestle → R.I.A.H. &   
Right Wing / now appears to  
be provocateur / close friend of  
Conn - who by admission investigating  
for 7 years. Conn - eg. us  
Dec. we are Marxist... They worked  
for Standard Oil. Basis of Taw  
attacks - I.J. is in a Marxist  
Country. Conn's own admission  
basis of attacks & Jew is in a  
Marxist Country & thus shows

K.F.A. 11-C-2

Clearly that he has been Marxist  
Mertle \$35,000 blackmail; stole  
things from legal files on <sup>primary</sup>  
old B people prior records  
and \$\$\$ - trying to get  
expunged where they were framed,  
plus

Mrs. S. - deviant interest in children  
bizarre → orgasm when she heard child-  
ren cry. Fantasy of children in  
torturous situations.

- She was mem. of J.B. Society  
obviously provocative.

Sandy Rozynko - mother is R.N. here  
2 brothers here - adult, happy - one  
married master electrician, one is  
a teacher of math. Never collected  
\$ for charities. She never collected a dime. Witnesses  
here - they had B. who they can verify  
she joined this conspiracy because  
Mr. Swartz took adv. of her - witnesses  
here who can tell about it. She  
was a ~~minor~~ adult, B woman who they  
treated like a dame - would not

A-1-A-11-d  
let her go to school.

Estimated worth

Acquired thru donations  
Some give 25% - if wanted to  
soc. conscience  $\Rightarrow$  ~~the~~ need a  
requirement. J. Biecher + Nazis  
split - surely we can

Mickey T - all her relatives  
Grand, parents, bro. & sisters.  
She left as a terrorist. Stole  
\$ from us, embezzlement, &  
undoubtedly led by a provocateur  
and stole \$ out of church  
treas from dad. ~~She~~ worked  
in Treas for one month  
as a substitute - along w/  
500, else = can't be accurate  
but a few 1000 at least.  
Not watched enough. - She left  
at end of that mon. - (When  
she about apprehended - as in  
Terrorist group. Forged from  
dad. Bought equipment to blow

3 R-I-A-11-e 3

up something. Man she followed out  
a Trotskyite. Wish we made that  
much.

Neva Shy - X-fellow, stole things,  
pathological liar. Son & dad here. She  
~~was~~ abandoned son. Now  
here w/ his dad & she gave  
authority for him to come originally.

Laura Cornelius - 52 B woman  
never gave a watch & clothing.  
she helped her out of diff. -  
mentally disturbed - typical  
Aunt Joe - never understood  
philosophy.

Jeanette Ketus - left on drugs.  
Married a fascist Draxian &  
announced she was going to live  
in Iran & do all she could to  
destroy I. Chronic shoplifter  
we hoped to get straightened around.  
Never an armed body guard.  
Said we knew - but she got there  
to work.

R-I-A-11-f.

We wanted to say all these things but att. said no. Truth no defense. Hearst have manipulated grand jury to lie - att. showed us. You cannot win ag. Capit. pres. Devil lib. attorney sd. they manipulated grand jury ag. him

Gary Sambre - sev. deviant  
Sengjil being beaten Trotskyite.  
Never an armed body guard.  
The weapons in church. If  
there had been the right wing  
& police would have nailed  
us.

Marvin Swinney - brother, sister  
parents in church. Blackmail  
ass. They were going to  
tell that we were Marxist  
Comm. - under pay of Russia.  
All the say in a lie.

PAC -



R.I.A. 11.

4

News over-crowded buses  
Awy. patrol - stopped us - they  
said. Stop us. If we had over-  
crowded - would have been cited &  
never got a citation.

Our paragraph p. 18 Sect. A.  
Say "I arranged med. care  
for its many sick & aged mem.  
children used to do well in  
school. Also accurate. We  
do believe in para-normal  
healing - not a panacea -  
not related to goodness. We've  
had many successes so we did  
not have to set up fraud-  
healing.

We did talk about fascism &  
come on nuclear hole - but never  
predicted it any time. If we  
knew it was inevitable we would  
not have worked as we off.

Underground govern - lie, ridiculous  
news sd "Traitors would die -  
no threat of

A. I. A. 11h

If we had done such  
would have been indicted  
2 out of 3 have right wing  
DAs

If found → we would have been  
indicted. Small migration in mid.  
Aug. → Poor newsmen. We were  
small on our way.

We don't appreciate our. this  
bulletin. Our people cannot  
take having to sue. this again. ~~the~~

We did tell people to write letters  
on issues but not endorsed  
policies. → would we lost def  
(exemptions) faster than snap of  
finger. We were tempted to endorse  
& felt guilty about not doing so.

Warn them - attorney sd. any  
press about bull → could tie up  
our properties in libel suits.

Any press - open us to libel suits  
even if just harassing = tie up #

R.I.A. 11-11-5

Possible to throw us into receivership  
until litigation straightened out,  
and doubtably, if courts were fair we  
would win ~~→~~ all would have to  
return. Financially prohibitive  
we are fighting for financial life.

Judicial → Others should come in  
& state their feelings.

We would rather die than do  
this.

We have built up good ties 90%  
don't believe the crap. Do review,  
publicizing it to anti-PNE  
element. Inclination to believe  
the worst, self-serving of them  
to believe the worst.

Only reason Coleman's friend  
has stayed off us - be. Anglen  
as much good friends to us.

↓  
A sound to review it

If they do this → we'd rather  
die -

R. I. A. 11. j

- Will not help us. Is this going to happen. Is he trying to prepare us for this?

Legal Questions

Are they B?

Are they married?

Are they here of their own free will?

He are not up to it! Att. know for being comm artist.

The peoples incentives will be shot if this in a Soc. country where they don't - they can even willing to die for it & full-well when expect to die when old-timers pass off the scene bec. of high level people.

He didn't deny. Not much credence.

Summer Progress Report

Some time ago we made a decision we might have to die when of faithful Harold, Bill, Miller bec. we are not convinced that those who follow will be as dedicated.

That will be the last straw 6-25  
yes, been there hell. Entered a  
lot here too. Your loyalty to them  
felt it worth it. Living does not  
mean that much. They are  
setting a damn poor precedent  
because every right-wing  
stooge will be down here to  
shunt from prod. & \$ by  
court to get people here. The  
\$ we have to have to bring people here  
& maintain ones we got  
(R-I-A-I-I-K)

Ask him if JJ in court about  
John - be. we were promised  
~~not~~ not. The promised they wouldn't.  
Laughly convey message confidentially.  
We will not sustain ourselves & that  
will get them heat from the press  
all this quieted down. We  
don't intend to stir it up.  
Group some want to eject → James  
will not send back w/ questionable views  
House of Israel - Black Power.

Marsplum → causes attack  
Some people support us here. They don't  
want others out either.

Ask him if this a double  
message here? Does not want a.o.  
to face a penal situation in US.

R-I-A-U-I

George - It probably get 24 hrs.  
of them in extension from rings.  
mings - consult wiles. They do not  
know what is in best interests of G.  
to give extension or not.

- We are exhausted - that we  
feel them - Don't give him an ex-  
tension. We worked hard to build  
good will for them & for us.  
The House of Israel talked ag. them  
for our people & U.S.A. to all  
people.

We don't understand why people don't  
want us moved out bec. of them. That  
is not the feedback we got from  
religious friends who are more conservative.  
People say hypocritical if that's the case  
bec. they praise us & curse other group  
out.

To answer those makes us  
feel they don't believe us and  
we cannot live that way.

We have never felt to ask them  
about things people say pertaining to  
their character. We feel it should  
we reciprocated. We have proved  
ourselves by our good works. Cannot  
go thru it physically or financially

## REPORTS

JEAN

TUESDAY, NOV. 29

1. RE. TALK WITH GOODLETT: The attack on us, the report that showed up in Guyana -- "Not surprising. When big government decides to malign and destroy, they do anything. Look what they did to Hoffa." Re. Stoen, he said "I wouldn't let that keep you from coming back. There will always be provocateurs. It is amazing it was delayed for so long. Misplaced confidence hurts."

He went into a lengthy explanation of the philosophy of early Christians, how they paid for years for their beliefs in the Coliseum. Finally Constantine recognized that the state could not like the early Christians and so institutionalized the church. Since then the church has been a defender of the status quo, has served to control the masses and deluded the people. (This explanation was in relation to something we couldn't quite figure out. Not sure why he got into all this.)

Then he said he had been to jail a lot of times. Any time you challenge Caesar's power you pay the price. When you have to rely on other people as you do in this type of organization, you are going to run into less than steadfast people, people who don't recognize that the gospel of redemption is the gospel of the poor .... (He got off onto that again, I think maybe he was trying to make us feel better about TOS' defection. It really seemed quite religious. Probably what he was getting at was that TOS couldn't stand to be a house nigger with the rest of us.) He went on to say that people break. He was surprised TOS has broken though, because though he did not know TOS that well, he understood he was so close to Hill.

Said we have got to retrench here. He said the worst thing we could give up, if it is getting tight and we have to give up something, would be the Peoples Forum. That is crucial. Keep up the voice. The paper had a lot to do with why Hill was hit. It is an effective voice in educating the people; he visited a guy in Yonkers, N.Y. who had a copy of PF sitting on his table. Said this is when they consider you dangerous. This was part of the reason they reached a decision point about going after us. It was all over the country. He said we don't operate in a vacuum. We moved across state boundaries. Peoples' eyes are being opened by Jim. Get the truth out. Report our own side of this thing.

Then he went into a kind of argument Pat gave. Hill should come back. Here is the "heart of the beast." "Eventaully a man has to face his accusers.... With every delay the rumor mongers have a field day." At this point Marcie and Vera told him Hill was by no means in retreat nor staying there because "they have anything on him." They explained how being in Guyana is a prison, 'like a jet airplane in a Model T.' That he would come back were he not responsible for the lives of 900 people, and also because of the custody issue. Marcie explained about Jeremy. Coleman was neutral, not unsympathetic. He said he had not known previously.

He suggested we print the document in our paper. Said he would talk to Charles about his reluctance to jump ahead with some of the things we told him we wanted to do the in way of press releases. Said you have to be "crazy niggers." The Goodletts were always 'crazy niggers' and therefore survived. You have to fight back, you have to be ready to die, because all you have to lose is your life and your chains. Again Marcie in particular explained to him that this is exactly what Hill would do if he could -- jail, death, that he and his family had faced this

long ago and it was so much more difficult for Hill to stay confined to the FL and commit himself to the lives of his people while all this is going on here. Goodlett said if he comes back he may well have to face the possibility of jail. Advised that Hill not "wait until everything is in order" before returning. Said this is America, and we are in insipient fascism. Things will never be in order. Cited the Bakke thing, said the issue is of course much broader and gave the historical perspective why Bakke represents the inequities of the whole system. Said we have to keep pushing (referring to we in the movement) the economic power brokers, see that wealth is disseminated rather than concentrated further in the hands of the adversaries. Said it is the "C's" who are living out the true mandates of Christianity.

Again, said Hill is a threat to the system because he is educating the people, opening their eyes. Referred to the struggle again, saying it is not down there, it is here. Alluded to a sanctuary there. Again we interrupted and said that it was indeed no sanctuary, a movement to be emulated by all the third world people. Said all Panthers, all exiles have come back home, because eventually all realize that the battle is here. He said he agreed what was being done in Guyana was important, that Hill was in the role of the protector now, not of the 'hanger on the front tier.' Marcie reminded him that Hill is a fighter. Not in retreat or sanctuary. He restated that he did not mean to minimize the importance of what is happening in Guyana -- said Charles Garry, whom he loves and named his only son after -- was more enthused about what he was privileged to see in Guyana than he has ever seen Garry enthused about anything. "I share that vicariously." He is working with the People (Hill is). He learned long ago you cannot make a better world for the people, you have to make it with the people and Hill is doing that. But somebody has to be out there scouting the enemy. Described himself as this scout. Said he could not advise Hill what to do, since he was not in the same position as teacher and protector of all those people.

But again reemphasized the importance of the FORUM. Said to name the names, state the facts. Print it all. We told him we wanted to, that Hill had been telling us to run all this in the press as releases for days. But that we were confronted with Charles' reluctance. He said, you can print as "Friends of Peoples Temple" or the "People who are Fighting Back for the Peoples Temple." Said he feels that Garry is reluctant to print things that say "Hill says this, Hill says that." There are ways around it. He said he will talk to Garry, will tell him he thinks -- as we do -- that we ought to go more on the attack in the press. We are in a war. Use the Forum, use handbills. Get it out.

We told him about the phone calls and the tape of the Ron Owens show. He was concerned, said he agreed with us that we have to tell our enemies where to get off, not cater to them in a time of war. "You have to work within your parameters." We told him we agreed 1000 percent, and would he please talk to Charles about this. We felt Charles was on the spot now, would have to make some response to these fools. Explained how we were used by Mickey Touchette. He was in total agreement we should not mess with these fools.. Said "Let them use the public mails." You have to develop a certain resistance in your feelings to the things they say, the shit they sling. We asked him to please talk to Garry at once and tell him this, because it was certainly going badly for us this way. He agreed to.



R-1-A-13

REPORTS  
JAN  
WEDNESDAY, NOV.23

Nothing serious, just a couple things to mention. Since the problem came up on my job (i.e. Canter saw me in front of the Examiner building picketing and called my boss on me) I have had to spend a lot of conscientious time on my job from 8 to 5. My lunch hour is the time I use to call people who are contacts for us, like Karl, Dennis Roberts, Kahn and others. I don't make it home until after the radio is faded out and so there is little or no direct feedback from my department to you until the weekends. I don't know if this is a problem, but wanted to explain why you don't hear from me more. I usually meet with June and Marcie at Eric's after work to go over things, which is working out pretty well.

Most of the burden of getting the instructions carried out is on Sandy and Marcie. I think Sandy is really trying to do a conscientious job, her only big problem that I can see is Leona. She has had a couple runs ins with her, such as Marcie has undoubtedly explained to you. Marcie worries a lot about that -- she worries about nearly everything. I don't mean to make any judgements by saying that. I just think she feels the weight of it all very much. Once she gets going with things during the day she seems to do well. I usually have a conference with her every morning at 7:00. Both of us are pretty worried about relations with Garry, how to get done what needs to be done, strategies etc., and not completely lose credibility with him. It sounds bad -- I don't know if it really is that bad and don't mean to sound an alarm. He is set on certain things and will not retract his ideas. He does not like our strategies. He was mad at the call that went to Dick Hodges, mad that SB and Teddy went out on a walk to Schwartzes, and he insists, as in the reports you've probably already seen, that the true story of Jeremy is detrimental to his case. What case I am not sure of, since it is already decided, and how it is detrimental, we wonder if it isn't more his distaste for being associated with us in this. It is hard to tell. I think he is genuinely convinced revealing Jeremy's story would be abused in the press, but the problem is that he refuses to consider our side of it. When we ask his permission and he says no, that is the end of it. But if we do something without his knowing about it and it gets back to him, such as the call to Hodge which Rex did, he gets hostile. His stance is that unless he can share information with us in confidence then we have no working relationship. He was furious with me for not telling him who had made the Hodge call, for not owning up to it. He was furious about SB and Pat and Irene saying she could not control everybody. He was angry with us when we were reluctant to follow through on the plans to have traitors talk to relatives ont the phone. The situation may not be any worse or better than it has ever been with him. One thing is true, I think, and that is if we continue too many more times to do things like this without his knowledge or permission and it gets back to him, we will jeopardize relations with him.

It was interesting what Jeff Quiros said, of the scientists, that they never let their lawyers do their strategy nor dictate to them. They hire the best that money can buy when it comes to the courtroom, but in other fields they do not ~~xxxxxxx~~ let attorneys tell them what to do or not to do. Said they are notorious "stoppers." I will keep in touch with Roberts and Sheehan just in case. Any instructions as to what kinds of things to relate to them, what kinds of advice to seek are welcome. I would appreciate, too, some ideas on relating to the scientists. Jeff seems a concerned and sincere guy. The other one I met, Patrick Lufkin, struck me like a stuffed-up, self-important fat boy. Not unfriendly, just seemed more interested in his own knowledge of his field (Sims) than in our case. I would feel somewhat confident talking to Jeff about some of our problems and will continue to do that. But if you have any guidelines, I think that would be helpful for me.

A. I. A. K. a

By guidelines, I guess I mean how far to trust the guy for the kinds of information we can get

Another thing that has been on my mind is the kind of base we need/want here.

If Marcie leaves for overseas, I do not expect things to hold together here for long. I think people are willing to accept her authority; her right to a central coordinating position. But when she is not there, there is resentment to directions coming from any other person, and ~~ax~~ paranoia. And there is too much internal division to get together a group council or co-ordinating body. I think Marcie should go over there, but I am concerned about what will happen here. I would hope more people could be over there by then. I think our effectiveness here is at a minimum but still something to be recognized in the community -- i.e. Examiner march and pr events we attend. People know we are still around and call on us. I think it is important to try to maintain that contact, no matter how minimal. I also think it is important to try to continue looking into angles of the conspiracy from this end. We don't put much time into it, mainly because it is just Rex and me snooping around. I am willing to stay here as long as I am useful here, for however long, to do it. I am certainly not the best one for the job, but am learning my way around pretty much... I think there should be a concerted effort to track down whatever we can about these creeps who are tied together against us. There appears to be some leads we can follow and people who are willing to help. One thing came through loud and clear from the scientists, that lots of people, media included, will back off when they think they are going to be exposed. It is another reason to maintain a minimal base here. My concern lies mostly with how to handle the internal conflicts that will arise again (which are settled below the surface with Marcie here) when Marcie leaves. I don't have any solid suggestions, but do think that unless Leona is a key person in fact as well as in name, she will work to divide further.

RE: ALBERT KAHN-- "As soon as I explained about George's stance, and the preference he has shown for a social life, and his dissociation because of our closer ties with the friends of Harvey, he said, "Absolutely expected in an organization like yours. Typical of groups in our struggle. There will always be those kinds of people, even in leadership." He said to tell you he sends warmest greetings from the whole family. He is reading our reports of the work there and thinks it is wonderful. Wants to get together after the holidays. (I will contact him to get together sooner, in view of our new plans for the entertainment of Harvey's friends as guests at the Temple.)

RE: DENNIS ROBERTS: I called re. Dennis, asked a specific question about his case that was raised in a Law Recorder article that the deputy A.G. had new and controversial, "volatile information that is extremely volatile and confidential." about Banks if returned to the state (S.D.)" He told me not to worry, that pertained only to S.D., nothing to worry about here. He said thank you for calling and hung up, not interested in further conversation. I think what I should do is call and make appointments for conference with these alternate attys, sound them out on cases such as mentioned in C.L.'s write up--suits against media, against parents of Olivers and Margaret's. They might be more inclined to look at us more seriously if there is a potential case int it, plus we need the variety of views in the usefulness of such suite.

Will pursue this next week.

*Harriet read*

*A-1-A-1-14*

REPORTS  
TUESDAY, NOV. 22  
JEAN

1. RE. HODGES; He was very friendly, said the issue over Laurie was nothing, that he actually thought she expressed her self articulately. He sends Hill his regards. He knew of Herb Caen article and asked me about it. I said it was true and tried to explain from standpoint of Minnie being suicidal and George unable to face losing her re. career -- he understood, I ~~think~~ believe. Was positive. Said he prays for Hill frequently, appreciates what he is doing for people. San Leandro is a wasteland. It is a tribute to congregation and to Hill that this can all be discussed openly. I told him we have always known, as had Marceline. He was not judgemental in the slightest. Very kind.
2. RE. DAVIDOW: Explained about George as in instructions. Explained the split seemed to come at time of our solid friendship with his friends, that George was opposed to this, something of his background in fundamental religion and anti-comm. He said, "These things happen. There certainly is a historical precedent." What impressed him about PT is our faith and firm belief in the rock on which our movement is built. I assured him Hill and ~~not~~ all of us were solid along the lines of philosophy as he was. He gave me the name of the ambassador and how to reach him for the anniversary letter.
3. KAHN wasn't home, his wife says he is much better. Out for a walk. Will reach him tomorrow. Also trying to reach Bramsford.
4. Tom called COLEMAN. Tom's report: "Talked with Coleman today. He listened. Asked for a copy of the article about George. And also a copy of Wednesday's article, as he hasn't had a chance to look at them yet. His only response was, 'Well, you've got problems.' "
5. RE. JEFF OF SCIENCE: He was helpful, very interested. Met also with another man, Patrick Lufkin, whom Jeff invited to the meeting. I explained to them about the new report from Georgetown, they are very familiar with Lous B. Sims. He is the head of Interpol. It has switched from being in T department to Justice Dept which it now is. Jeff said he smelled Interpol throughout. Said interested parties should file and aggressively pursue FOIA. That is how they got their documents -- though it has taken them 3 years or more to get the essential stuff. we should get exact, flawless documentation and have a media campaign. A counter-attack scares people back off. They just do. They find another rock to hide under. I told him the parties did not want to implicate the source, and he understood. Said some things just aren't leakable because they are highly traceable. Said someone in the news could do it. The man there could ~~not~~ leak it to a well-known newsman, one who is reasonably supportive of us and would send it to us -- one of the few ways to get ~~xxxxxxx~~ what parties are looking for, with protection ~~xxx~~ of confidentiality. Said document, make sure everything is credible, precise and true. Then scream to the press. They learned something from withdrawing from press years ago, learned that though whatever they said was misquoted and misconstrued for years, they could deal with the media by cultivating one, a few reporters, gaining credibility and ~~xxx~~ dispelled the notion that all scientists go around like zombies with their eyes staring out of their heads as portrayed in media. Said they have finally gained credibility with press on their Interpol stuff, after years of being ignored, maligned. Empathized.

*File*

*A-1-A-14a*

Said if we could come up with something, if not the document then affidavits from people who saw it -- (if that is possible without damaging something more important to us in trust with the officials there --) then they would be glad to consider giving it a media push in the channels they have established -- our case could be publicized as another case in point against the kind of thing Interpol does, the kind of exposure they are trying bring to bear on the agency. Use their base.

Again they said to pursue FOAI avidly. Go after all correspondence from the afore-named agencies about PT. Cross reference, find the loopholes and contradictions and sue. Get some investigations going, however we chose to do it. Get Private eyes or trace ourselves. Take a prime source like Minne and trace her whole background, everyone she would have had contact earlier, and everyone she is contacting now. Get her history. Connections will show. Give people we are going to get to the bottom of the conspiracy -- the weaker ones and one-time snitches will scatter.

*Yes*

I said our attorney frequently puts a *stop* on ideas. They laughed and said that they never ask their lawyers about their strategy. Lawyers are perpetual stoppers. He suggested we challenge CK's license. Call the investigative agency licensing bureau--ask how this man with such a long record is practicing. *Recommend we go fishing.*

He will give the information I mentioned to him re. Sims, Murtaugh etc. to Vaughn. He is working on the Interpol connection and anti-FBI suit. Will keep close touch with me. Seemed sincere in desire to be of ~~my~~ help. When I asked more directly for help springin the document in east coast, they just said it takes time and got back on the FOIA thing.

REPORTS  
JEAN  
DECEMBER 1

RE: CONVERSATION WITH ERIC. Something is going to have to be resolved. He won't tak any more deliberation about the movie or about the calls. If we don't want it, he says to tell him. If we refuse to accept calls, we should tell him and he will knock it off. But he will not bring the subject up again, he says.

He wants the film adamantaly, because it shows our strengths and the timing is right. "We ~~could~~ could decide to do a film in the future and it might mean nothing. The time is now." He says he will not say another word about it. He is frustrated because he "has nothing to work with." "All our excuses are lame," in his opinion, whether it be the developemtn of the project, or the fact that it isn't built up enough yet -- whatever. He WILL have the film. He said "this is annoying," and he is tired of listening to himself talk. "Please do it. It is taking too much out of me to fuck around like this."

Re. the calls, Marcie expressed to him she is having misgivings about contacting Tupper after all the shit he has caused. He said he wants the calls made. He asked, where does this put him? "Didn't I say over the air they could call? Haven't we kept them from calling? Don't people listening to the airwaves know that our contact is good when we say it is not and we have no excuse? Why are we paranoid? What are we hiding?" He said if he had not been there, he would genuinely suspect something was wrong -- we were hiding something. But he knows we are not. "Why don't we sell our wares? Why don't we use our strengthes? Let them talk." When Mickey was in the room with all of us, she was sweet as pie. It was when she got together with all her cronies that she got brazen to say the lies. They have planned this, they know us well and calculated we will react like this and are playing it to the hilt. And they are getting mileage off us. We are playing to their game. We should let them talk, let the families theree, such as Don Sly and Mark, talk to Neva. Tell her it is wonderful, they are happy and well. Disarm her and all of them. They say things, we TAPE. (He was utterly frustrated that the call to Mrs. Beavers was not taped by Tom. This would have been exactly the kind of ammunition he needs to talk to Ron Owens and tell him they are all motherfuckers.) What "weakness" does this show on our part? Our strenght is exactly showing the world the wonderful things we have done, how happy the people are. They should tell their relatives this, in a group. If they insist on talking in private, they should be told they will have to pay their way there. He said, "Is it wrong that I tell them to go there and see for themselves? If it is wrong, tell me and I will wipe it all off the slate. But I cannot go on like this, giving maybe answers to them..."

My opinion is that by this time we have an intractable situation on our hands. I understand that at the bottom of the issue is probably not even so much whether the relatives sould talk or not but that Charles, probably out of his enthusiasm when he returned, opened his big mouth and in effect put us in the position of being dictated to by him and the traitors. I truly think he is sincere in thinking that this is the best strategy to deal with people who are negative and who are wondering here, whether liberal, left or whatever. There is also the dimension of him having stuck his ego on the line, and now he is in an impossible situation. He either delivers the phone calls

IMPORTANT: I forgot (in my rush to get this to the airport on TIME) to put the QUOTATION MARKS AROUND GARRYS WORDS. THESE TWO PARAGRAPHS ARE HIS WORDS.

NOTE

or loses credibility in the community as a "dupe," which is what Ron Owens said. I think he made a rash move and forced us into a position that is a departure from anything we have done before in entertaining or catering to traitors. But the mistake has been made and now we have to deal with it and the situation has reached a climax. If we tell him NO and do not comply, he will have lost something personally because he has that much ego and community reputation vested in it now. I think he will not throw us over, but will not be aggressively active on our behalf, not with the kind of enthusiasm he had when he first got back.

I understand, (at least from my own perspective I think I understand) the feelings from down there. He has railroaded us into something without any consultation, without weighing our feelings in this -- and he is reaping the results now in suspicion and bad feelings. I have been pretty vocal about my feelings toward these people and this situation we have been put into, with Mickey Touchette especially. I believe he holds me personally responsible for the hang up on these calls, that I am blocking it. Although tonight Marcie and I both questioned again why we had to do this when we could not win either way, and whatever we say the will crap on our heads -- that there is a principle involved. But those things should have been part of discussions previous to his saying publicly we would make these calls possible. Now the situation is untenable because it has been made a public issue and if we pull out on him - what he has already committed us to <sup>do this</sup> well, I already said, the mistake has been made.

I think we have to go through with these calls now because of Charles. He made it very clear how much it means to him. ~~and he~~ ~~xxxxxx~~ I am not saying this because I think we should "capitulate" to his wishes, but because the importance of the thing is now between Charles and us. He has a definite logic to his points, that they will say things we can tape, that we may possibly use this against them in the long run. One at a time we can deal with them like this. As a gang, when they do things like the phone call the other night, we cannot deal with them. Either we say we don't give a shit what the community thinks about us because of the ass things these fools are saying on the air, or we do give a shit and we use the airwaves to make positive strokes for our own strengths. This, to me, (plus the importance these calls have taken on for him) dealt with one at a time and with full back up down there to counteract their undermining -- it should be seriously considered. I hate like hell to say and do this. I resent these fuckers and they can eat shit -- but Charles has chosen this as his program and we are going to have to do something he wants -- the film or the calls or something to give him some feeling we are listening to him or he will not be the advocate for us we want him to be later on. He is seriously pissed tonight. More frustrated. I would like to tell him why this reaction on our part but he won't understand. We have tried dozens of times. Now we either say no and reach a breach of understanding with him or we proceed with the calls and or the film. Soon.

This is being written hastily to make out the plane. I am sorry for its confusion. I would like to tell Charles what I think is at the bottom of our differences over this -- that decisions like this one he made without benefit of our feelings and consultation create a hostile and suspicious reaction in us. But I truly do not think he will understand this, not from me. Not from any of us here. And I am not even sure this is what IS at the bottom of the problem. I think he is trying in his way like this to defend us, regardless of the

84-4286-2018

ego involvement he has in it at this point. I think we should  
give him some satisfaction on something SOON.

Jean

R-I-A-156



#4

R.I. A-16

# A 2

12-6-

p. 1 - #4 Hyer

A guy who involved w/ CK

Release - told - to all on Joe -

A-1-A-17

## Data Released

# How FBI Harassed Bay Area Leftists

By John Fogarty  
Chronicle Washington Bureau

Washington

Details of an extensive FBI campaign in the Bay Area to harass the militant left and disrupt anti-war activities during the late 1960s and early 1970s have begun coming to light.

The official files on the program, code-named COINTELPRO, are being released to 15 newspapers and news agencies that last week won a lawsuit filed under the provisions of the federal Freedom of Information Act.

Included in the mass of data the FBI was forced to release are 500 pages of material that provide the outlines of the COINTELPRO effort in the Bay Area. Under the program, the San Francisco office

- Formed a network of informants among students and faculty members to infiltrate radical groups on at least six Northern California university campuses.

- Sent bogus anti-white letters to the Black Panther newspaper in an effort to cause a split between the Panthers and the predominantly white militant left.

- Established anti-left allies at the San Francisco Examiner and the now-defunct Express Times, an underground newspaper.

- Encouraged conservative student groups to do battle with left-wing organizations on Bay Area campuses.

- Tried to discourage a group of leftists from hijacking military munitions trucks by planting a story in an unidentified San Francisco newspaper saying authorities knew the plan was afoot.

- Developed a plan, later vetoed on grounds it was too risky, to print and distribute leaflets to split two anti-war groups that sponsored the massive anti-Vietnam War march to Golden Gate Park in November of 1969 that drew more than 200,000 protesters.

Eight agents devoted full time to COINTELPRO in Northern California, the documents indicate. They maintained 22 informants at the University of California at

Berkeley, seven at San Francisco State, three at Merritt College, three at Stanford University, two at San Jose State and one at California State at Hayward.

In addition to the use of the informants, the agents often visited the campuses to follow and observe radicals the FBI was interested in.

Campus organizations listed as having been successfully infiltrated by the FBI at the six schools were the Campus Draft Organizations, Friends of Movement Against Political Suspensions, Campus Mobilization Committee, Progressive Labor Party, Spartacist Club, Students for a Democratic Society, Young Socialist Alliance and the W.E.B. DuBois Club of America.

The informants were paid up to \$400 for their information, the files show. The amount and frequency of the payments were controlled by Charles Bates, then special agent in charge. It is apparent, however, that the FBI did not always get accurate advice for its money.

Bates, who resigned from the FBI last June and who now works for Burns International Security Services Inc., could not be reached for comment.

The Burns office in Oakland reported last night that Bates was on his way home from Dallas.

A memorandum from San Francisco to Washington described Stanford as a campus of middle and upper income students, noted there had never been any student disturbances at the school and predicted the campus would remain quiet.

A short time later, in May of 1968, Stanford was rocked by two demonstrations, including one where students occupied an administration building. Several Palo Alto policemen were injured in removing the students and the trouble went on for weeks with thousands of dollars of damage done.

The San Francisco office also appeared taken by surprise when a student strike erupted at San Francisco State in November of the same year. Most of the FBI's initial

# How FBI Harassed Bay Area

From Page 23

reports to Washington on the strike were based on daily newspaper reports of the trouble.

FBI director J. Edgar Hoover himself vetoed a suggestion by the San Francisco office to print and distribute 40,000 leaflets to sow anger and confusion between the New Mobilization Committee to End the War and the Socialist Workers party, sponsors of the huge anti-war rally and march from downtown San Francisco to Golden Gate Park.

In turning down the proposal, Hoover expressed fear that the pamphlets might be traced to the FBI. Washington did not give approval to a scaled-down plan that called for mailing anonymous letters to local activists claiming the Socialist Workers were frozen out of the planning for the rally and march.

Another letter, aimed at dividing white and black activists, was drafted by FBI agents and sent to the Black Panther party newspaper. In part, the letter read:

"What's this bullshit SDS outfit? I'll tell you what they has finally showed there, true color WHITE (sic). They are just like the commies and all the other white radical groups that suck up to the blacks and use us."

A covering note from Bates to Washington said: "This letter contains numerous errors, both grammatical as well as typographical. It is felt the editors of this newspaper will accept this letter as being legitimate and from one of their own kind."

The documents, which cover the period 1968 to 1971, say the FBI fed anti-left material to a source at the Examiner on a regular basis. The name of that person was deleted from the files by the FBI.

"It is recommended that a copy of the enclosed be furnished to

(name deleted) for the San Francisco 'Examiner' who is an established source of the San Francisco Office and has been used on prior occasions under COINTELPRO." Bates wrote in a memo to Hoover on June 15, 1970.

The subject of the memo was the Institute for Policy Studies, a liberal think tank in Washington, and its San Francisco affiliate, the Bay Area Institute, which has offices at 604 Mission street in San Francisco.

Hoover approved providing the material, which linked the institutes to left-wing organizations, but it appears a story was not published. Explained Bates in a later memo:

"They felt that it would be more proper to wait until they engaged in some activity which would make this article newsworthy. It would also make it easier for them to ask more direct and embarrassing questions. They are interested, will retain the material and advise when it is used."

Bates indicated he had better luck a year earlier, reporting that he provided the information that led to an Examiner story linking former Stanford professor H. Bruce Franklin with a Maoist group at Stanford.

Before the article appeared in March, 1969, the professor's connections with the group had been secret, Bates said, the story "sent shock waves through the group's executive board."

Ed Montgomery, a retired investigative reporter for the Examiner, filed a \$750,000 libel suit against the weekly Bay Guardian in May in connection with an article it published entitled "How the FBI Nailed H. Bruce Franklin."

The suit claimed that the article characterized Montgomery as a "conduit for the FBI to plant stories in the Examiner." Montgomery

denied yesterday that the FBI supplied him with any inside dope about Franklin.

According to FBI records in Washington, the San Francisco FBI office paid \$330 to an employee of the Express Times, who was also a member of SDS, to attend and report on a meeting of the underground press held in Madison, Wis. from Nov. 23 to Dec. 1, 1968.

According to FBI records, the informant chose to fly to the conference because he feared his colleagues planning to drive would be "smoking marijuana" and frequently have accidents or are arrested en route over long distances.

In October, 1968, the FBI became aware of a radical plan to hijack a truck delivering military munitions in the Bay Area, according to the bureau's internal documents.

The agents knew who was behind the plot, but instead of taking action against the conspirators, the FBI suggested to Washington that the Army plant a story in area newspapers to let the radicals know authorities were on to them.

Such a story, the FBI surmised, "would help delay these hijacking plans or possibly convince these individuals that such a hijacking would be foolish."

The San Francisco office heralded the success Young Republicans and the Young Americans for Freedom were having in countering left-wing activity at Stanford and recommended that Washington try to encourage similar activities around the nation. Hoover did so.

Hoover's high regard for COINTELPRO was demonstrated by an incident involving Yippee Jerry Rubin. Rubin was flying from Chicago to San Francisco on a student airline ticket even though he was not a student at the time.

## a Leftist Groups

Use of such a ticket by a non-student is a misdemeanor.

Hoover ordered his agents to arrange with United Airlines to arrest Rubin when he stepped off the airplane in San Francisco. But United refused to cooperate, and

Rubin walked past the waiting FBI men and San Mateo sheriff's deputies without knowing Hoover's trap had malfunctioned.

The director was furious, writing to the San Francisco office:

"Notwithstanding past bureau entreaties regarding the neutralization of these individuals and in the face of a situation pregnant with possibilities for neutralizing one of the most reprehensible of the New Left leaders, it appears your office did nothing more than make a perfunctory effort to net Rubin."

Hoover said the incident showed San Francisco agents "have not yet grasped the significance of the counterintelligence program.

A7-A-17.6

# FBI Here Feared Leftist Revolution in '60s

By John Fogarty  
Chronicle Washington Bureau  
Washington

The San Francisco office of the FBI was convinced in the late 1960s that the nation stood on the threshold of revolution, and was a prolific source of advice to Washington on how the threat should be countered.

It bombarded FBI director J. Edgar Hoover and FBI headquarters with dozens of memoranda, suggesting ways of dealing with the

problems posed by the New Left, recounted its own successes and failures, and offered pep talks on what was at stake.

The material is part of a wealth of internal FBI documents about the bureau's COINTELPRO program made public in connection with Freedom of Information Act suits filed against the bureau by newsgathering agencies.

The special agent in charge of the San Francisco office for most of the period was Charles W. Bates, who yesterday said he had no

recollection of the events covered in the memoranda.

"San Francisco is a pretty big office," he told The Chronicle. "There were probably 10,000 cases pending at the time. The agent in charge doesn't have any direct supervision over any one case," Bates said.

Nonetheless, many of the documents made public had Bates' handwritten initials on them, suggesting at least that he read them before they were sent to the headquarters of the FBI in Washington.

The San Francisco FBI office analysis of the ripeness of American society for revolution was discussed in a memo dated Jan. 27, 1969, which carried Bates' initials. It reads:

"The bureau is now engaged in combating the revolutionary activities of the New Left, anarchists and the like who are constantly attacking the status quo, the Establishment, or whatever name is given to the organized, lawful society which the bureau represents.

"As of now, the bureau and law

enforcement agencies of the country have been bearing the brunt of this New Left attack. The welfare and stability of the United States demands that the government, law enforcement and society as a whole be more widely supported by other units of The Establishment.

"As of now, The Establishment has not begun to use the weapons at its disposal to fight the threat of the revolutionary," the memo said.

"Our principal problem is in developing activities and disseminating information which will turn around the thinking and the non-thinking of influential elements of society so these elements will be aware that revolution can happen here and indeed is ready to happen," it said.

The memo complained that "the courts continue to mete out apologetic sentences" to leftists rather than sending them away "for material lengths of time."

It said, "The news media continues to publicize militant, distorted and inaccurate mouthings and lies of blacks and of the New Left. These news media continue to freely advertise the plans for demonstrations and disruptions so that a maximum number of dissidents and revolutionaries are informed and can travel long distances to participate."

A memo sent from the San Francisco FBI to Washington headquarters in June of 1968, which was initiated by Bates, set forth a variety of measures by which a counterattack could be carried to the left. They included:

- Publication of counterfeited versions of New Left Notes, printed in Chicago, which would be aimed at discrediting the widely-circulated underground newsletter.

- Persuading Chicago fire inspectors or building inspectors to harass the publication's printer, finding a way to see to it that the publication's proofs could be lost or destroyed, and asking U.S. postal inspectors to take an unfavorable look at the publication's mailing permits.

The memo noted that while the suggestions were drafted with New Left Notes in mind, the techniques could be used to harass all radical publications.

It also suggested that Hoover urge the attorney general of the United States to order prompt indictment and prosecution of all leftists known to have violated the nation's Selective Service laws.

"At the present time, San Francisco has submitted reports on 86 Selective Service violations where indictments have been returned but none have been prosecuted," the document said. It said there were another 140 cases pending in which indictments had not even been sought.

(OVER)

The San Francisco office recommended that the FBI drop its rule forbidding the hiring of informants with criminal records when it came to the infiltration of radical groups.

"If the objective is disruption, it would not be necessary for informant to have a clean background. It would not necessarily be held against them or the bureau if they have been convicted of morals charges or of using narcotics.

"The so-called hippies that exist in this area who are involved in demonstrations are reportedly deeply involved in drugs and are completely amoral," the memo remarked. It also urged that the payment ceiling for informants be raised from \$200 to \$400 a month.

But while urging Hoover to take it easy when it came to its informants, the San Francisco office recommended that local police be encouraged to bear down heavily on leftists. It said they should be cited for minor violations of the law like defective automobile equipment or improper license plates.

A memo initiated by Bates urged Hoover in February of 1960 to force the State Department to keep the head of the Students for a Democratic Society who was a German citizen out of the country. He was not named in the memo.

"Perhaps some political considerations are not understood by the San Francisco office," it said, "but such a simple matter as refusing these people entry should not be difficult."

"In the past, previous administrations did not seem inclined to take the firm stand suggested. Now that we have a new administration," the memo said, referring to the Nixon administration, "which appears to have a different outlook, they might be more receptive."

The San Francisco office complained that the militant left appeared to be getting a favorable press and speculated that this was because younger reporters were being assigned to cover demonstrations.

It suggested that Hoover find some way of letting editors and news directors know how their facilities are being used to the detriment of the United States and encourage them to "devote more supervisory attention to news dispatches on the New Left."

On another occasion, a memo initiated by Bates said that the FBI's campaign against the left was complicated by the inability of capitalistic institutions to see the threat against them posed by radicals and revolutionaries.

"There are frequent incidents," the memo said, "in which businesses that are now freely flourishing under capitalism actually are fronting groups that are seeking to overthrow the capitalist society."

Specifically named were Life Magazine, which was paying an SDS member \$1500 for a report on Cuba, and Columbia Records, which was contemplating advertising in underground newspapers.

On another occasion, San Francisco asked permission from Washington to "neutralize" a young woman member of the Communist party who also was a heavy drug user. This was to be accomplished by forging a letter to her parents declaring that the party was kicking her out because she was a drug user. Washington refused approval on grounds the dirty trick possibly could be traced back to the FBI.

Another time, the San Francisco office related its use of a magazine article about a SDS member who quit the radical organization, joined the Army and was wounded in Vietnam.

A.E.A. 17-c  
The office sent copies of the article to every SDS member in the Bay Area along with an anonymous letter asking, "If he can do it, why not you?"

In explaining how he could be unaware of much of the San Francisco office's efforts in the COINTELPRO campaign, Bates said a special agent in charge is like the head of a small company.

"In the day to day work — reports, letters to Washington — I would never see something unless it dealt with something big like the Hearst case," he said. Bates said a squad supervisor deals directly with Washington and has the authority to sign communications with the special agent's name or initials.

Bates, now an executive with a private security agency in Oakland, said, "All of the stuff that went on then has to be looked at in the context of the problems of the time."

"Now the campuses are quiet and people have a tendency to look at them as they are today rather than ten years. It's hard to put yourself back ten years ago — but I remember what was going on. We were having two or three riots a week in this period."

Conspiracy!

R.I.A. 18

PI-A-19

San Francisco Chronicle 3  
Fri., Oct. 21, 1977

## Death by Suicide Finding Is Upheld

Los Angeles

The official description of death by suicide of a 22-year-old man associated with the Peoples Temple and its controversial leader Rev. Jim Jones was upheld by a coroner's jury in Los Angeles yesterday.

The jury had been called to consider several apparent discrepancies in the original report — by the coroner and police — on the death of John William Head, who leaped to his death on Oct. 19, 1975.

At the inquest, police and coroner's officials testified that a bloody scrap of paper was found in Head's pocket with the words "Peoples Temple," "Jones," and "John." But the officials said they could not decipher the rest of the message and declined to describe it as a suicide note.

In addition coroner's officials clarified the address where the body was found because the first report had listed two locations.

A coroner's spokeswoman also said that a long scar on Head's right leg was not mentioned in the first report because other means of identification were used.

The case of Head had been highlighted in a New West magazine article dealing with the church and its enigmatic leader.

*Our Correspondent*

A-I. A-20

Meeting of the Anti-Conspiracy Committee 12/24/77

Directly after the march downtown the group met to discuss what had taken place.

The following are some of the comments which we overheard made by the people on the street:

1. Black female, bad tone: 'Is this Jim Jones' group?'
2. White vendor: 'These people are hired.'
3. 'These people are fools. JJ takes all the money'
4. 'Why are you out here when JJ is not here?'
5. 'Is this all you have to do is just walk?'
6. White man at anti-smoking sign up place: 'Does JJ smoke in public?' Later announced to the public, 'We are not affiliated with PT.'
7. 'Don't believe it. That's a lie. There is no conspiracy.'
8. White female: Asked twice, 'Was the Church burned down?' Wanted to send money. Was sympathetic.
9. 'JJ had to send the children some place. I think this is a shame.'
10. 'Where are all the kidnapped kids?'
11. Gay man: 'It's not just you--it's all minorities.'
12. Looking like we're crazy: 'Is this JJ's group?'
13. 'Real organized.'
14. From a car: 'Weirdos.'
15. Black male wanted to send donation.
16. Old white lady, 'It's true. It's happening everywhere.'
17. 'This is goddamn shit.'
18. 'Why don't you smile. You're too serious.'
19. Negative: 'Guyana? yeah that's JJ.'
20. Hostile senior lady: 'I don't go with JJ.'
21. Black man very enthused--glad to get flyer.



- 22. Black man grabbed Melvin Lowery's shoulder, wanting an explanation. Said the writing was too long to read.
- 23. Conversation: 'Who are all these people?' 'I don't know but they must be right--they're all mixed up.'
- 24. ' I read all your signs, Keep givin' 'em hell. You're right.'
- 25. Lady: ' Anyone that cares that much for seniors and kids — (crying) I'm touched.'
- 26. 'I wouldn't sign my property over to nobody.'
- 27. 'That's PT--right on!'
- 28. Some foreigners taking pictures talked to Eugene who was not a spokesperson. Eugene not present to explain.
- 29. Complaints heard that we were moving too fast for the people to read the signs.
- 30. Overall there were a number of positive comments and smiles.

Mother gave compliments on the brochures.

Some will go back tonight and pick up the discarded literature.

Various criticisms and suggestions for future marches were given.

- 1. We need to spread out, stop and face the people more often.
- 2. The signs should be facing both ways to identify the committee to more people at one time.
- 3. Some could stand in Union Square while others circle it.
- 4. Cold vibes up by Union Square caused us to leave it out for most of the march.
- 5. Pace the marchers better so people can read better.
- 6. Turn and face the streets.
- 7. There seemed to be poorer people on Market street.
- 8. We were handicapped because of no pamphlets for ½ hour. We lost a lot of interested people.

9. People were being approached twice with leaflets and getting hostile.
10. Several monitors should pass the brochures to people at the head of the march, and some on the sides to those who are reading the signs with no literature in their hands.
11. It was suggested that we concentrate on Powell and Market where there are many people congregated for the cable cars (tourists from all over the world). However, while many of these people read the signs, most of them refused the literature or discarded it. Perhaps at a crowded part of the day we could stay there for a while. Since so many religious fanatics hang out on that corner, it would be in order to make a statement to the people that the literature relates to PT and the marchers so that they do not think it is just some type of religious garbage.
12. Monitors forgot to greet people as they were passing out the literature.
13. Monitors with brochures should be in front because people weren't making the connection between the literature and the marchers.
14. No gum sm~~a~~king.
15. It was suggested that we say flat-out that we are socialists. It was explained that it would be better to let them read the literature first so that they are not turned off by a term. It would be better to say that we are humanitarians and then they can read what we're about.  
suggested
16. It was ~~suggested~~ that we have different groups of 7 each in different areas but it was decided that this would look like our ranks were weakened.
17. Some marchers answered people's questions, saying 'This is a church.'
18. There were no complaints heard about our having bad attitudes.
19. We can be more friendly without looking like this is not a serious matter.
20. Monitors should move ahead to pass leaflets to people crossing the streets at the corners.
21. The signs are read at random and they are confusing if they are not read in sequence.
22. Hardly anyone needed to be relieved. We have had training.

23. We need to device a better street crossing procedure.
24. We may need to get a permit if trafficking a crowd is a problem.
25. It was suggested that since so much time was lost bunching up both before and after the lights in order to all cross at one time, that we take advantage of the lights. When the first group crosses, they will turn so the public can read their signs while they remain stationary waiting for the next group to cross. Meanwhile, the group waiting for the light to change would turn likewise for the public to read their signs. More people would be able to read the signs at the lights than are able to read them as we are walking.
26. Don't obstruct doorways of stores.
27. The public may get mad if they have to wait for us to cross.
28. It was suggested that we have section captions, perhaps one to each group of ten people.
29. Traffic and crowd control ideas should be turned into the coordinators or brought to the meeting on Monday night.
30. We will march in force on Tuesday. Coordinators will meet at 6 p.m. on Monday. The general meeting will be at 7:30 p.m. Monday.



A-1-A-21

Department of Justice

DIVISION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT  
ORGANIZED CRIME AND CRIMINAL INTELLIGENCE BRANCH  
P. O. BOX 13357  
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95813  
916/322-2430

August 30, 1977

Ms. Jean F. Brown  
P. O. Box 15157  
San Francisco, California 94115


Dear Ms. Brown:

This is in reply to your letter of August 5, 1977, to Attorney General Younger.

The California Department of Justice has not been asked to investigate the Peoples Temple Christian Church. This matter is being handled by the San Francisco District Attorney's Office and all inquiries should be directed to that agency.

Thank you for your interest.

Sincerely,



CHARLES E. CASEY  
Assistant Director

jb

Thursday January 5, 1978

A. I. A. 22 X

SCIENTOLOGY:

I talked to Pat Lufkin this morning and he said that he had forwarded out stuff on the INTERNATIONAL POLICE CONGRESS to Vaughn Young who is the foremost researcher on INTERPOL. Vaughn is writing a letter to us but Vaughn basically backs up what we said and he says that the Congress is backed up by the testimony of too many agents not to be connected with INTERPOL. He says that he has run into the same situation that we have in that regard and he says that these people do believe that they are agents and that the only thing that needs to be done is to prove the connection somehow. Vaughn says that Scientology is working on proving the connection between the two however they can. Vaughn believes that Rodgers is actually an agent for interpol and that Mazur is. He just warns that INTERPOL will probably deny the connection. Pat went on to say that giving that there is this connection that INTERPOL will not sue us nor will Mazur because it would be the responsibility of Mazur to deny his association with INTERPOL and further more this would open us to the right of discovery on INTERPOL and Pat Lufkin feels that INTERPOL would not want us to touch that with a ten foot pole.

THOSE TWO PHONE NUMBERS THAT YOU WANTED CHECKED OUT:

I am sure beyond a shadow of a doubt that those are the two numbers of the pay phones at the San Franciscan Hotel so apparently what happened is that after the FC was made and the billing didn't turn out or something they decided to put it on the Guyana phone bill. Obviously anyone doing an FC would have had sense enough not to go it collect. Also I wouldn't be surprised if some of the code calls didn't come up for billing just by mistake.

TERRI BUFORD AFFIDAVIT

A-1-B-1  
C-15.


DECLARATION OF TERESA BUFORD

I, TERESA BUFORD, hereby declare:

Timothy O. Stoen and I worked jointly on all major money matters for Peoples Temple. On one occasion Timothy O. Stoen and I smuggled over 1 million US dollars out of the United States. We had it both packed in our suitcases and strapped to our bodies. Timothy O. Stoen told me before this was done that it was illegal to smuggle any sum over the amount of 5,000.00 out of the country but felt strongly that we should proceed in spite of that regulation. Timothy O. Stoen gave me required reading assignments weekly on the subject of laundering money. He would go out to restaurants with me on a regular basis and discuss how to successfully launder money in off-shore corporations outside of the United States. Timothy O. Stoen and I, went to Panama together and Timothy O. Stoen showed me the articles of incorporation for corporations that he had set up in Panama. The money that Timothy O. Stoen and I illegally smuggled into the country was deposited in a bank account for one of these corporations. Timothy O. Stoen told me that should either of us get arrested in leaving the country that we should call Patrick Halinan for legal assistance.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on October 23, 1978 at San Francisco,  
California.

  
Teresa Buford

R-1-B-2


DECLARATION OF TERESA BUFORD

I, TERESA BUFORD, hereby declare:

Timothy O. Stoen advised me on all work that I did while a member of Peoples Temple. That is any communication with ex-members first went through Timothy O. Stoen to be sure that it was legally "safe". Timothy O. Stoen also supervised all surveillance of ex-members and gave his go-ahead on whenever he felt that people should be watched or followed. Timothy O. Stoen liked to have his procedure followed with exactness. On one occasion Timothy O. Stoen yelled at me for over an hour for allowing someone to make a call from a particular part of the city. There was nothing done in what Timothy O. Stoen called the diversions department without his direct approval and knowledge.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on October 23, 1978 at San Francisco,  
California.

  
Teresa Buford

R-1-B-2a



27

A. I. A. J.

DECLARATION OF TERESA BUFORD

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

I, TERESA BUFORD, hereby declare:

Timothy O. Stoen passed me a note, a copy of which is attached herewith and incorporated herein, one evening at Peoples Temple in the San Francisco Temple at a meeting of counselors. It was in or around the late part of 1973. I recognized the handwriting on the note as being Tim Stoen's handwriting. Tim Stoen told me to give the note to Jim Jones as Tim Stoen felt it would be a "good way to handle Jim Cobb." I wrote at the top of the note "Re: Cobb" and passed the note on.

During the conversation Tim Stoen told me that he would recommend that something be written up as a "script" that someone could read to Cobb over the telephone. He said this way he could word the "script" so as to "scare the shit out of Cobb." Tim Stoen said that when the call was made, that it should be done, if not by Annie Moore, then by some other unknown voice, and that the caller should call from a pay phone (not located near the church). Tim Stoen said that the call should not last longer than three minutes for the reason that there was a slight possibility that someone might have the call traced. Tim Stoen recommended that the caller wear gloves so that his fingerprints could not be traced. Tim Stoen suggested that the caller say something to make Cobb believe that his life was in danger.

--

GARRY, DREYFUS, McTERNAN, BROTSKY,  
HERNDON & PESONEN, INC.  
1256 MARKET STREET AT CIVIC CENTER  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102.  
TEL: 064-3131

A-1-B-3a


1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

Tim Stoen told me at the time that if Annie Moore could not do it, that I should interview other people to do the same.

The note was never acted upon.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on October 10, 1978 at San Francisco, California.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Teresa Buford

GARRY, DREYFUS, McTERNAN, BROISKY,  
HERNDON & PESONEN, INC.  
1256 MARKET STREET AT CIVIC CENTER  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102  
TEL: 864-3131

— Re: Cobb —

I still think it advisable to proceed. Person who  
does it should be unknown to subject and should  
try to disguise voice and speak to the point.  
Emmie Moore probably good.

I don't think that the authorities will go  
to all the trouble to make a voice print since  
nothing illegal involved.

It's rare that such a "natural" oppor-  
tunity will present itself.

Finn

R-1-B-3c

R-1-C

REPORTS TO JJ FROM Teri

INTERPOL AGENT:

A.I.C.I

The person that gave us the list of the International Police Congress is a black man whose name is H. Rodgers. He is about 50 years old and has triple lukiema. This man has been supplying Pat and Garry with Information out of the DA's office and other places in regard to the Panther Party for years that has always been reliable in their opinion. Rodgers claims that the reason for the name change on these agents is for the convience of INTERPOL but that these agents functions as INTERPOL agents and they identify themsleves that way and they are the operating agents of INTERPOL ( on a low level). Tom and I went to this guys house to pick up some papers the other afternoon and the name is emaciated and is in a very bad state of health. He was supposed to have died last December so he has outlived his doctors prognosis by some months. He is due to start cobalt treatment after the first of the year. After meeting him I had alot of questions about him becuase he did not appear to be very "with it" but then again the man is dying and ia probably suffering from brain damage. My big fear was that Pat and Charles both seem to be taken in by any face that appears to be black and therefore maybe this guy played his job up to them to get their attention and affection. I don't know. He claims to have gotten into INTERPOL by recommendation of an FBI man. Pat says that he has been the most reliable information giver that they have had ever and she swears by the fact that if he says that he is INTERPOL that he is INTERPOL and that as he said himslef that that was just a name change for the convience of INTERPOL here in the US. Scientology's worry with it is that INTERPOL has yet to ever recognize the fact that they have little agents running around. They are supposed to be operating through the police force and this agent- business INTERPOL will not cop to. Scientology did say that from time to time people have surfaced as INTERPOL agents but that INTERPOL has completely denied any

TO: JJ FROM: Teri

One thing that you should be aware of ~~is that~~ that Garry mentioned on day in the office is that he was talking to some layer friends of his in a party the other evening and ~~for~~ one of the lawyers ~~was~~ was complaining to him that ~~that~~ he had a number of clients on the durg world that had put the funds that they had recieved into Swiss Banks accounts and they are running into all sorts of problems with their funds being tied up and all. He said for them ~~that~~ that this secrecay business is not holding water and that they are in trouble. Of course we knew in writing this to be the case for a long time. There are a number of charges that can be brought against a person where the Swiss may not give the money back necessarily but they do reservie the right that if you are shown to be a criminal of some sort or other~~z~~ that they will not keep your monies secret. This is nothing new- in the back of that green book it gives you the list of offesnes and we have already determined ~~that~~ how we stand on that. I am ~~just~~ just reporting what Garry said. I do think that if they wanted to set us up on various charges--- drugs or guns thatwe would have a problem ----- however--- this is nothing changed from before--- not to alarm you becuae changing them won't hide-- them or make them anymore or less safe becuae ~~that~~ talking is a chain reaction thing--- if they get enough grounds for one to talk them they all will talk so doing the run and hide it again job would ~~be~~ only draw more attention. Futther -- I think that I remember hearing somewhere that some of the traitiors had given a list of names for people to watch for that would be involved in money moving--- so I don't remember where that came from but chances are anyone liky to be miving it is likely to be watched in which case if you didn't have a problem before that you would then .

So this is just for your information.

Teri

*To Jerry Buford*

*A-I-C-3*

1. Cora Elliott, 2327 Broadway, Indianapolis - see report #32, item 6 for background. The information that came back from overseas was sent on to Mrs. Elliott and her attorney by me. In the mail this weekend came the attached Affidavit of Survivorship and Warranty Deed, which need to be signed by Marceline & Jim. The Affidavit is signed by Marceline; the Deed by both Marceline and Jim. Both documents have to be notarized. Mail them back to me when they are done.
2. Serena Hunt - first, her property was recorded in PT name and then sold by PT in September 1976 with a net to us of \$20,734. Her savings accounts were closed and cashiers checks sent to her c/o Jack Beam in August 1976 totalling \$3,062. Kris Kice visited her this week, with Dorren Greaves. The woman has been moved out of San Francisco, to a hospital in Burlingame, where she is on Medi-Cal - they are sending monthly letters to Rheavina see attached. Serena is confined to bed, uses wheel chair to get around, uses bed pan, has some control over bladder; physically is stronger than used to be; has gained some weight, no longer looks emaciated. Senile, doesn't recognize people as having seen them before but did say church people visit her all the time. We have no record of passport for her in James files. At this point, the property has been dealt with so that is not in question. If we were to take her on, it would cost us over \$1,000 a month. I think we should continue visits, maybe on a weekly basis, and if questions come up, just say Mrs Beam is out of the state and unable to take care of Mrs. Hunts affairs at this time.
3. Eddie Washington - Mac is winding up the sale of her property on Towne Avenue in LA, for \$27,000. She had homesteaded this property years ago, which means that we cannot process papers for her with a power of attorney. Mac suggests we have her sign an abandonment of homestead, which is attached. This will have to be notarized. Please send back as soon as possible as the sale is completed and we will need this to wind it up. We already have power of attorney for her but can't use it til we get the abandonment of homestead recorded. *(on original set only.)*
4. Carol Ann Young/Smith - Mac is selling the Note on her property in LA; we have a power of attorney for her but it is signed Carol Ann Young and all the papers on the property are Carol Ann Smith. So please have her sign the attached financial power of attorney in the name Carol Ann Smith aka Carol Ann Young. Return this right away in the mail. DO NOT DATE IT AND DO NOT NOTARIZE IT.
5. Peoples Forum, Inc. - enclosed is the letter from Franchise Tax Board re nonfiling of income tax return for 1977. If anyone is going to file a return on this, I would assume it would be done over there, so here it is.
6. Ronald and Sue Grimm - had a time deposit account with Wells Fargo in San Rafael; their 6/23 notice says that they had a balance of \$378. Somebody there should ask them if this still exists and if anything should be done to withdraw it, or was it taken care of before they left.

7/25/78

from June

A.I.C.B. a  
page 2

- 7/ Vivian Anderson - she left behind her a bank account in LA with insufficient funds in it - she's overdrawn \$784.
8. San Francisco Action Equality - request for past due return. If any tax return is to be sent in to Franchise Tax Board, it would come from there, so here is what we've received. We are not sending them an information return, as directed by Chet and Evelyn's mother, as we are to let the corporation lapse. That also goes for Peoples Forum, Inc.



RICHARD KOMISARS  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
2244 BROADWAY - INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46205  
TELEPHONE: 926-9518

R.I.C.J.L

July 19, 1978.

Eugene Chaikin  
Attorney at Law  
P.O.B. 15156  
San Francisco, Calif.  
94115.

Re: Cora Elliott .

Dear Colleague:

First I thank you for your letter of 7.8.78 on the above subject. It, the letter, contains all information we needed.

On the basis of supplied information I prepared Two papers: Survivorship Affidavit, and Warranty Deed.

Mrs. Marceline M. Jones has to sign the first One. The Warranty Deed should be signed by both: by Mr. James W. Jones and Mrs. Jones.

Signatures should be acknowledged by American Counsel in Guyana, or rather should be signed before Counsel.

The papers so signed please return them to me.

Respectfully yours:

  
Richard Komisars

GENERAL AFFIDAVIT

OF SURVIVORSHIP

A.I.C.3c

GUYANA  
STATE OF ~~INDIANA~~ NORTHWEST DISTRICT ~~Y. X. X. X. X.~~ COUNTY, ss:

MARCELINE M. JONES of NORTHWEST DISTRICT County,  
Guyana

State of ~~INDIANA~~, being duly sworn upon oath deposes and says

- (1) her Name is Marceline M. Jones and her adress is 1.0.B.893 georgetown Guyana, South America;
- (2) February 5, 1957 she, the Affiant Marceline Jones bought jointly with her husband James W. Jones, and mother of her husband Lynette R. Jones real property with legal description:

"Lot Twenty Seven(27) in James A. & Margaret Bruce's addition to the City of Indianapolis as per Plat Book 11 page 11 in the Office of Recorder of Marion Count, Indiana"

The warranty deed was duly recorded February 5, 1957.

- (3) One of the co-owners of the property, namely Lynette P. Jones died December 9, 1977 at Jonestown, Fort Kaitume, Northwest District, State of Guyana.

her age was 76; she was born December 9, 1901.

The Affiant herein Marceline M. Jones, her husband James W. Jones, son of decedent, and other persons attended the funerals.

- (4) since the Warranty Deed described in paragraph(2) of this Affidavit expressly provides that the grantees take with right of survivorship, there is a good cause to strike Lynette R. Jones name from record and affiant petitions so.

.....(Seal)  
Marceline M. Jones

Subscribed and sworn to before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in  
and for said county, this.....day of

.....19 .....

.....Notary Public.

My Commission Expires.....19 .....

WARRANTY DEED

R-1-C-3-d

THIS INDENTURE WITNESSETH, That JAMES W. JONES and MARCELINE M. JONES, Husband and Wife

of NORTHWEST DISTRICT COUNTY, in the State of GUYANA

Convey and Warrant to CORA ELLIOTT and MARY G. LACY, with rights of Survivorship

of MARION County, in the State of INDIANA for and in consideration

of ONE DOLLAR and other valuable considerations Dollars

the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, the following described Real Estate in Marion County

in the State of Indiana, to-wit:

Lot Twenty Seven (27) in James A. & Margaret Bruce's addition to the City of Indianapolis as per Plat Book 11, Page 11 in the Office of the Recorder of Marion County, Indiana.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the said grantor(s)

has hereunto affixed name and seal, this day of 19
James W. Jones (Seal)
Marceline M. Jones (Seal)

Notary Seal STATE OF INDIANA County, ss: Corporate Seal

Before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said County and State, this day of 19 personally appeared

and acknowledged the execution of the foregoing deed.

Notary Public My Commission expires

Auditor Stamp

Recorder Stamp

Sign in BLACK INK for better Micro copies

A NA



# REQUEST FOR PAST DUE RETURN

*R-1-C-3-e*

Date: 07/21/78

SAN FRANCISCO ACTION EQUALITY, INC.

Corporate No.: 7627450 SF5AE

P O BOX 15023  
SN FRANCISCO CA 94115

Income Year Ended: 12/31/77

Due Date of Return: 07/15/78

We have not received your Information Return or Statement for the income year ended 12/31/77. As required by law, we are making a formal legal demand that the return or statement be filed.

Every organization exempt from tax, even though inactive or operating at a loss, is required to file a return or statement for each income period and pay the applicable fee until dissolved or withdrawn. (See reverse side for filing requirement and payment required.)

You should resolve this matter now by filing the return or statement, properly signed and dated, together with the payment of the applicable fee and penalty.

Please contact us if you have any questions regarding this request.

## PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT

DO NOT USE THIS SPACE

Change year

Change address

Address, if different from that shown:

Number and street \_\_\_\_\_

City, state, zip code \_\_\_\_\_

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone Number \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

EXEMPT SECTION  
TELEPHONE (916) 355-0392

SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR DETAILS

7/24/78 report from B. Ford:

A.C. 3-1  
A.C. 3-2

~~A.C. 3-0~~

I. Escrows open now:

- a. Ernest Jones - was approved this week, a one-day submission, figures a week and a half, at least, to close: \$15,000
- b. Roosevelt Turner - still waiting on overseas mail of signed deed
- c. Eddie Washington - second deal went through, sold for \$27,000. This place was homesteaded by Eddie - that means we can't use a power of attorney to transact documents for her. So we are sending an abandonment of homestead form over with the latest law office report, which she will have to sign and it will have to be notarized and returned to us in the mail in order for us to close the sale. Mac thinks the deal will close in about a month and a half - that depends on how quickly we can get the form over there, signed and mailed back.
- d. Carol Ann Young - 2 problems. The first is that the original Note has never been found so Mac has to have it bonded by a title company, which will cost around \$200. The second is that in all the powers of attorney we have here for her, she signed her name Carol Ann Young but all the papers on her property are in the name Carol Ann Smith. So we have to send out with the latest law office report another power of attorney form for her to sign as Carol Ann Smith. That will hold up the sale til we get the paper back in the mail.  
\$2000 Note.
- e. RWV Notes - Bogue, sold, \$2,072.12 net, closes any day.  
Swinney, sold, \$17,236. net.  
Pugh, sold, \$4,346.80 net.  
All of these are on 24% discount. Total to us \$23,654  
But - on all of these properties, we cannot locate the original Notes, so we will have to bond them, which will cost around \$200 each.

II. Unsold:

A. RWV:

1. Parsonage/RWV Temple - nothing new
2. Ranch - nothing new that hasn't been conveyed over the radio. This one changes day by day
3. Godshalk Note - no offer yet. At 24% discount, we would get \$7,776.33 net. We have the original Note on this one, so we don't have to worry about getting it bonded.

R.I.C. 3-1

II. Unsold, continued:

B. LA:

- 1. Santa Barbara - nothing new, still waiting for FHA approval
- 2. Ernestine Blair - no takers yet
- 3. Odenia Robinson - no takers yet
- 4. Berda Johnson's acreage - recorded in Garry's name. Showed it this week; very difficult place to show well, mountain terrain, have to travel long way on mountain road, by the time reach the place, buyer's enthusiasm gone. Chaikin and Mac went there together once so Chaikin should be able to relate to this one.

C. SF:

- 1. Edwards place on McKinnon - listed last week with broker; no word yet.
- 2. S.F. Temple - Mac will schedule another showing with another broker when he gets the word from us here; this would be another company other than Coldwell Banker.
- 3. Pets Unlimited - no word. Told us last month they would pay something in 2 weeks; it's now been 3 weeks and nothing; Mac will call them.

James Simpson's place in Bakersfield - Mac has to drive up to Bakersfield next week and try to find the guy who has not paid anything on the Note.

Alvarado Terrace: we got Garry to sign the property back from his firm to Peoples Temple, in the event that we have a buyer for the apartments.

At the same time we spoke to him about putting the RWV ranch in the name of the Giarry firm; he no longer wants to have the deeds put in the name of the firm but rather just wants a promissory note drawn up stating that P.T. owes the firm such and such an amount as security for property held by the firm. As I understand it, this is not what we want - because our intent is to get the property out of the name of PT and free from attachment. A promissory note wouldnt protect us from that. He says if our property was attached and there was such a promissory note, they couldnt get anything becaus there would be no equity left. That's not the point--we couldnt sell it if they attached it or put a lis pendens on it. We will talk to him about again.

TAX WORK

1. Armella Tardy - we received the attached request for payment of balance due on return for year 1976, in the amount of \$25.70 due IRS.
2. Burger Lee Dean - we received the attached letter from IRS saying she owes \$301.65 for 1975 tax return.
3. Forrest & Agnes Jones - we received the attached notice from IRS saying that the period for claiming an overpayment (refund) appears to have expired before they received the claim. This is for a 1974 tax return, which was filed this year along with other years by us. The refund is \$278.
4. Extensions - Burger Lee Dean got an extension on IRS return for 1977, until October 16, 1978. Velma Darnes and Esther Dillard also got extensions until October 16.

Department of the Treasury  
Internal Revenue  
Service Center

P.O. BOX 12586  
FRESNO, CA 93778

VX 587077384 30 7612 670 7827

ARMELLA TARDY  
% PO BOX 15156  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94115

R 1-C-4-3-R  
36681 14

Date of This Notice  
**JULY 17, 1978**  
Social Security Number  
**587-07-7384** VX  
Document Locator Number  
**94211-165-91202-8**  
Form Number Year Ended  
**1040 DEC. 31, 1976**  
Balance Is Due By  
**JULY 27, 1978**

9401  
If you inquire about  
your account, please  
refer to these num-  
bers or attach this  
notice

**Request for Payment of Balance Due**

The statement at the right shows that a payment is due on your account. Please make the payment by the due date shown above.

If our statement does not agree with your records, the information on the back of this notice will tell you what to do.

If we included any penalty or interest charges, an explanation will be found on the back. (Code numbers in the box at lower right will guide you to the explanations that apply.)

Make your check or money order payable to Internal Revenue Service for the adjusted balance due. Please show your social security number on your payment and mail it with this notice in the envelope enclosed for your convenience.

Thank you for your cooperation.

**3 Tax Statement**

Total Tax on Return .....	\$ 69.00
Less IRA Excise Taxes on Return .....	.00
Balance of Tax on Return ....	\$ 69.00
Tax Withheld .....	.00
Estimated Tax Credits .....	.00
Other Credits .....	.00
Payments .....	69.00-
Payments Applied to IRA Excise Taxes .....	.00
Plus Penalty* .....	20.35
Plus Interest* .....	5.35
Balance Due IRS →	\$ 25.70
Subtract Payments We Haven't Included .....	
Pay Adjusted Balance Due ...	\$

\*See these code numbers on the back for an explanation of penalty or interest charges.

01-09-07

PENALTY AMOUNTS: 01.....\$17.25 07.....\$3.10



84-4286-4013

Department of the Treasury  
Internal Revenue Service

R.I.C.32

Date of This Letter  
07-14-78

Taxpayer Identifying Number  
547-32-6089 IU

Document Locator Number  
95211-116-07549-6

If you inquire about  
your account, please  
refer to these numbers  
or attach this letter

503 A89 9505

BURGER L DEAN  
PO BOX 15156  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94115

Dear Taxpayer:

We have previously written you asking for payment of the Federal tax identified below, but we have no record of receiving it. The tax is overdue and the law authorizes us to file a notice of Federal tax lien and seize your property, wages, or other assets to satisfy your unpaid tax. The total amount due includes interest and penalty and should be paid within 10 days from the date of this letter to avoid additional charges.

Please make your check or money order payable to the Internal Revenue Service, show your taxpayer identifying number (social security or employer identification number) on it, and send it to us with this letter to assure prompt and accurate credit. An envelope is enclosed for your convenience. The copy of this letter is for your records.

If the total amount due as shown below is incorrect because of a recent payment or other adjustment, please send us any balance due, and explain the difference on the back of this letter. If you cannot send us full payment or if you want to discuss installment payments, please contact any Internal Revenue Service office within 10 days from the date of this letter. The telephone number is on the enclosed notice.

Sincerely yours,

Director, Service Center

- Enclosures:
- Envelope
- Copy of this letter
- Telephone Number Notice

Reply to:

Tax Form Number ..... 1040  
Tax Period Ended ..... 12-31-75

Balance of Prior Assessment \$	237.09
Late Payment Penalty .....	30.29
Interest.....	34.27
<b>Total Amount Due..... \$</b>	<b>301.65</b>

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE  
P.O. BOX 12586  
FRESNO, CA 93778

30-7512

DB

7827

FORREST R & AGNES P JONES  
% PO BOX 15156  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94115

SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER

402-8880 DB  
Document Locator Number

If you inquire about your account, please refer to these numbers or attach this notice

94211-147-17979-8  
Form Number Year Ended

1040 DEC. 31, 1974

**Correction to Arithmetic**

In checking the arithmetic on your income tax return, we had to make corrections for the reasons shown below. The amount refunded to you, which is shown on the right, will not be delayed by this corrective action.

**Tax Statement**

Total Tax on Return .....	\$ .00
Less IRA Excise Taxes on Return .....	.00
Balance of Tax on Return..\$	.00
Correct Balance of Tax on Return .....	.00
Tax Withheld .....	278.00
Estimated Tax Credits .....	.00
Other Credits .....	.00
Payments .....	.00
Payments Applied to IRA Excise Taxes .....	.00
Plus Penalty * .....	.00
Plus Interest * .....	.00
You Overpaid IRS .....	278.00
Amount Being Credited to Your Estimated Tax .....	.00
Amount to be Refunded to You, if You Owe No Other Taxes * * .....	278.00

(Any interest due you will be added)

\*See these code numbers on the back for an explanation of penalty or interest charges

[Empty rectangular box]

THE PERIOD FOR CLAIMING AN OVERPAYMENT APPEARS TO HAVE EXPIRED BEFORE WE RECEIVED YOUR CLAIM. WE WILL NOTIFY YOU IF YOUR CLAIM IS DISALLOWED.

\* \* Since we maintain your individual account separate from your individual retirement account, (IRA), you may receive another notification from us about your IRA excise taxes.

165

TAX WORK

1. Armella Tardy - we received the attached request for payment of balance due on return for year 1976, in the amount of \$25.70 due IRS.
2. Burger Lee Dean - we received the attached letter from IRS saying she owes \$301.65 for 1975 tax return.
3. Forrest & Agnes Jones - we received the attached notice from IRS saying that the period for claiming an overpayment (refund) appears to have expired before they received the claim. This is for a 1974 tax return, which was filed this year along with other years by us. The refund is \$278.
4. Extensions - Burger Lee Dean got an extension on IRS return for 1977, until October 16, 1978. Velma Darnes and Esther Dillard also got extensions until October 16.

Department of the Treasury  
**Internal Revenue  
 Service Center**

P.O. BOX 12586  
 FRESNO, CA 93778

VX 587077384 30 7612 670 7827

ARMELLA TARDY  
 % PO BOX 15156  
 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94115

**Request for Payment of Balance Due**

The statement at the right shows that a payment is due on your account. Please make the payment by the due date shown above.

If our statement does not agree with your records, the information on the back of this notice will tell you what to do.

If we included any penalty or interest charges, an explanation will be found on the back. (Code numbers in the box at lower right will guide you to the explanations that apply.)

Make your check or money order payable to Internal Revenue Service for the adjusted balance due. Please show your social security number on your payment and mail it with this notice in the envelope enclosed for your convenience.

Thank you for your cooperation.

R.I.C-3

36681 14

Date of This Notice  
**JULY 17, 1978**

Social Security Number  
**587-07-7384** VX  
 Document Locator Number

**94211-165-91202-8**  
 Form Number Year Ended  
**1040 DEC. 31, 1976**

Balance Is Due By  
**JULY 27, 1978**

**9401**  
 If you inquire about  
 your account, please  
 refer to these num-  
 bers or attach this  
 notice

**3 Tax Statement**

Total Tax on Return .....	\$ 69.00
Less IRA Excise Taxes on Return .....	.00
Balance of Tax on Return ....	\$ 69.00
Tax Withheld .....	.00
Estimated Tax Credits .....	.00
Other Credits .....	.00
Payments .....	69.00
Payments Applied to IRA Excise Taxes .....	.00
Plus Penalty* .....	20.35
Plus Interest* .....	5.35
Balance Due IRS → \$	25.70
Subtract Payments We Haven't Included .....	
Pay Adjusted Balance Due ...	\$

\*See these code numbers on the back for an explanation of penalty or interest charges.

01-09-07

PENALTY AMOUNTS: 01.....\$17.25 07.....\$3.10

Department of the Treasury  
Internal Revenue Service

R.I.C. 3/10

Date of This Letter  
07-14-78

Taxpayer Identifying Number  
547-32-6089 IU  
Document Locator Number  
95211-116-07549-6

If you inquire about  
your account, please  
refer to these numbers  
or attach this letter

503 A89 9505

BURGER L DEAN  
PO BOX 15156  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94115

Dear Taxpayer:

We have previously written you asking for payment of the Federal tax identified below, but we have no record of receiving it. The tax is overdue and the law authorizes us to file a notice of Federal tax lien and seize your property, wages, or other assets to satisfy your unpaid tax. The total amount due includes interest and penalty and should be paid within 10 days from the date of this letter to avoid additional charges.

Please make your check or money order payable to the Internal Revenue Service, show your taxpayer identifying number (social security or employer identification number) on it, and send it to us with this letter to assure prompt and accurate credit. An envelope is enclosed for your convenience. The copy of this letter is for your records.

If the total amount due as shown below is incorrect because of a recent payment or other adjustment, please send us any balance due, and explain the difference on the back of this letter. If you cannot send us full payment or if you want to discuss installment payments, please contact any Internal Revenue Service office within 10 days from the date of this letter. The telephone number is on the enclosed notice.

Sincerely yours,

Director, Service Center

- Enclosures:
- Envelope
- Copy of this letter
- Telephone Number Notice

Reply to:

Tax Form Number ..... 1040  
Tax Period Ended ..... 12-31-75

Balance of Prior Assessment \$	237.09
Late Payment Penalty .....	30.29
Interest.....	34.27
<b>Total Amount Due..... \$</b>	<b>301.65</b>

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE  
P.O. BOX 12586  
FRESNO, CA 93778

30-7512

Department of the Treasury  
Internal Revenue  
Service Center

FRESNO, CA 93888

DB 7827

FORREST R & AGNES P JONES  
% PO BOX 15156  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94115

Date of This Notice  
JULY 17, 1978  
Social Security Number

402-52-8880 DB  
Document Locator Number

94211-147-17979-8  
Form Number Year Ended

1040 DEC. 31, 1974

14082 12

If you Inquire about  
your account, please  
refer to these num-  
bers or attach this  
notice

**Correction to Arithmetic**


In checking the arithmetic on your income tax return, we had to make corrections for the reasons shown below. The amount refunded to you, which is shown on the right, will not be delayed by this corrective action.

**Tax Statement**

Total Tax on Return .....	\$ .00
Less IRA Excise Taxes on Return .....	.00
Balance of Tax on Return..\$	.00
Correct Balance of Tax on Return .....	.00
Tax Withheld .....	278.00
Estimated Tax Credits .....	.00
Other Credits .....	.00
Payments .....	.00
Payments Applied to IRA Excise Taxes .....	.00
Plus Penalty * .....	.00
Plus Interest * .....	.00
You Overpaid IRS .....	278.00
Amount Being Credited to Your Estimated Tax .....	.00
Amount to be Refunded to You, if You Owe No Other Taxes * * .....	278.00
<i>(Any interest due you will be added)</i>	

165

\*See these code numbers on the back for an explanation of penalty or interest charges




THE PERIOD FOR CLAIMING AN OVERPAYMENT APPEARS TO HAVE EXPIRED BEFORE WE RECEIVED YOUR CLAIM. WE WILL NOTIFY YOU IF YOUR CLAIM IS DISALLOWED.

\* \* Since we maintain your individual account separate from your individual retirement account, (IRA), you may receive another notification from us about your IRA excise taxes.

R-1. C-4

Note - This set has original  
papers for signature  
and return.

Ho





*Jerry Buford*

*R-1-C-4-a*

Law Office Report #42

7/25/78

from June

page 1

1. Cora Elliott, 2327 Broadway, Indianapolis - see report #32, item 6 for background. The information that came back from overseas was sent on to Mrs. Elliott and her attorney by me. In the mail this weekend came the attached Affidavit of Survivorship and Warranty Deed, which need to be signed by Marceline & Jim. The Affidavit is signed by Marceline; the Deed by both Marceline and Jim. Both documents have to be notarized. Mail them back to me when they are done.
2. Serena Hunt - first, her property was recorded in PT name and then sold by PT in September 1976 with a net to us of \$20,734. Her savings accounts were closed and cashiers checks sent to her c/o Jack Beam in August 1976 totalling \$3,062. Kris Kice visited her this week, with Dorren Greaves. The woman has been moved out of San Francisco, to a hospital in Burlingame, where she is on Medi-Cal - they are sending monthly letters to Rheavina see attached. Serena is confined to bed, uses wheel chair to get around, uses bed pan, has some control over bladder; physically is stronger than used to be; has gained some weight, no longer looks emaciated. Senile, doesn't recognize people as having seen them before but did say church people visit her all the time. We have no record of passport for her in James files. At this point, the property has been dealt with so that is not in question. If we were to take her on, it would cost us over \$1,000 a month. I think we should continue visits, maybe on a weekly basis, and if questions come up, just say Mrs Beam is out of the state and unable to take care of Mrs. Hunts affairs at this time. *James*
3. Eddie Washington - Mac is winding up the sale of her property on Towne Avenue in LA, for \$27,000. She had homesteaded this property years ago, which means that we cannot process papers for her with a power of attorney. Mac suggests we have her sign an abandonment of homestead, which is attached. This will have to be notarized. Please send back as soon as possible as the sale is completed and we will need this to wind it up. We already have power of attorney for her but can't use it til we get the abandonment of homestead recorded.
4. Carol Ann Young/Smith - Mac is selling the Note on her property in LA; we have a power of attorney for her but it is signed Carol Ann Young and all the papers on the property are Carol Ann Smith. So please have her sign the attached financial power of attorney in the name Carol Ann Smith aka Carol Ann Young. Return this right away in the mail. DO NOT DATE IT AND DO NOT NOTARIZE IT.
5. Peoples Forum, Inc. - enclosed is the letter from Franchise Tax Board re nonfiling of income tax return for 1977. If anyone is going to file a return on this, I would assume it would be done over there, so here it is. *for sending*
6. Ronald and Sue Grimm - had a time deposit account with Wells Fargo in San Rafael; their 6/23 notice says that they had a balance of \$378. Somebody there should ask them if theis still exists and if anything should be done to withdraw it, or was it taken care of before they left.

A-1-C-4.6

Law Office Report #42

7/25/78

from June

page 2

- 7/ Vivian Anderson - she left behind her a bank account in LA with insufficient funds in it - she's overdrawn \$784.
8. San Francisco Actinn Equality - request for past due return. If any tax return is to be sent in to Franchise Tax Board, it would come from there, so here is what we've received. We are not sending them an information return, as directed by Chet and Evelyn's mother, as we are to let the corporation lapse. That also goes for Peoples Forum, Inc. df.

RICHARD KOMISARS

ATTORNEY AT LAW

2244 BROADWAY - INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46205

TELEPHONE: 926-9518

A.I.C.4.C

July 19, 1978.

Eugene Chaikin  
Attorney at Law  
P.O.B. 15156  
San Francisco, Calif.  
94115.

Re: Cora Elliott .

Dear Colleague:

First I thank you for your letter of 7.8.78 on the above subject. It, the letter, contains all information we needed.

On the basis of supplied information I prepared two papers: Survivorship Affidavit, and Warranty Deed.

Mrs. Marceline M. Jones has to sign the first one. The Warranty Deed should be signed by both: by Mr. James W. Jones and Mrs. Jones.

Signatures should be acknowledged by American Counsel in Guyana, or rather should be signed before Counsel.

The papers so signed please return them to me.

Respectfully yours:

  
Richard Komisars

A. C. 4. d.

GENERAL AFFIDAVIT  
OF SURVIVORSHIP

GUYANA  
STATE OF ~~INDIANA~~ NORTHWEST DISTRICT ~~Y. & K. & X.~~ COUNTY, ss:

MARCELINE M. JONES ..... of NORTHWEST DISTRICT  
Guyana County,

State of ~~Indiana~~, being duly sworn upon oath deposes and says

- (1) her Name is Marceline M. Jones and her adress is r. O. B. 893 Georgetown Guyana, South America;
- (2) February 5, 1957 she, the affiant Marceline Jones bought jointly with her husband James w. Jones, and mother of her husband Lynette r. Jones real property with legal description:  
"Lot Twenty Seven(27) in James A. & Margaret Bruces addition to the City of Indianapolis as per Plat Book 11 page 11 in the Office of Recorder of Marion Count, Indiana"

The warranty deed was duly recorded February 5, 1957.

- (3) One of the co-owners of the property, namely Lynette r. Jones died December 9, 1977 at Jonestown, Fort Kaitume, Northwest District, State of Guyana.

her age was 76; she was born December 9, 1901.

The affiant herein marceline M. Jones, her husband James w. Jones, son of decedent, and other persons attended the funerals.

- (4) since the Warranty Deed described in paragraph(2) of this Affidavit expressly provides that the grantees take with right of survivorship, there is a good cause to strike Lynette r. Jones name from record and affint petitions so.

NOTE: THIS MUST BE NOTARIZED BY GUYANESE NOTARY.

*Marceline M. Jones* ..... (Seal)  
Marceline M. Jones

Subscribed and sworn to before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in

and for said county, this ..... day of  
..... 19 .....

..... Notary Public.

My Commission Expires ..... 19 .....

THIS DOCUMENT PREPARED BY *Richard K. ...*

---

**GENERAL AFFIDAVIT**  
OF SURVIVORSHIP

---

By:

MARCELINE M. JONES

---

Mail to:

Cora Elliott  
2327 Broadway  
Indianapolis, Ind.

46205

---

Richard Komisars  
2244 Broadway  
Indianapolis, Ind. 46205

Attorney for Cora ELLIOTT

---

R-1-C-4p(s)

R-1-c-4e

### WARRANTY DEED

THIS INDENTURE WITNESSETH, That JAMES W. JONES and MARCELINE M. JONES, Husband and Wife  
of NORTHWEST DISTRICT ~~CO. KY.~~, in the State of GUYANA  
Convey and Warrant to CORA ELLIOTT and MARY G. LACY, with rights of Survivorship  
of MARION County, in the State of INDIANA for and in consideration  
of ONE DOLLAR and other valuable considerations Dollars  
the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, the following described Real Estate in Marion County  
in the State of Indiana, to-wit:

Lot Twenty Seven (27) in James A. & Margaret Bruce's  
addition to the City of Indianapolis as per Plat  
Book 11, Page 11 in the Office of the Recorder of Ma-  
rion County, Indiana.

Sign in BLACK INK for better Micro copies

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the said grantor(s)  
ha hereunto affixed name and seal, this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 19\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
James W. Jones (Seal) \_\_\_\_\_ (Seal)  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Marceline M. Jones (Seal) \_\_\_\_\_ (Seal)  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Marceline M. Jones (Seal) \_\_\_\_\_ (Seal)

Notary Seal STATE OF INDIANA, \_\_\_\_\_ County, ss: Corporate Seal  
Before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said County and State.

**NOTE: THIS MUST BE NOTARIZED BY GUYANESE NOTARY.**

and acknowledged the execution of the foregoing deed.  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public  
My Commission expires \_\_\_\_\_

Auditor Stamp	Recorder Stamp
---------------	----------------

This Document Prepared by Howard H. Harrison, Notary Public

(Do not record this side unless affidavits are used)

Notary Seal

STATE OF INDIANA, \_\_\_\_\_ County, ss:

Before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said County and State,  
this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 19\_\_\_\_,  
personally appeared \_\_\_\_\_

and acknowledged the execution of the annexed deed.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public

My Commission expires \_\_\_\_\_

Notary Seal

STATE OF INDIANA, \_\_\_\_\_ County, ss:

Before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said County and State,  
this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 19\_\_\_\_,  
personally appeared \_\_\_\_\_

and acknowledged the execution of the annexed deed.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public

My Commission expires \_\_\_\_\_

Sign in BLACK INK for better Micro copies

WARRANTY DEED

FROM  
JAMES W. JONES and MARCELLINE, M.  
JONES


TO  
CORA ELLIOTT and MARY G. LEE

SEND TAX STATEMENT  
TO: Cora Elliott  
2327 Broadway  
Indianapolis, Ind.  
46205

RETURN THIS DEED  
TO: Cora Elliott  
2327 Broadway  
Indianapolis, Ind.  
46205

LEGAL FORMS  
BY  
STATIONERS INC.  
36 N. PENNSYLVANIA ST.  
INDIANAPOLIS

R-1-C-4e(1)



# County of San Mateo

Department of Public Health and Welfare

Social Service Division  
274 WEST 20TH AVENUE  
SAN MATEO, CALIFORNIA 94403  
(415) 573-2222

A-1-C-4-1.  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
WILLIAM H. ROYER, Chairman  
EDWARD J. BACCIOCCO, JF  
JEAN FASSLER  
JAMES V. FITZGERALD  
JOHN M. WARD

George Pickett, M.D., M.P.H.  
DIRECTOR OF HEALTH AND WELFARE

June 30, 1978

Mrs. Bean  
2231 Sutter  
San Francisco, CA.

Dear Mrs. Bean:

We are contacting the relatives and friends of patients who were recently transferred from the San Franciscan Center to Bayview Convalescent Hospital in Burlingame.

We are aware of the stress the patient and you are experiencing as a result of this transfer. We feel it would be helpful to the adjustment of the patient if plans for providing social supports for the patients could be developed.

Perhaps by coming together and discussing mutual problems we can find some answers. For example, we may be able to come up with some ideas to meet transportation and language barrier problems.

We have arranged a meeting on Wednesday, July 12, 1978 / at 7 P. M.  
Hospital. We would like you, or another family member or friend to attend. If you need further information, please call me at 573-2661

Very truly yours,

*Mrs. Sylvia Newport*

Sylvia Newport  
Social Worker

SN:bh

Please direct reply to:



STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
FRANCHISE TAX BOARD

447 COLLEGE AV  
SANTA ROSA CA 95403



OFFICIAL NOTICE

*R.I.C. 4-11*  
*R.I.C. 4-g*

Date: 12/09/77

Income Year Ended: 03/31/77

PEOPLE'S FORUM, INC.

Corporate No.: 7676740 PF9I\*

EUGÈNE CHAIKIN  
PO BX 192  
REDWOOD VLY CA 95470

WE SENT YOU A NOTICE REQUESTING THAT A RETURN BE FILED FOR THE INCOME YEAR SHOWN ABOVE. OUR RECORDS INDICATE THAT THE RETURN HAS NOT BEEN FILED. THE RETURN SHOULD BE FILED AND THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF TAX, PENALTIES, AND INTEREST PAID IMMEDIATELY. OTHERWISE, A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE CORPORATION MUST APPEAR AT THIS OFFICE BY 12/21/77, SO WE MAY DETERMINE AN APPROPRIATE COURSE OF ACTION.

IF YOU DO NOT COMPLY, A NOTICE OF PROPOSED ASSESSMENT MAY BE ISSUED AND INVOLUNTARY ENFORCEMENT ACTION TAKEN. ENFORCEMENT ACTION MAY INCLUDE FILING OF TAX LIENS, SEIZURE AND SALE OF PROPERTY, AND OTHER ACTION AVAILABLE TO US IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAW. IN ADDITION, THE CORPORATION'S POWERS, RIGHTS, AND PRIVILEGES MAY BE SUSPENDED OR FORFEITED.

*return for '77*  
*estimate for '78*

*general corp. (not exempt)*  
*must file income tax return*  
*Mrs. Lew 12/15/77*

COLLECTION SECTION  
TELEPHONE (707) 544-0543

*send this letter with return*



A-1-C-4-R

**EXEMPT ORGANIZATION ANNUAL INFORMATION RETURN**

For calendar year 1977  
or fiscal year begun \_\_\_\_\_, 1977, and ended \_\_\_\_\_, 1978

Indicate address  
change on label  
See  
Instruction H

**DO NOT USE THESE SPACES**

SERIAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_

AMOUNT OF REMITTANCE \_\_\_\_\_

REVENUE CODE \_\_\_\_\_

CY	CA	FA	A	DE
----	----	----	---	----

CLASSIFICATION \_\_\_\_\_

CORPORATE OR ORGANIZATION NO. \_\_\_\_\_

FEDERAL EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NO. \_\_\_\_\_

PLEASE AFFIX PREADDRESSED LABEL, PRINT OR TYPE

**PART I. All organizations complete Part I (Unless permitted to file Form 199B, see General Instruction A)**  
If you are not a private foundation and line 8 is \$10,000 or less, only complete Part I. Do not complete Part II

**Receipts (Revenues)**

1. Gross sales and receipts from all sources, other than shown on lines 5 and 6 (from line 8, Part II)			
2. Cost of goods sold			
3. Cost or other basis and sales expenses of assets sold			
4. Gross Income—line 1 less sum of lines 2 and 3			
5. Gross dues and assessments from members and affiliates			
6. Gross contributions, gifts, grants and similar amounts received (see instructions)			
7. Total—add lines 4, 5 and 6			
8. Gross receipts for filing requirement tests—add lines 1, 5 and 6			

**Expenses and Disbursements**

9. Expenses attributable to gross income		
10. Disbursements for purposes for which exempt		
11. Excess of receipts over expenses and disbursements—line 7 less sum of lines 9 and 10		

**Assets and Liabilities**

	Beginning of year	End of year
12. Total assets		
13. Total liabilities		
14. Net worth		

ATTACH REMITTANCE HERE

15. Have any changes not previously reported to the Franchise Tax Board been made in your governing instrument, articles of incorporation, or bylaws, or other instruments of similar import? If "Yes," attach a copy of the changes
16. If exempt under Section 23701d and you have during the year (1) attempted to influence legislation or any ballot measure, or (2) participated in any political campaign, or (3) made an election under Section 23704.5 (relating to lobbying by public charities), complete and attach Form FTB 3509 (available at any local Franchise Tax Board office).
17. Is this a group return filed on behalf of affiliated organizations (see General Instruction F)?
18. If exempt under Sec. 23701g enter amount of gross receipts from general public use of club facilities
19. The books are in care of \_\_\_\_\_ Telephone Number: \_\_\_\_\_  
Located at \_\_\_\_\_

20. Check form(s) filed: Federal  990  990T  990PF  1041; State  109.

21. FILING FEE—\$5.00 (See General Instruction D).

If exempt under Sec. 23701d and fee not required, check appropriate box: (see instructions)

Religious  School  Public charity  Controlled by religious organization

22. PENALTY FOR FAILURE TO FILE ON TIME (See General Instruction E)

23. BALANCE DUE: (Add lines 21 and 22) . . . . . PAY ENTIRE AMOUNT WITH RETURN

Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have examined this return, including accompanying schedules and statements, and to the best of my knowledge and belief it is true, correct and complete. Declaration of preparer (other than taxpayer) is based on all information of which preparer has any knowledge.

Date \_\_\_\_\_ Signature of officer \_\_\_\_\_ Title \_\_\_\_\_ State in which signed \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_ Signature of individual or firm preparing this return \_\_\_\_\_ Address \_\_\_\_\_

R-1-C-4

**PART II. Organizations with Gross Receipts of More than \$10,000 and Private Foundations Regardless of Amount of Gross Receipts—Complete Part II or furnish substitute information. See Specific Instructions.**

**Receipts from Other Sources (line 1, Part I)**

1. Gross sales or receipts from all business activities (state nature). (Attach a statement explaining how each business activity not reported on Form 109 contributed importantly to your exempt purpose. See General Instruction K)	
2. Interest	
3. Dividends	
4. Gross rents	
5. Gross royalties	
6. Gross amount received from sale of assets, excluding inventory items (attach schedule)	
7. Other income (attach schedule—Do not include contributions, gifts, grants, etc.)	
8. Total gross sales or receipts from other sources. Enter here and on line 1, page 1	

**Expenses and Disbursements (lines 9 and 10, Part I)**

	(A) Attributable to gross income	(B) For exempt purposes
9. Contributions, gifts, grants, and similar amounts paid (attach itemized statement)		
10. Disbursements to or for members		
11. Compensation of officers, directors, and trustees (attach schedule)		
12. Other salaries and wages		
13. Interest		
14. Taxes		
15. Rent		
16. Depreciation (and depletion)		
17. Other (attach schedule)		
18. Totals. Enter here and on lines 9 and 10, page 1		

**BALANCE SHEETS**

	Beginning of Taxable Year		End of Taxable Year	
	(A) Amount	(B) Total	(C) Amount	(D) Total
<b>Assets</b>				
19. Cash: (a) Savings and interest-bearing accounts				
(b) Other				
20. Accounts receivable net				
21. Notes receivable net (attach schedule)				
22. Inventories				
23. Gov't obligations: (a) U.S. and instrumentalities				
(b) State, subdivisions thereof, etc.				
24. Investments in nongovernmental bonds, etc. (attach schedule)				
25. Investments in corporate stocks (attach schedule)				
26. Mortgage loans (number of loans _____)				
27. Other investments (attach schedule)				
28. Depreciable (depletable) assets (attach schedule)				
(a) Less accumulated depreciation (depletion)				
29. Land				
30. Other assets (attach schedule)				
31. Total assets (line 12, Part I)				
<b>Liabilities</b>				
32. Accounts payable				
33. Contributions, gifts, grants, etc., payable				
34. (a) Bonds and notes payable (attach schedule)				
(b) Mortgages payable				
35. Other liabilities (attach schedule)				
36. Total liabilities (line 13, Part I)				
<b>Net Worth</b>				
37. Capital stock or principal fund				
38. Paid-in or capital surplus				
39. Retained earnings or income fund				
40. Total net worth				
41. Total liabilities and net worth				

7 84-4286-2018

11-C-47

# STATEMENT BY DOMESTIC CORPORATION

THIS STATEMENT MUST BE FILED WITH CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE (SECT. 3301, CORPORATIONS CODE)  
PLEASE READ THE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE BACK OF THE FORM BEFORE COMPLETING.

THE CORPORATION NAMED HEREIN, ORGANIZED UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, MAKES THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:

1. ADDRESS & LOCATION OF ITS PRINCIPAL OFFICE		SUITE OR ROOM	1A	1B
998 Divisadero Street			San Francisco CALIF.	94115
<small>NUMBER AND STREET (DO NOT USE P.O. BOX NO.)</small>			<small>CITY</small>	<small>ZIP CODE</small>
2 MAILING ADDRESS IF DIFFERENT THAN 1-1B		SUITE OR ROOM	2A	2B
P.O. Box 15156			San Francisco	94115
			<small>CITY &amp; STATE</small>	<small>ZIP CODE</small>

THE NAMES OF THE FOLLOWING OFFICERS ARE:

3. PRESIDENT: CAROLYN LOOMAN  
NAME

4. <u>855 Fillmore Street</u> <small>BUSINESS OR RESIDENCE ADDRESS (DO NOT USE P.O. BOX NO.)</small>	4A <u>San Francisco CA</u> <small>CITY &amp; STATE</small>	4B <u>94115</u> <small>ZIP CODE</small>
---	---	--

5. VICE-PRESIDENT: Johnny Brown  
NAME

6. <u>1415 Scott Street</u> <small>BUSINESS OR RESIDENCE ADDRESS (DO NOT USE P.O. BOX NO.)</small>	6A <u>San Francisco CA</u> <small>CITY &amp; STATE</small>	6B <u>94115</u> <small>ZIP CODE</small>
---	---	--

7. SECRETARY: Andrew M. Silver  
NAME

8. <u>1828 Steiner Street</u> <small>BUSINESS OR RESIDENCE ADDRESS (DO NOT USE P.O. BOX NO.)</small>	8A <u>San Francisco CA</u> <small>CITY &amp; STATE</small>	8B <u>94115</u> <small>ZIP CODE</small>
---	---	--

9. TREASURER: Andrew M. Silver  
NAME

10. <u>1828 Steiner Street</u> <small>BUSINESS OR RESIDENCE ADDRESS (DO NOT USE P.O. BOX NO.)</small>	10A <u>San Francisco CA</u> <small>CITY &amp; STATE</small>	10B <u>94115</u> <small>ZIP CODE</small>
--	--	---

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE

11.

BY Carolyn Looman  
SIGNATURE OF CORPORATE OFFICER

DATE July 4 19 77 TITLE President

FEE None 7627450 05/22 25483-A

SAN FRANCISCO ACTION EQUALITY, INC.  
P. O. Box 15156  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94115

1. ADDRESS & LOCATION OF THE PRINCIPAL OFFICE 515 Hayes Street		San Francisco CALIF.		94102
NUMBER AND STREET (DO NOT USE P.O. BOX NO.)		CITY	COUNTY	ZIP CODE
2. MAILING ADDRESS IF DIFFERENT THAN 1-1B P.O. Box 15156		2A San Francisco, California		2B 94115 ZIP CODE

THE NAMES OF THE FOLLOWING OFFICERS ARE:

3. PRESIDENT: CAROLYN LOOMAN NAME		
4 855 Fillmore Street BUSINESS OR RESIDENCE ADDRESS (DO NOT USE P.O. BOX NO.)	4A San Francisco CA CITY & STATE	4B 94115 ZIP CODE
5. VICE-PRESIDENT: JOHNNY BROWN NAME		
6 1415 Scott Street BUSINESS OR RESIDENCE ADDRESS (DO NOT USE P.O. BOX NO.)	6A San Francisco CA CITY & STATE	6B 94115 ZIP CODE
7. SECRETARY: ANDREW M. SILVER NAME		
8 1664 Page Street BUSINESS OR RESIDENCE ADDRESS (DO NOT USE P.O. BOX NO.)	8A San Francisco CITY & STATE	8B 94115 ZIP CODE
9. TREASURER: ANDREW M. SILVER NAME		
10 1664 Page Street BUSINESS OR RESIDENCE ADDRESS (DO NOT USE P.O. BOX NO.)	10A San Francisco CITY & STATE	10B 94115 ZIP CODE

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE

11.

BY Carolyn Looman  
SIGNATURE OF CORPORATE OFFICER

DATE 2/9/76 19 76 TITLE President

FEE NONE 7627450 01/30 198  
SAN FRANCISCO ACTION EQUALITY, INC.  
P. O. BOX 15023  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94115

PLEASE DO NOT ALTER THE PREPRINTED CORPORATE NAME AND ADDRESS



STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
FRANCHISE TAX BOARD  
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95857


A.I.C. 4-1

Please remove the preaddressed label below and attach it to the return filed. If someone other than the taxpayer prepares the return, please use the label. Correct the address if necessary.

D-7627450 SF5AË L 12 01/28/76

SAN FRANCISCO ACTION EQUALITY, I  
NC.

P O BOX 15023  
SN FRANCISCO CA 94115





**1977 — INSTRUCTIONS FOR FILING FORM 199**  
**Exempt Organization Annual Information Return**

*R.I.C. 4. m*

All forms referred to in these instructions are available at any of the Franchise Tax Board offices.

**GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS**

**A. WHO MUST FILE A RETURN**—All exempt organizations and some nonexempt charitable trusts must file an annual information return (Form 199) and pay a \$5.00 filing fee (some exceptions), unless these instructions excuse them from filing the form. The requirement to file a Form 199 is the same as the filing requirements for the Federal Form 990, except that charitable remainder trusts which are private foundations must file Form 199 rather than a trust return, and some branches of groups may not be permitted to be included in a group return. Also, some mutual and cooperative organizations which are exempt under federal law are not exempt under California law. Organizations that are not required to file a Form 199 are required to file the simplified Form 199B, which does not require the payment of a filing fee. Private foundations have additional filing requirements; see below.

An annual return on Form 199 is required of all organizations exempt from tax under Section 23701 except:

- (1) A church, an interchurch organization of local units of a church, a convention or association of churches, or an integrated auxiliary of a church such as men's or women's organization, religious school, mission society, or youth group.
- (2) An exclusively religious activity of any religious order.
- (3) An organization (other than a private foundation, as defined in Section 23709 and the regulations thereunder), the gross receipts of which in each taxable year are normally (see definitions) not more than \$5,000.
- (4) A mission society sponsored by or affiliated with one or more churches or church denominations, more than one-half of the activities of which society are conducted in, or directed at persons in, foreign countries.
- (5) Nonprofit exempt organizations formed to carry out a function of the State, or a public body that is carrying out that function and is controlled by the State or a public body.
- (6) A religious or apostolic organization described in Section 23701k. These organizations must attach a completed Form 565, Partnership Return of Income, to Form 199B.

Note: A stock bonus, pension or profit-sharing trust exempt under Section 17631 is not required to file any report with the Franchise Tax Board, unless it has unrelated business income of \$1,000 or more which requires the filing of Form 109, Exempt Organization Business Income Tax Return. See Section 18405.

**NONEXEMPT CHARITABLE TRUST**—A nonexempt charitable trust described in Section 4947(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code which is treated as a private foundation under Section 509(a) of the Internal Revenue Code is required to file Form 199 (not Form 541) and to comply with the reporting requirements of a private foundation. Other charitable remainder trusts and split-interest trusts file Form 541.

**PRIVATE FOUNDATIONS**—A private foundation must complete Part I of Form 199 and pay the \$5.00 filing fee. It must also complete Part II and furnish other information or it may furnish substitute information as follows:

- (1) Furnish a completed copy of the current Registry of Charitable Trusts Report, Form CT-2, or

- (2) Furnish a completed copy of Federal Form 990-PF, with appropriate schedules.

Failure to file these documents on or before the due date will subject the organization to penalties as provided in the law. The simplest way to satisfy the Franchise Tax Board filing requirements is to complete Part I of Form 199 and attach a complete copy of the current Registry of Charitable Trusts Report, Form CT-2.

**B. WHO MUST FILE FORM 199B**—All exempt organizations excepted from filing Form 199 (see above) are required to file an Annual Information Statement on Form 199B.

**C. WHERE AND WHEN TO FILE**—Form 199 or 199B must be filed with the Franchise Tax Board, Sacramento, CA 95857, on or before the 15th day of the fifth month (within 4½ months) following the close of the accounting period. An automatic extension of time to file, for a period not to exceed six months, will be granted upon timely application. Submit Form FTB 3504 to the Franchise Tax Board **before** the due date, if an extension of time to file is needed.

**D. PAYMENT OF FILING FEE**—All organizations required to file Form 199, except those described below, must pay a \$5.00 filing fee with Form 199. If payment is not made on or before the due date (or extended due date) the fee is \$10.00 unless there is reasonable cause for late payment. Organizations permitted to file Form 199B are not required to pay the \$5.00 filing fee. Organizations required to file Form 199 but not required to pay the filing fee should check the appropriate box on line 21 of Form 199. Organizations exempt under Section 23701d which are not required to pay a filing fee are described as follows:

- (1) Exclusively religious organizations.
- (2) An exclusively educational organization exempt under Section 23701d, if such organization normally maintains a regular faculty and curriculum and normally has a regularly organized body of pupils or students in attendance at the place where its educational activities are regularly carried on.
- (3) An exclusively charitable organization, or an organization for the prevention of cruelty to children or animals, exempt under Section 23701d, if such organization is supported, in whole or in part, by funds contributed by the United States or any state or political subdivision thereof, or is primarily supported by contributions of the general public.
- (4) An organization exempt under Section 23701d, if such organization is operated, supervised, or controlled by or in connection with an exclusively religious organization.

NOTE: An organization which conducts a substantial charitable program in conjunction with a substantial educational, scientific or literary program does **not** meet the requirements of any of the exceptions set out above.

**E. PENALTY FOR FAILURE TO FILE ON TIME**—If an organization or trust required to file a Form 199 fails to file the return on or before the due date, it will have to pay \$5.00 for each month (or part thereof) after the due date, not to exceed \$40.00, unless it can be shown that the failure was due



to reasonable cause. Also in the case of a private foundation, the Franchise Tax Board may make written demand that such delinquent return or foundation report be filed within a reasonable time after notice of mailing such demand. The person failing to file (unless it can be shown to be due to reasonable cause) will have to pay \$5.00 for each month (or part thereof), not to exceed \$25.00, after the period expires.

**NOTE: Failure to file Form 199 or 199B.**

The corporate rights, powers and privileges may be suspended, or the exemption from tax may be revoked, for failure to file a return or statement or pay the filing fee, or penalties, within one year after the close of the organization's accounting period.

**F. GROUP RETURNS:**

**General**—Large organizations with a central, parent, state or district office and with numerous branches or local units, may qualify to file a group return. Group returns greatly simplify the reporting process and it is urged that serious consideration be given to this method of reporting. It relieves the individual units or branches of the requirement to file annual returns, and, in most cases, does not increase the burden of the parent organization.

Inquiries regarding group reporting may be directed to any of the Franchise Tax Board district offices, or to the attention of the Exempt Organization Section, Franchise Tax Board, Sacramento, CA 95857.

**G. SIGNATURE AND VERIFICATION**—The form must be signed by the president, vice president, treasurer, assistant treasurer, chief accounting officer, or other corporate officer (such as tax officer) who is authorized to sign. A receiver, trustee, or assignee must sign the form if he is required to file on behalf of the organization. If the form is filed on behalf of a trust, it must be signed by the duly authorized trustee or trustees. The form must also be signed by any person, firm or corporation who prepared the form. If the form is prepared by a firm or corporation, it should be signed in the name of the firm or corporation. The verification is not required if the form is prepared by a regular full-time employee.

**H. LABEL**—If you received a form from the Franchise Tax Board with a preaddressed label, please affix the label to the name and address area of the form you file. If the name or address on the label is wrong, draw a single line through the incorrect portion and enter the correct information. The organization's permanent address or the address of the treasurer, who should receive next year's form, should be used.

**I. FINAL REPORT**—If the organization becomes inactive and this is the last report to be filed, write "FINAL REPORT" at the top of the form.

**J. FORM 109**—Every organization exempt from tax under Section 17631 or 23701, except organizations controlled by the State, must file a return on Form 109 (Exempt Organization Business Income Tax Return) if the gross income derived from an unrelated business is \$1,000 or more. There are special rules, including rules for organizations described in Section 23701g and 23701i, for unrelated debt financed income, rents, advertising income and income from controlled subsidiaries. The rules for exempt California organizations, except churches, are the same as the rules for the federal government. Churches were made subject to the tax on unrelated business income in 1970. All provisions of the tax on unrelated business income are applicable to churches and other organizations for income years beginning on or after January 1, 1972. The return is normally due on or

before the 15th day of the third month (within 2½ months) following the close of the organization's income year. Form 109 may be obtained from any Franchise Tax Board office. See Form 109 for detailed instructions.

**K. Form 100—HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATIONS**—A homeowners association exempt under Section 23701t must file the taxable corporation return Form 100 if it received "Homeowners Association taxable income" in excess of \$100.00.

"Homeowners Association taxable income" means all income received during the taxable year other than amounts received from membership fees, dues or assessments.

**L. FORMS 596 AND 599**—Every organization engaged in a trade or business (which includes for this purpose all exempt functions) shall file information returns on Forms 596 and 599 to report payments of compensation (not subject to income tax withholding), dividends, interest, rents, royalties, annuities, pensions, etc. See Form 596 for additional instructions and filing requirements.

The reporting of salaries, wages or other compensation for personal services subject to income tax withholding is made on the State copies (part 2) of Federal Form W-2 and State Form DE 43, Reconciliation of Income Tax Withheld and Transmittal of Forms W-2. These are to be filed with the Department of Benefit Payments, P.O. Box 105, Sacramento, CA 95801.

**M. DEFINITIONS:**

**Gross Receipts for Purposes of this Return**—Gross receipts means the gross amount received by the organization during its annual accounting period from all sources without reduction for any costs or expenses; including for example—cost of goods or assets sold, cost of operations, or expenses of earning, raising or collecting such amounts. Thus, gross receipts include but are not limited to (1) the gross amount received as contributions, gifts, grants and similar amounts without reduction for the expenses of raising and collecting such amounts; (2) the gross amount received as dues or assessments from members or affiliated organizations without reduction for expenses attributable to the receipt of such amounts; (3) gross sales or receipts from business activities, including business activities unrelated to the purpose for which the organization received an exemption, the net income or loss of which may be required to be reported on Form 109; (4) the gross amount received from the sale of assets without reduction for the cost or other basis of the property and expense of sale; and (5) the gross amount received as investment income such as interest, dividends, rents and royalties.

**Normally not More Than \$5,000**—For the purpose of organizations described in paragraph A-3, or paragraphs D-1, 2, 3 or 4 above, gross receipts of an organization are normally not more than \$5,000 if:

- (1) in the case of an organization which has been in existence for one year or less, the organization has received, or donors have pledged to give, gross receipts of \$7,500 or less during the first year of the organization.
- (2) in the case of an organization which has been in existence for more than one, but less than three years, the average gross receipts received by the organization in each of its first two years are \$6,000 or less, and
- (3) in the case of an organization which has been in existence for three years or more, the average gross receipts received by the organization in each of its immediately preceding three years, including the year for which the return is filed, are \$5,000 or less.

## SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS FORM 199

R.I.C. 4.0

## FORM 199—PART I

**Line 6. Gross contributions, gifts, grants, and similar amounts received.**—Private foundations, regardless of amount of gross receipts, and other organizations with gross receipts of more than \$10,000 must attach an itemized schedule where money, securities, or other property aggregating \$5,000 or more is received directly or indirectly from one person in one or more transactions during the year, showing the name, address, date received, and the total amount received from each such person.

An organization described in Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code which meets the 33 $\frac{1}{3}$  percent support test under the Federal regulations under Section 170(b)(1)(A)(vi) of the Internal Revenue Code, without regard to whether such organization qualifies as an organization described in Section 170(b)(1)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code, need only furnish the above information for a person contributing \$5,000 if the contribution also exceeds 2 percent of total contributions and bequests received during the year.

Organizations, in determining whether a person has contributed \$5,000 or more, need only aggregate gifts of \$1,000 or more from such person. Separate and independent gifts need not be aggregated if less than \$1,000. Also, if a contribution is in the form of property (other than securities), the organization need only furnish a description of such property. If the property consists of securities for which market quotations are readily available, the description and fair market value of the securities must be submitted.

The term "person" includes individuals, fiduciaries, partnerships, corporations, associations, trusts and exempt organizations.

An organization, other than a private foundation, need only report the name and address of the contributor where it has actual knowledge of the contributor. For instance, an organization need not require an employer which withholds contributions from the compensation of employees and pays over to the organization periodically the total amounts withheld, to specify the amounts paid over with respect to a particular employee. In such case, unless the organization has actual knowledge that a particular employee gave more than \$5,000 (and in excess of the 2 percent requirement mentioned above), the organization need report only the name and address of the employee and the total amount paid over by him.

Organizations described in Sections 23701b, 23701g, and 23701i that receive contributions or gifts to be used exclusively for the purposes described in Section 17214, must attach a statement with respect to all gifts which aggregate \$1,000 from any one person showing (a) the name of the donor, (b) the amount of such contribution, (c) the specific purpose for which such contribution was given, and (d) the specific use to which such contribution was put. If the contribution or gift is transferred to another organization, the statement must include (a) the name of the transferee organization, (b) a description of the nature of such organization, and (c) a description of the relationship between the transferee and transferor organizations. Such organizations must also attach a statement showing the total dollar amount of contributions and gifts received.

**Line 8. Total gross receipts.**—See General Instruction "M" for definition of gross receipts.

## FORM 199—PART II

*Election to complete first page of Form 199 only*—Organizations (other than private foundations) with gross receipts of \$10,000 or less only need to complete Part I. Organizations (other than private foundations) whose gross receipts are more

than \$10,000 must complete Part II of Form 199, or attach a completed copy of Registry of Charitable Trusts Report, Form CT-2 (current revision), or a completed copy of Federal Form 990 including Schedule A, if appropriate, or Labor Department Form LM-3. Private foundations must furnish information for Part II plus additional information. Reporting requirements for Private Foundations can best be satisfied by following the instructions set out in the paragraph entitled "Private Foundations" on page 1.

**Line 6. Sale of assets.**—Attach a schedule showing with respect to each asset (whether or not depreciable) sold or exchanged: (a) date acquired, manner of acquisition, date sold, and to whom sold; (b) gross sales price; (c) cost, other basis, or value at time of acquisition if donated (state which); (d) expense of sale and cost of improvements subsequent to acquisition; and (e), if depreciable property, depreciation since acquisition.

The gross sale price should be entered on Line 6 of Part II and the cost or other basis, expenses, etc. (less depreciation if applicable), should be totaled and entered on Line 3 of Part I.

**Line 9. Contributions, gifts, grants, etc., paid.**—Private foundations, regardless of gross receipts, and other organizations with gross receipts of more than \$10,000, attach a schedule to support contributions, gifts, grants, scholarships, etc., showing: (a) each class of activity; (b) separate total for each activity; (c) name and address of donee and amount of distribution to donee; and (d) relationship of donee, if related by blood, marriage, adoption, or employment (including children of employees) to any person or corporation having an interest in the organization such as creator, donor, director, trustee, officer, etc. Activities should be classified according to purpose in greater detail than merely charitable, educational, religious, or scientific. For example, payments for nursing service, or laboratory construction, for fellowships, or for assistance to indigent families should be so identified.

In the case of a contribution, etc., to a trust, association or corporation, private foundations shall also indicate the organizational status of each donee; such as private foundation, operating private foundation or other public charity, etc.

Where the fair market value of the property at the time of disbursement is the measure of a contribution, the schedule must also show: (a) description of the contributed property; (b) book value of the contributed property; (c) the method used to determine the book value; and (d) the date of the gift. In such case the difference between fair market value and book value should be reflected in the books of account.

**Line 11. Compensation of officers, directors and trustees.**—All organizations must attach a schedule of officers, directors, trustees, or individuals having similar responsibilities, showing, for each, their name, address, social security number, position, compensation, and time devoted to position.

**Line 16. Depreciation and Depletion.**—For depreciation, attach a schedule (or use Federal Form 4562, Depreciation) showing: (a) description of property; (b) date acquired; (c) cost or other basis (exclude land); (d) depreciation allowed or allowable in prior years; (e) method of computation; (f) rate (%) or life (years); and (g) depreciation this year (total additional first-year depreciation claimed must be shown on a separate line of the depreciation schedule).

Attach Federal Form T if a deduction is claimed for depletion of timber.

**Balance Sheets.**—The balance sheets should agree with the books of account or any differences should be reconciled.

A. 1. 2. 4. p

---

## LOCATION OF FRANCHISE TAX BOARD OFFICES

	Address	Zip Code	Toll Free Telephone
Bakersfield	1300 Seventeenth Street	93301	(800) 852-5711
El Monte	9660 Flair Drive	91731	(800) 852-5711
Fresno	2550 Mariposa Street	93721	(800) 852-7050
Long Beach	3530 Atlantic Avenue	90807	(800) 852-5711
Los Angeles	3200 Wilshire Boulevard	90010	(800) 852-5711
Oakland	1916 Broadway	94612	(800) 852-7050
Sacramento	1912 I Street	95814	(916) 355-0370

Outside Sacramento Metropolitan,  
see white pages of your local  
telephone directory.

San Bernardino	330 North D Street	92401	(800) 852-5711
San Diego	1350 Front Street	92101	(800) 852-5711
San Francisco	345 Larkin Street	94102	(800) 852-7050
San Jose	1570 The Alameda	95126	(800) 852-7050
Santa Ana	28 Civic Center Plaza	92701	(800) 852-5711
Santa Barbara	41 Hitchcock Way	93105	(800) 852-5711
Santa Rosa	447 College Avenue	95402	(800) 852-7050
Stockton	31 East Channel Street	95202	(800) 852-7050
Van Nuys	8155 Van Nuys Boulevard	91402	(800) 852-5711

### OUT OF STATE OFFICES:

Chicago, IL	150 North Wacker Drive	60606	(312) 332-4025
New York, NY	1271 Avenue of the Americas	10020	(212) 581-0100

### TAX FORMS REQUEST

Sacramento and locations outside California call	(916) 322-8932
From all other areas within the State of California call (Toll Free)	(800) 852-7700

R. 1-C40

INSTRUMENT <b>CERTIFICATE</b>	ACCOUNT NO. <b>004563-000</b>	BALANCE ON DEPOSIT <b>\$378.68</b>	INTEREST RATE <b>5.0000</b>	INTEREST PAID - YEAR TO DATE <b>\$9.22</b>
----------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	--------------------------------	---

NEXT INTEREST PAYMENT <b>9-30-78</b>	DATE OF DEPOSIT <b>3-31-69</b>	INTEREST DUE TO MATURITY	MATURITY DATE <b>1-03-84</b>	TAXPAYER'S I. D. NO. <b>562-56-8953</b>
---	-----------------------------------	--------------------------	---------------------------------	--

RONALD W GRIMM  
 SUSAN L GRIMM  
 15 HARTE AVE  
 SAN RAFAEL CA 94901

DATE OF NOTICE <b>6-23-78</b>
----------------------------------

**CUSTOMER NOTICE/TIME DEPOSIT ACCOUNT**


ON 6-30-78, INTEREST IN THE AMOUNT OF **\$4.66**  
 WAS REINVESTED IN THIS ACCOUNT.

THANK YOU FOR LETTING US SERVE YOU.


SINCE  1852 **WELLS FARGO BANK**  
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION

**530 SAN RAFAEL OFFICE**  
**THIRD & A STREETS**  
**SAN RAFAEL CA 94901**

PAYOR'S I. D. NO. 94-0262125



# Checking Account Statement



A.I.C-4-R

ACCOUNT NUMBER	PERIOD ENDING	DEPOSITS	CHECKS	SERVICE CHRG	BEGINNING BALANCE	ENDING BALANCE
333 141580	07-07-78			100	52513	51813

VIVIAN I ANDERSON  
1731 W 53RD STREET  
LOS ANGELES CAL 90062

333

0001  
11

H

## LEIMERT PARK 333

CHECKS	CHECKS	CHECKS	DEPOSITS	DATE	BALANCE
784.48 =				0627	25935.00
784.48 R	6.00 =			0628	51913
100 = S				0707	51813
TOTAL CHKS/CHRG				1 ITEMS	
7.00				TOTAL DEPOSITS	.00

### ADVICE OF CHARGES FOR SPECIAL SERVICES-CHECKING ACCOUNT STATEMENT COPY

CROCKER NATIONAL BANK  
LEIMERT PARK 333

6-28-78

We are charging your account the amount shown below\*, for the reason indicated. Please adjust your records.

ITEMS RETURNED UNPAID BECAUSE OF INSUFFICIENT FUNDS	NO OF ITEMS	AMOUNT CHARGED
784.48	1	6.00
FEES PAID AGAINST INSUFFICIENT FUNDS		
06-27-78	259.35 DD	6.00

VIVIAN I ANDERSON  
1731 W 53RD STREET  
LOS ANGELES CAL 90062

333

SHORT ACCOUNT NO	141580
DATE OF LAST DEPOSIT	2-18-78
AMOUNT OF LAST DEPOSIT	21680

Please deposit money to cover your overdraft and service charges. If you have questions, contact the Operations Officer at this branch.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
FRANCHISE TAX BOARD  
SACRAMENTO CA 95857

A-1-C-4-S



### REQUEST FOR PAST DUE RETURN

Date: 07/21/78

SAN FRANCISCO ACTION EQUALITY, INC.

Corporate No.: 7627450 SF5AE

P O BOX 15023  
SN FRANCISCO CA 94115

Income Year Ended: 12/31/77

Due Date of Return: 03/15/78

We have not received your Information Return or Statement for the income year ended 12/31/77. As required by law, we are making a formal legal demand that the return or statement be filed.

Every organization exempt from tax, even though inactive or operating at a loss, is required to file a return or statement for each income period and pay the applicable fee until dissolved or withdrawn. (See reverse side for filing requirement and payment required.)

You should resolve this matter now by filing the return or statement, properly signed and dated, together with the payment of the applicable fee and penalty.

Please contact us if you have any questions regarding this request.

PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT

DO NOT USE THIS SPACE

Change year

Change address

Address, if different from that shown:

Number and street \_\_\_\_\_

City, state, zip code \_\_\_\_\_

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone Number \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

EXEMPT SECTION  
TELEPHONE (916) 355-0392

SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR DETAILS.

To Jerry Bayford

A-1-C-5

1. Gregory Watkins and Charles Williams - Here are another batch of Mail-In Reports, to be divided between the 2 of them and filled out and mailed back to me all together. I'm not sure who has this responsibility; maybe Rita Tupper? I noticed she handled Linda Arterberry's letter to p.o. Anyway, get these filled out and return to me. There's enough for 7 each. Also, each have had their probation officers changed, and a letter from both of them would be nice. The probation officer now is: Lawrence Mitchell, Senior Probation Officer, Adult Probation Dept., Hall of Justice, 880 Bryant Street, Room 200, San Francisco.
  
2. Regarding sending over supplies to Tish, I have checked for one last time the 8 filing cabinets and found some 77 stuff for Valley. I am gradually sending over everything, piece by piece as people go out and I can send duffel bags out. What I want to know is, in order of priority, which do you want first: Valley, Truth, P.T., 1976 rest homes stuff, cancelled checks for all accounts, Touchette's old records dating back to 69 (!), You want me to burn the needs slips, right?
  
3. We are in the process of clearing the back lot of cars to comply with Redevelopment demands and some of these cars have no pink slips. ~~XX~~ these cars are immovable, having had parts taken out of them over the years to put in others. Junk yards require pink slips. So, the alternative is to ~~drive them to the junkyard~~ take them to out of the way places and dump them. Eventually they will be picked up, the owner notified, and if no one claims the car, the car will be put up for auction by the State. Most of the cars belong to people overseas so no problem. But one belongs to the Purifoy's. We will not junk this car as the Purifoy's would be contacted and that would cause unnecessary waves. But, how do ~~we~~ you suggest we handle this situation with the Purifoy car? When Mildred was here, she was the contact with them.

A. I. C. - 6

1. Reply papers filed by TOS in answer to our complaint filed in our lawsuit against him: 3 copies attached. Answer to Complaint; Memorandum of Points and Authorities; Declaration of TOS; Declaration of Steven Katsaris; Declaration of James Cobb; Declaration of Wade and Mabel Medlock; Exhibits including TOS resume sheet, Mendocino Co. Board of Directors Resolution of Commendation to TOS, Katsaris letter to lie detector agency; complete copy of Katsaris v. P.T. lawsuit with exhibits.

These were brought to court by Pat Hallinan on the day of the hearing on our order to show cause: Charles was in Marin County still in his murder trial so he had James Pesonen of his office appear in his place for this hearing. Leona, Tim Clancy and myself arrived at the courtroom about 9:25 am; the judge called the calendar and Pesonen walked forward to present the case when our case came up. TOS and the Mertles were out in the hall and did not come into the courtroom. Neither did Hallinan. Pesonen talked with the judge and the judge granted the injunction against Stoen, but there was a temporary technical delay because in completing the summons which showed that Stoen had been personally served, I neglected to add that he had been served with the order to show cause. He had been served with it but the court had to have proof of that, and Pesonen explained to the judge that he would have the process server (Tom Adams) provide that proof, which would have been some declaration of some sort. The judge was satisfied, and said he would grant the injunction after shown proof that Stoen had been served with the order to show cause. Pesonen left the courtroom; Leona, Tim and myself hurried after him to catch up and find out what we had to do to connect Tom with Pesonen. We caught up with him around the corner and down a long hall, and stood talking with him there. We had our backs turned and as we were talking, someone walked up to me and said, these are for you June, and plunked down in my hand summons and complaints in the Medlock and Cobb suits. I turned around and saw a woman in a wig walking away; Leona later told me it was Deanna Mertle. So we rushed over to Garry's office, primarily to get out of there in case they would want to serve anyone else. While we were at Garry's office, waiting for Pesonen, the court called again and it turned out that after Pesonen and ourselves had left the courtroom, Hallinan came in discovering what happened. He explained to the judge that he had been expecting Charles Garry and didn't realize that Pesonen was appearing for us. He submitted Stoen's papers in reply to our complaint and of course the judge revoked his prior judgment against Stoen, continued the whole thing until September 7.

The next day we talked with Charles about the lawsuit. He wants: (1) GENE CHAIKIN to go carefully through the whole thing and submit his analysis immediately to Charles. (Charles demanded that everyone there who would ordinarily analyze the attached papers come to Georgetown and do it so he would get it back faster. We made our usual protests, but realized that he just doesn't understand how things work with us, so we went on about our business, knowing full well we would just send these on with the reports...)



1, continued

He wants (2) Maria to come back for a week at the most to be in a deposition. A deposition would involve both Charles and counsel for Stoen, and they would ask her questions, much like a cross-examination at a trial. Also, since Stoen is attorney of record for Katsaris, I'm not sure which attorney would be asking her questions for the other side, Hallinan or Stoen. The deposition cannot be held in Guyana; because it has to be done where attorneys for both sides can be present, otherwise it is not admissible in court. He said "I need that, is it possible to get it here in my office?" He would not need her in the next 2 or 3 weeks, but soon. He would not need her to stay for the duration, but he would want her to come for the deposition. (3) he wants us to go through the papers on this side and factually analyze which is true and which is not true; i.e., when Stoen says that JJ would testify such and such, etc. He wanted to know if what Stoen says in the Answer (see page 6) about Carol Stahl was true - if she went because her daughter was really sick or if she went because we wanted her out of her; in other words, did we really try to dupe him? We said no, it was not true what Stoen said, that she really went there for her daughter. He said, if it isn't I want to know, you can tell me, but I just want to know it straight. The feeling is here, though, that if we really let him have it straight, he would take it emotionally, and it would lead further to the existing credibility breakdown that he feels with us on this side. (4) As far as needing affidavits etc., he says he doesn't know what he wants yet. Hallinan's memorandum of points and authorities (page 5) talks about lack of specifics or affidavits, but Charles said he isn't ready yet, doesn't know what he wants in the way of affidavits, he would only repeat over and over that he wants Maria to return for a week for a deposition. We re-emphasized that we would like for him to travel to Guyana himself and get the straight story. We want him to do this because everytime now that we go in there, he listens less and less to strategy from us. He resents being told what to do, and told us so yesterday. He more or less resigns himself to it, but with that comes an attitude of aloofness - if we get in trouble, he can always get himself out of it, etc. Sometimes he gets really interested and involved, but as our stories change from day to day, he pulls back. Leona thinks and I would tend to agree that if he goes, one of us should go with him, because when he comes back, there would be no way for us on this end to know what he was told there. He could always continue blowharding himself through and we wouldn't know what he had been told to do by people over there. This should be seriously considered and soon, because even phone patches don't do the trick. When JJ talked to him over the phone patch and we were in the office, he was reading his mail and talking to Pat over the phone patch. Leona told Pat to be quiet, but Pat continued to divert Charles' attention from Jim's words. Also the radio gets heavy qrm in the middle of a phone patch and Charles just turns his ears off. We are all very much aware over here (Leona, McElvane, Jean, Clancy and myself) that Pat plays a major part in diverting him from concentrating on our case; when she's around, he treats us with much less respect, as if he constantly has to put on a show for her and put us down. We end up inevitably in

arguments or a stand-off, particularly about Dewitt. It is understood that he and we do not agree about handling Dewitt, but when Pat is there, he becomes extremely emotional about the matter; when she's not, ~~xxx~~ he's more likely to resign himself to our decision.

After he read the TOS lawsuit answer, he said it is clumsily done and he thinks that TOS did it and Hallinan just put his name on top. It is not Hallinan's style or quality. Personally, we are not impressed with Charles' style either, because if Hallinan had submitted his Answer a week or so before ~~hhe~~ hearing rather than at the last minute at the hearing, Pesonen would have had to argue the matter in court. Even if Pesonen had been able to continue it on the theory that Charles would be best equipped to take the hearing on, the papers that Charles has submitted up to now do not support anything; they are just the complaint and the order to show cause and memorandum insupport of order to show cause, and Carol Stahl's declaration, no more affidavits, no more written evidence. Also, in regards to the 3 lawsuits, we have no written specific evidence that shows us that TOS actually was involved in the legal advice i.e. telling Katsaris to go to Guyana to avoid conservatorship/doing Medlock property transfer/being involved with Cobb. We have telephoned statements about the Katsaris advice, but no court affidavits. We have nothing in our papers over here that shows TOS had any part in the Medlock property transaction. We would need an affidavit submitted in court because there are no escrow papers or notes or real estate committee notes, nothing what we can find after having gone through everything here that we have to look through, that shows that in writing TOS was involved with that property transfer. Since we have the burfden of proof, we are going to have to produce something along the way. As far as Cobb - the only thing I have found so far is the attached brief note, which at the top is written COBB in Buford's handwriting. I showed this to Garry - he wants an explanation from Buford about when this was and what part TOS had in it. Right away. But what else is there, in writing? Of course, the next conclusion is that we dont intend to pursue any of these, and that may be the case,

We have of course not told Charles any of this; and we have not and will not talk about not pursuing the thing, but ~~xxxx~~ if there are going to be any supporting declarations or affidavits, they better be produced from over there soon. That's another reason we'd like for him to go over there, as soon as possible.

Re the allegation that radio instructions were that Carol was to go over, Charles wants to know how or where TOS would have gotten such information if it is not true, as we say it is not true. "I am going to have to find out where he got that information." Especially since it's obvious now that they're intercepting our radio communications.

Re Hallinan representing Stoen - this disturbs Charles, since he has some respect for Hallinan, and he wants to know how would Stoen convince Hallinan to represent, when the Hallinans were our friends.

Note: we do have the lie detector tests, which are good, but not enough.

R.I.C-bc

2. Today Leona and I brought Walter Duncan into Charles office for an interview and Walter told how TOS came over to his car when he was parked at a bus stop in SF. TOS told him not to go to Guyana because his papsport would be confiscated and he'd never get back; ~~xxx~~ and the usual stuff - up to now Walter had told Leona he would think about it when she asked him to put it down in writing. But it turned out that Walter has been a friend of Jim Herndon, Charles' partner, for 30 years, and that Walter knew Charles. So Charles went readily in to see Charles, and Charles put on the sell job about the project that he always does, and very carefully explained about TOS. At the end, Walter agreed without hesitation to sign an affidavit, and a copy of it is attached to this report. Charles had it drawn up as part of the court action and he intends to file it. Therefore, he does not want any of it published. He stressed that over and over to me after Walter left - he does not want us to send it out to anyone - he did not want us to put it over the radio. In other words, he doesnt want to educate the other side before he gets it in the court record.
3. Re the Medlock situation - possibility of indictment of Bedford: The trouble with Charles in this case is that he reacts from a defense position - he doesnt realize the significance of an indictment of Bedford. He says that if Bedford does get indicted, Charles will ask Leo Branden to handle the case. Branden is an LA attorney who Charles picked to represent Angela after Howard Moore was bungling it. He wants Branden because Branden is black, has the black community in his pocket, and is ~~xxx~~ good lawyer. But he doesnt understand that we want to head off any indictment whatsoever. He does agree that Bedford should not go to the meeting between Finklestein and the other 2. But there is some hint that Charles may not intend to meet with Finklestein at all. We were meeting with Marshal Bentzman about other matters this week and he let slip that Charles was not going to meet with Finkelstein, then backtracked and said maybe he wasnt supposed to tell us that. But when we ask Charles about it, he just says he's still in his murder trial, he has no intention of calling Finklstein til he gets out of his murder trial, and that's that. I asked if it was possible that they could issue indictment before this meeting is arranged; and Charles said yes. We are in a double bind, because if Bedford should move out of LA for safekeeping, that leaves Vee and Florida to deal with the rest of LA, and they have told Leona that they would have to go with him because it would be impossible for them to deal with it. Also, Charles definitely does not want Bedford to take a vacation; he realizes we are considering it and considered it himself for a time, but ended up wanting Bedford to stay despite the danger of indictment because he is ~~xx~~ needed for the lawsuit against TOS.

R. I. C. 6 d

4. Re the Dotson's - attached is copy of ~~xxx~~ write-up by Pat when she was called by the LA DA re the Medlocks and the Dotsons. It is mainly on the Medlocks. and I think ~~Martha~~ Martha has already sent you a copy of this. What I remember about the Dotsons is this: In 1976 sometime, maybe 1977, but I think 1976, in Los Angeles, Rheavina and LA counselors put Mr. Dotson in a resthome, far away off, someplace difficult to get to, like Pasadena. He was very sick. Mrs. Dotson lived in SF communally. She was very upset because it was not easy for her to get to him to visit. Eventually after a lot of discussion, Mr. Dotson was removed from the rest home and brought up north to Mrs. Dotson. This was over a long period of time. He would come to service with her and sit in the front row, with a walker. As far as property is concerned, in 1977 she turned in papers on property she has in Texas, a lot. Have no idea of what its value is, but since it was out of state and those cases of out of state property were always next to impossible to seal, nothing happened on it and we still have her papers on the property in a file.

~~A-16~~

A-1-C-6-e

— Re: Cobb —

I still think it advisable to proceed. Person who does it should be unknown to subject and should try to disguise voice and speak to the point. Annie Moore probably good.

I don't think that the authorities will go to all the trouble to make a voice print since nothing illegal involved.

It's rare that such a "natural" opportunity will present itself.

Jim

R. I. C. G. J.

1 CHARLES R. GARRY, ESQ.  
2 GARRY, DREYFUS, McTERNAN, BROTSKY,  
3 HERNDON & PESONEN, INC.  
4 1256 MARKET STREET AT CIVIC CENTER  
5 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102  
6 TEL: 864-3131

7 Attorney for Plaintiffs

8 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
9 IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

10  
11 PEOPLES TEMPLE OF THE DISCIPLES )  
12 OF CHRIST, a nonprofit )  
13 corporation, et al. )  
14 vs. Plaintiffs, )  
15 TIMOTHY OLIVER STOEN, )  
16 Defendant. )

NO. 740531  
DECLARATION OF  
WALTER DUNCAN, JR.

17  
18 Walter Duncan Jr. declares as follows:

19 That I have known Timothy Oliver Stoen, known to me as  
20 Tim Stoen, for approximately nine years. I am not a member of  
21 the Peoples Temple.

22 On or about July 27, 1978, on Thursday, at 3 p.m., I saw  
23 Tim Stoen waiting for a bus at Fourth Street and Bryant while  
24 I was in my car with my son, Walter Tyrone Duncan, age 19.  
25 I waved at Tim Stoen and he came over to me and said the  
26 following:


3-4-286 = 2018

R.I.C. G. J.

1 "I understand that you are going to visit Jonestown,  
2 Guyana, along with your wife, to visit your daughter."  
3 I said "yes, we are." He then said, "don't do it, because  
4 they will take your passport away from you and you will not  
5 be able to return." Then he went on to say that "Jim is now  
6 a changed man, he's really mean. He's not what he used to be.  
7 I wouldn't be surprised if he has had anybody killed there  
8 or had somebody killed."

9 He then said "if Jim Jones was smart, then he would return  
10 John Stoen to me and then I would get off of his back."

11 I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing is  
12 true and correct. I made this declaration freely and  
13 voluntarily to attorney Charles R. Garry on Sunday, August  
14 6, 1978, at the hour approximately 12:01 p.m. at 1256 Market  
15 Street, San Francisco, California 94102.

16   
17  
18 WALTER DUNCAN, JR.

GARRY, DREYFUS, McTERNAN, BROTSKY,  
HERNDON & PESONEN, INC.  
1256 MARKET STREET AT CIVIC CENTER  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102  
TEL: 864-3131

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26



R-1-C-69

Phone call - Mr. Ramirez, DA's office  
213-974-3667  
July 24, 1978, 11:00 AM


I spoke with Ramirez who indicated he wanted to talk with Mr. & Mrs. Leonard Datson, Verlina Hollins, Florida Johnson, concerning the possible crime committed by James MacElvane and James Jones - the crime being extortion. He said he couldn't wait until you were through with your murder case in Marin and he couldn't you get a day or two recess so he could conduct his investigation in your presence. He said he would attempt to interview these people even though I had asked him not to and said those were your wishes. He said a Crime had been committed - when I pointed out to him there was only a possibility of a crime - he said he stood corrected. He said he has been receiving letters for a month from members of PT threatening him. I told him it couldn't have been us since we didn't even know he existed until Friday. He refused to tell me the content of the letters or the names of those who had written - and said "I know they are members". I asked him how he knew and he said he wasn't under any obligation to reveal his investigation to me. He asked for the name of the case in Marin and for the name of the judge we were before. I told him if he could stop the trial he sure had a lot of power. He went into the whole crime thing again and I said I thought it was silly to think of asking clients to give information concerning other clients. He kept referring to the Temple as a business and not a church - the business being run by Mac and Jones. I kept saying you represented the church on some occasions and some individuals within the church on others. I told him he was really interfering in a Civil litigation pending in the LA courts and he said he wasn't. I told him there was a counter suit filed against STOEN the Medlocks attorney and he said it was of no concern of his - I was just confusing the issues of a commission of a crime. He indicated he would go ahead and I finally got him to promise to call you tonight at 6PM. He said he would do so before he went ahead. He suggested that you call the office and talk to just anyone on duty. I said does that mean the entire staff is aware of this case and he said "okay, I will call him."

Clients notified not to speak to anyone through Jean Brown.

JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP  
DISTRICT ATTORNEY

CRIMINAL COURTS BLDG.  
210 W. TEMPLE STREET  
LOS ANGELES, CALIF. 90012  
TEL. 974-3667

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY  
BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES



INVESTIGATOR *S. J. Ramirez*  
MAJOR FRAUDS

*-Laura-*



~~Georgetown~~

JANICE D LABAT  
BANKAMERICARD MANAGER  
BARNETT BANK OF TALLAHASSEE  
P O BOX 870  
TALLAHASSEE FL 32302

Terri B.  
Siding - Possibly  
Conspiracy

R-1-D (b)

Will  
try to send a  
G-t crate  
next week)

\* Books are for  
G-t - to 'lead' or  
give - (most are  
used)

R-1-D (b)

DAVID B. FECHHEIMER

R-1.0.1

1803 Laguna Street, San Francisco, California 94115  
Telephone (415) 922-9819  
Cable: DAVFECH

August 14, 1978

Charles Garry, Esq.  
Garry, Dreyfus, McTernan,  
Brotsky, Herndon & Pesonen, Inc.  
1256 Market Street  
San Francisco, Ca.

Re: KATSARIS vs BRADSHAW, et al

REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

Cheryl Baker  
Grapevine School  
Redwood Valley, Ca.  
(707) 485-7790

Unsuccessful efforts were made to contact Cheryl Baker at the Grapevine School on Wednesday, August 2, 1978. She was contacted at the school at 5:40 PM on Sunday, August 6, 1978. She explained that she was training a kitchen crew for the Mayhem Restaurant (located in the basement of the Palace Hotel, State Street, Ukiah, California), but would meet our investigator at the restaurant for an interview the following day at 4:30 PM. At this time she did not recognize the name Steven Katsaris, and only placed him when our investigator mentioned that he was the director of the Trinity School. Baker stated that she was not well-acquainted with Katsaris as she had only worked at the Trinity School for one shift as a temporary staff person. She said her only contact with Katsaris had been a job interview which lasted approximately an hour.

Baker called our investigator at 10:30 PM on Sunday, August 6, 1978. She instructed our investigator not to drive up to Ukiah the next day. She said she had talked to her attorney who told her she might be called as a witness if she talked to an investigator. She said she did not want to become involved, and hence did not wish to grant an interview. After a moment of innocuous conversation our investigator asked if Katsaris had ever made a pass at her. She did not answer the question, but replied:

Baker: This is a small town and I don't want to get involved, especially with the People's Temple. I really don't want to be involved at all with them. So I don't want to talk with you.

Investigator: Did Katsaris ever make a pass at you?


Baker: Well, I can't answer that because I don't want to get involved.

Our investigator tried once again to persuade her to grant an interview. When that attempt failed, the conversation was politely ended at 10:30 PM.

Page 2  
Charles Garry, Esq.  
August 14, 1978

A-1 A 1-a

Investigation is continuing.

  
Josiah Thompson

DAVID B. FECHHEIMER

DAVID B. FECHHEIMER

R-1-D-2

1803 Laguna Street, San Francisco, California 94115

Telephone (415) 922-9819

Cable: DAVFECH

August 14, 1978

Charles Garry, Esq.  
Garry, Dreyfus, McTernan,  
Brotsky, Herndon & Pesonen, Inc.  
1256 Market Street  
San Francisco, Ca.

Re: KATSARIS vs BRADSHAW, et al

REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

Cheryl Baker  
Grapevine School  
Redwood Valley, Ca.  
(707) 485-7790

Unsuccessful efforts were made to contact Cheryl Baker at the Grapevine School on Wednesday, August 2, 1978. She was contacted at the school at 5:40 PM on Sunday, August 6, 1978. She explained that she was training a kitchen crew for the Mayhem Restaurant (located in the basement of the Palace Hotel, State Street, Ukiah, California), but would meet our investigator at the restaurant for an interview the following day at 4:30 PM. At this time she did not recognize the name Steven Katsaris, and only placed him when our investigator mentioned that he was the director of the Trinity School. Baker stated that she was not well-acquainted with Katsaris as she had only worked at the Trinity School for one shift as a temporary staff person. She said her only contact with Katsaris had been a job interview which lasted approximately an hour.

Baker called our investigator at 10:30 PM on Sunday, August 6, 1978. She instructed our investigator not to drive up to Ukiah the next day. She said she had talked to her attorney who told her she might be called as a witness if she talked to an investigator. She said she did not want to become involved, and hence did not wish to grant an interview. After a moment of innocuous conversation our investigator asked if Katsaris had ever made a pass at her. She did not answer the question, but replied:

Baker: This is a small town and I don't want to get involved, especially with the People's Temple. I really don't want to be involved at all with them. So I don't want to talk with you.

Investigator: Did Katsaris ever make a pass at you?

Baker: Well, I can't answer that because I don't want to get involved.

Our investigator tried once again to persuade her to grant an interview. When that attempt failed, the conversation was politely ended at 10:30 PM.

Page 2  
Charles Garry, Esq.  
August 14, 1978

Investigation is continuing.

  
Josiah Thompson

DAVID B. FECHHEIMER

R-1-D-2-a

SIC

D-U-N-S

© DUN & BRADSTREET, INC.

STARTED

RATING

DUNSI OR-316-3417

JUL 25 1978

SUMMARY RATING ERN

A-10-3

PEOPLES TEMPLE OF THE DECIPLES OF CHRIST (INC)  
PEOPLES TEMPLE CHRISTIAN CHURCH  
VALLEY ENTERPRISES  
PEOPLES TEMPLE AGRICULTURAL PROJECT

CHURCH

SIC NOS.  
85 61

STARTED 1965  
PAYMENTS SEE BELOW  
EMPLOYEES UNDETERMINED  
HISTORY INCOMPLETE

THIS REPORT HAS BEEN SUBMITTED TO *J. Alan Brown* AT HIS REQUEST FOR THE USE OF THE ABOVE INFORMATION IN ANY REVIEWING ITS CONTENT OR REPRODUCTION OF THAT REPORT, IN PART OR IN ITS ENTIRETY, IS UNAUTHORIZED.

1559 GEARY BLVD  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94115  
TEL 415 921-9454

JAMES W JONES, REVEREND

PAYMENTS: On 07/26/78 trade references available.

### FINANCE

On Jul 25 1978, Tim Clancey, assistant secretary, deferred financial information. Operates as agricultural project in Guyana, South America, known as the Peoples Temple Agricultural Project. Extent of fire insurance unknown. Financial forms sent and will be reviewed upon receipt.

### BANKING

7778 Balances average low four figures. Account opened Dec 1975.

### HISTORY

JAMES W JONES, PRINCIPAL MARCELINE J JONES, PRINCIPAL  
ARCHIE J JAMES, PRINCIPAL  
Incorporated California Nov 1965 as a nonprofit corporation.  
Business started Nov 1965 by principals.  
Antecedents were unavailable.

### OPERATION

Operates as a church. Operates through donations.  
EMPLOYEES: On Mar 2 1977 was Leroy stated there are no paid employees. All members of the church are involved as employee.  
LOCATION: Owns premises in two story brick building in good condition. Premises neat. Located in secondary business section.  
05-01(2) 78 1731/02 24204 052  
Bank of America, 1700 Fillmore St



A-1-D-4

He opened by explaining that he was a ham, and had been listening to our traffic for a long time, and was impressed with what we were achieving, but I should understand also that our use of ham radio was indisputably illegal, and we had a free gratis blessing during the time we used it, but now we were being observed and couldn't continue the way we were.

Question: What alternatives are there to ham radio?

Answer: None. The only other way to go would be Common Carrier -- some kind of land line. It would all be very costly.

Q: What would the penalties be if I continue operating?

A: The position of the FCC so far has been that what goes on outside the U.S. is not going to concern them -- what they're after is the station operating within the U.S.. Apparently they have a policy of giving final notice before they took punitive action. Now you've received your notice, and they're ready to take action if you repeat.

Q: What would be gained if I put another licensed operator in my place to handle the same traffic? Could they take action against the church as well as the operator? They named the church in their letter.

A: No, they won't take action against the church. What you run the risk of, though, if you persist to be as open as you have been, is that the FCC might decide the 8R3 station is contributing to a stateside operator's recurring violations of international treaties. On that basis they may change their position and try to take action against Al over there. Your group probably could have continued using ham radio indefinitely, if you had not done it in such an open manner. By open, I mean you did all of your traffic by voice on the most popular frequencies. The 20 meter band is very crowded, and everyone listens to you there. Your 8R3 station operates on much more power than they need to. Where I'm at in Washington, they come booming in way over 9 and knock out every station around them. That attracts attention and will cause other operators to complain to the FCC every time you commit a violation. What you should have done was used the voice frequencies for strictly personal traffic, like phone patches and medical emergencies, and done the rest of the business down on a CW frequency. There are monitors for those frequencies, too, but you wouldn't have drawn anybody's attention, as long as you only used as much power as you needed to be heard. I doubt the FCC would be taking an interest in you now, if some operators hadn't complained about you. Even with all of the mistakes, look how long you got by. This is what I would recommend now, if you intend to continue. I'm not recommending it, I take it back, I'm just saying these are things that could be done. (Here he digressed to tell me he had a personal dislike for some of our abuses of ham radio, some of the intra-organizational stuff, that didn't pertain to medical work. I said that I wouldn't want the air waves abused either, but I felt saving lives was a worthy use of ham radio.) You should do all of your business down on CW, and have 8R3 reduce their power, and try to word it discreetly. The first time I tuned in to your network, I was in awe of your work, then when I heard some of the other kinds of traffic, politics, finances, so-and-so talks too much, etc., all that kind of stuff, I was turned off. That's probably what got you into the situation you're in with the FCC. You have a lot of different people using the radio, which is peculiar in itself. They're obviously not the licensed operators, they obviously have no personal interest in the art of ham radio, and they sound inexperienced. They obviously aren't enjoying

A-1.D-4  
being on the radio. They use international nets to pass traffic that turns out not to be too kosher for ham radio. All of this gets attention and makes ill-will for your stations. (I asked about the effect of another operator doing same traffic in S.F.) By putting another operator in your place, you might be able to gain another 6-9 months provided you keep it very low, like the procedures I've described. You are obviously under scrutiny now, so anything you do on your license will get attention, especially since they've given you notice. So getting another operator in the states should be an immediate priority.

Q: Is it possible to protest the FCC action as "selective enforcement"? Obviously they're not enforcing the law on all the other churches that are doing this.

A: Everything the FCC does is selective enforcement - - they're badly understaffed and swamped with complaints. No, they're not deviating from their normal procedure by being selective. But they probably have written complaints.

Q: Any chance of fighting to have the ruling changed?

A: No, because the effects of it would be so disruptive of established procedure that no judge would want to take that responsibility. Anyway, your case would not be a strong one. It would open a Pandora's box.

Q: Would it help our case if we got a Senator or Congressman to work on our behalf?

A: I doubt you could get a Senator interested in a case like this. Can you pull 80,000 votes for him next election? And when he hears the FCC position on it, and then your position, he won't want to stake his career on a cause that's going to make him unpopular with every other ham in the country. The one Senator who the FCC is really afraid of is Barry Goldwater. He's not very bright, but he's the only Senator who knows FCC law inside and out. In fact he just hit Ferris (new chairman of FCC) pretty hard, about not taking action about radio frequencies interfering with television frequencies. He has a lot of clout with the FCC and, of course, he's the one who asked for an investigation of your activities. I'm trying to get that letter and the whole file for you. (I described their error with WA6DTJ in the first paragraph of my notice.) Like I said, I'm going to try to get all of that for you.

In closing, he gave me an example of an eye bank net which was using ham radio to do business for an eye-exchange program - - very humanitarian activity. They were alright until they increased their power because they ran into increasing interference from other stations. The FCC came down on them almost immediately, and made them stop. The point was, being a humanitarian venture will not help you with the FCC - - but you can get by with illegal activities on the air as long as you remain low-key.

R-1-D-5  
7-31-78

**COOLEY, GODWARD, CASTRO, HUDDLESON & TATUM**

ARTHUR E. COOLEY (1882-1972)  
LOUIS V. CROWLEY (1887-1971)  
H. ROWAN GAITHER, JR. (1909-1961)  
ANDREW KOPPERUD (1924-1973)

ONE MARITIME PLAZA  
20<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR  
SAN FRANCISCO 94111  
(415) 981-5252

PALO ALTO OFFICE  
2 PALO ALTO SQUARE  
3000 EL CAMINO REAL  
PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA 94304  
(415) 494-7622

WILLIAM W. GODWARD  
AUGUSTUS CASTRO  
EDWIN E. HUDDLESON, JR.  
MARLEY J. SPITLER  
FRANK D. TATUM, JR.  
THOMAS A. H. HARTWELL  
BRADFORD JEFFRIES  
RONALD L. JACOBSON  
JOHN L. CARDOZA  
PAUL A. RENNE  
ERVING SODOS

MICHAEL TRAYNOR  
ROBERT P. NELSON, JR.  
ANTHONY C. GILBERT  
JAMES C. GAITHER  
PAUL M. LITTLE  
JAMES A. RICHMAN  
CHRISTOPHER A. WESTOVER  
LEE F. BENTON  
PAUL J. LAVERONI  
MYRON G. SUGARMAN  
PATRICK J. MAHONEY  
JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO

KENNETH J. ADELSON  
JOHN B. BATES, JR.  
JOHN W. BUOYMASTER  
CRAIG H. CASEBEER  
ELLEN B. CORENSWET  
CRAIG E. DAUCHY  
HOWARD G. ERVIN, III  
MICHAEL D. JENKINS  
DANIEL JOHNSON, JR.

JAMES C. KITCH  
KAREN J. RUBIN  
ALAN C. HENDELSON  
CHARLES S. PAUL  
SUSAN COOPER PHILPOT  
JOHN M. SHERWOOD  
GREGORY F. WILSON  
KAREN L. WITTE  
MARK D. WUERFEL

July 27, 1978

Charles R. Garry, Esq.  
GARRY, DREYFUS, McTERNAN, BROTSKY,  
HERNDON & PESONEN, INC.  
1256 Market Street  
San Francisco, California 94102

Re: "Jones Church Linked to 'Terror'  
Reign"


Dear Mr. Garry:

This letter will serve to confirm my oral advice to your office of June 25 that this office represents THE SANTA ROSA PRESS DEMOCRAT with respect to your demand for retraction and apology, and any other matters which were the subject of your letter directed to the client under date of July 3, 1978.

This will also confirm our conversation of June 24 and your agreement to extend the time within which THE SANTA ROSA PRESS DEMOCRAT must reply to your demand for retraction as set forth in Civil Code section 48(a)(2). We understand that, pursuant to your extension of seven days, we have to and including Wednesday, August 2, 1978, to comply with the provisions of the section cited above.

Thank you for your consideration.

Very truly yours,

  
Joseph P. Russoniello

JPR:dm

JUL 31 1978

Garry, Dreyfus, McTernan, Brotsky, Herndon & Pesonen, Inc.

or not they were going to let Dean testify publicly, on the Ervin committee. And of course, Dean started out with this crap with what's his name, General ---- from the CIA and having gone over there and having him in Erlichman's office, you know, and nobody really liked that too well because, hey that's true, baby, so go ahead, Sam, get him on the stand, Sam! Lowell Weiker was sitting there saying are you sure? I'm saying, I'm positive it's true. And so, you know, no problem, get him out there on the table. And say, now, what next happened. And well, General so and so arrived at the oval office and boom boom boom and all the guys in the CIA in the back row are going, oh God, here it goes, you know. And had I said at the time, because Dean's counsel was sitting there, and had I said it at time, hey, he's not truthful in that issue, they would have said no, don't talk about that, let's only talk about the truthful points. Because they had to build from Dean to get to the other people. Like Alexander Butterfield. They had to build from Dean to get to Butterfield so they could get the tapes and evidence. Now they knew about those damned tapes, months before Butterfield actually got on the stand. And Lowell Weiker's little act about "what tapes?" That was all stage play. They knew about it, they knew about it three months before in the informal hearings. The problem was, they had to get Dean through so they could get Butterfield on, or they had lost the chain of evidence. It's just like in a criminal trial, you have got to have evidence going. But the FBI situation is simple. Off the record....

D.F. The Mertles are more the FBI types.

J.M. No, there are a couple of ex-agents working here in the City, who check real fast, find out if Stoen had any connection with the Feds, with the FBI. Nothing. No connection.

D.F. Cause I was concerned that he was CIA.

P.R. Do you think he is CIA?

R. H. D. G. or

J.M. No.

P.R. What do you think he is, nuts?

J.M. No, I equate Stoen with Lee Harvey Oswald. Only to the extent that Oswald had changing political -- so says Mark Lane -- desires. First he went to Russia, and he really didn't like it there, too well, so then he went to Cuba, and that wasn't good taste, and then he went somewhere else, and that wasn't good either. But all three of them used him while he was around. That's how I see Stoen. Stoen did his little bit in '58 and '59, and '60 at the Berlin Wall. And that really didn't give Stoen the satisfaction he really craved. 'Cause he's kinky; he's kinky as hell. So then he went and tried something else, and that really didn't work either. And then he went and did something else, and that really wasn't it either. And then he up and went and joined up with a couple of people from the Fourth Reich of South America, and that really wasn't the greatest. But they had money, and that he needed. And, of course, you've got to remember, the thing that always bothered me about Jones, why, why pick a country .... you say you're a socialist, why pick a country that is setting dead off in the highest rightwing area in the world. There's more Nazis, what we call Nazis and right wing extremists per capita mile in South America than any other country in the world. So why would Jones go to South America? That bothers me, and it does to today.

P.R. Unless he's a right winger, is that what you are saying?

J.M. Well, he's espousing socialism up here, but yet he wants to be run for mayor and he wants to be run for governor and he wants his Temple people put him on the ballot for the governorship and everything else. And when that don't work, he picks himself a hole -- in really one of the only few socialist countries in South America. You've got to remember that nearly every other country in South America is either dictatorship or a neo-Nazi dictatorship. And Guyana was overthrown in 1963 by 700 adults. The whole government was toppled by 700 angry adults. So you look at it, and you say; what the hell is going on with Jones, let alone with Stoen

D.F. Sure, he constitutes a threat for Venezuela's full of Nazis.

J.M. Check.

P.R. So Jones constitutes a threat to the Nazis?

D.F. Well, what you have is a connection -- it's hard to put a fine-point on these things -- but when you talk about....Rotary International is a cover, as all kinds of people use it as fronts; and the money goes back and forth, The CIA works with all kinds of people in these countries. It works with the right wing elements against the Communists, naturally.

P.R. I can understand that.

D.F. I think Joe's biography is a good one of Stoen. I would add that the common denominator in all of these big flip-flops is Rotary International. And Rotary International, like the Red Cross and the International Monetary Fund -- the Establishment -- These are the old families that belong to the OSS, join the diplomatic service, Red Cross and Rotary and so on. And they are...they service cut outs of all kinds of people. Now the people change wildly, but the common denominator is that it always serves in a given situation, what we would call stabilizing the government or destabilizing....In other words, anti-Communism is your common denominator.

P.R. Let me ask you another question. Why does Stoen have as his attorney in San Francisco a well known person on the left?

D.F. Well, I don't think he is being forth-coming with him.

P.R. That person represents him to today.

J.M. Who is it now?

P.R. Patrick Hallinan.

J.M. Oh, OK. All right.

P.R. He represented him a year ago, and when we filed the restraining order on him, proceeding with the suits, because he was talking about times when he was the Temple attorney, and how can you sue the Temple when you were the person to advise them to do whatever they did? And so we filed a restraining order in San Francisco. That was the suit you are talking about. A temporary restraining order. Hallinan represents him on that. he does.

J.M. I know, but let's take it a step further, Pat. Wait a minute. Let's go all the way back to the start. Grace Stoen hires Jeff Haas.

P.R. Who I've never heard of in my entire life.

J.M. Nobody has.

P.R. Who is he?

J.M. Jeffrey Haas was a young counsel trying to make a name for himself.

P.R. OK. You know, there is a very famous left-wing attorney named Jeffrey Haas who comes out of Chicago, but he's not the same person.

J.M. Not the same guy. Haas and I had it out immediately because you're going to sit here and....First of all I knew Haas wasn't going to get anywhere going into the Superior court in San Francisco to get any orders for Guyana. And all he was doing was wasting Grace's money . OK?

P.R. Where did her money come from?

J.M. Well, her money belonged to Walter Jones. Walter Jones is the guy who was living with Grace Stoen. Jones is the guardian of that kid we were talking about.

P.R. Well, where did Walter Jones get the money.

J.M. He gets a lot of money. He's a high-priced, high-paid welder, and he was making about \$400 - \$500 per week. I checked him out. He was making big money up here. He's really working -- does a specialized type of welding which is in high demand and he gets big money for it. So, he was giving Grace the money for it, to pay to Haas to represent her and get the kid. And Haas was going into the Superior Court to get orders.

P.R. Why did she want the kid? She never....

J.M. It's her child.

P.R. Well, she's never wanted....

J.M. OK, look. Without getting into desires one way or the other--What ended up happening was that I went in with Haas, and we really had it out. I said, look, you're not getting anywhere, wasting your money here. Let's get momma and put her on an airplane and go down to Guyana with momma.... And this was early in the game, remember. Get down there with the orders

and just momma and the birth certificate, and let's walk in down there and lets go over to Port Kaituma and lets get the kid. Hey, I want the child. Bingo. Give me my son. To hell with everything. That's my baby. Screw you, Jim Jones. Screw you, Tim Stoen. That's my kid. I'm the mother. There's the birth certificate. Grab the kid. Have McCoy with us from the counsel, grab the kid, get on the plane, go back to Georgetown, come home, and to hell with it. And if everybody wanted to fight later, fight later. That's the simple way of doing it. Haas wouldn't buy it. He went into court, and Hallinan refused to represent him.

P.R. Refused to represent Tim Stoen in court.

J.M. Right.

P.R. But he's representing him now.

J.M. But he refused to represent him on the custody battle.

P.R. Why?

J.M. I don't know. But of course, the outcome of it was that Haas got Walter Jones to go get a loan of some \$8,000 to \$10,000. And Haas got on the airplane to go to Guyana with these orders which he finally acquired here in San Francisco.

P.R. That was in cooperation with Tim Stoen. And Tim and Grace went together to Guyana....

J.M. Yes. Right.

D.F. And retained Hughes in Guyana.

P.R. Did you go with them that time?

J.M. I've never gone with Haas. I told Haas to stick it in his ear. Because it was a wierd situation from the get go. My feeling back then was....

D.F. They wanted the lawsuit, not the child.

J.M. Yeah, yeah. If you want the child, I've picked up children all over this world.

D.F. I can see you are sincere. This was your whole thing, to get the child back from these areas....

J.M. I want an accounting. I can take you back to correspondence that I wrote



*R-1-D-6e*

to Charlie way, way back. I called Charlie on the phone when I first got retained in this deal, and I said, look, I will send you -- and I wrote him a letter confirming it, and I've still got the letter -- a letter on each and every person. And if you will be kind enough just to tell me where the child is. OK? That's all, because I've got these nuts coming in the door and, hey, if the kid went down there with the parents' permission, or if the child went down there with the guardian's permission, I don't want to spin my wheels up here arguing about who's got the right of custody. Or if one of the parents is down there and the other parent is up here, I'm not going to get involved in that. I just want an accounting of the children. And that was the whole deal. My only concern, and to this day....

(end of side #1, tape #2)

J.M. But last year, during the real crux of this mess, there were allegations floating back and forth about children everywhere from the age of 3 to the age of 16. And that's a wide span. And they were: A). United States citizens; B). were they getting proper medical attention? Educational attention? and were they in fact there with permission of guardian, parent, whoever? And in many cases there were wards of the court, and so did the court know they were there? Now, that was my sole interest in this case. What developed out of that was Timothy Stoen, because of his action and counter-reaction to me, one, in January of this year when I tried to interview him and when the State people tried to interview him. I got pissed at his action. But my only action against Jones has ever been the children. I could give a damn less whether Jones had those people rolling in the aisles in his temple, whether they stood up there and took their clothes off and let him beat them -- I don't care what they did. If they were adults ....

P.R. But that's not exactly true, Joe, because you went after Jones on the signature thing.

J.M. I went after Jones on the signature thing because he was a by-product of the situation. I didn't go in the thing after Stoen. I went in the thing after children.

D.F. And I think that's the line for the play, the screenplay. It is clear you are an expert, you have done this -- you're going to Hawaii for another one now. And wouldn't it make sense -- isn't it logical -- if you have your choice of bringing your own civil suit as against having the government bring a suit and you're the witness and you don't have to pay and money and you get immunity thrown into the bargain, well anyone would choose that. But he said no, and he pursues his own civil suits.

P.R. He's going to lose on every one of those.

D.F. And if he won, he'd lose. He'd go to state prison if he won.



R-1-11-6-1

J.M. Sure he's going to lose. Regardless of what Charlie and I have had against each other in the past, or arguments or confrontations or whatever, when I saw the stuff Stoen was putting out, I knew that Charlie had the suit. Because you are going to win on one issue, alone, and that is the client confidentiality issue. If you lose on everything else, you gotta win on that. Because no court in this country is going to allow that to happen. P.R. Right. Not only do you win on attorney-client violation, you win on a State Bar level, because he can't do that.

J.M. You're never going to make the State Bar level, because I'm going to beat you. I'm going to have him disbarred before you get to court.

P.R. Well, how the hell did I know that? All I'm saying is that if you win on attorney-client privilege, you also win on the State Bar level. The State Bar will not allow him to advise a client one minute and then sue the client on the advice that he made.

J.M. You win on the State Bar....issue just on the forgeries and the fraud.

P.R. Of course, I know that.

D.F. He could have avoided all that and had the government prosecute the Peoples Temple.

J.M. I talked to the State Bar at the same time I was trying to get him to talk to us. And the most the State Bar probably would have done at that time was probably have sanctioned him and suspended him. Which is no big thing. A year's suspension. It happens to everybody....some of the best attorneys we have in the City -- have been suspended from time to time for various infractions.

P.R. Not Charles, though, so far.

J.M. But the problem is, now you're talking -- that was before the insurance company said, here's the money. Now the insurance says here's the money. Now you don't have any longer just a suspendable act; now you have a disbarable act. And the day after I got the check I was in the State Bar office. That's how fast I was sitting there. Because I am not about ready to let

R-1-D 6K

this guy out on the street to practice law.

P.R. He's not practicing law anyway, at this point, Joe.

J.M. Well, my feelings may be a little different than yours. You see, you're looking at it from the client position. And I was looking at it from the fact that this clutz comes back to the United States, refuses to give us testimony about anything, and goes out and sets up a law practice. And I'm not down there watching what he is doing....

P.R. Oh, I agree with you. I don't think he should be allowed to practice, either.

J.M. As far as I'm concerned, he's an attorney, and he's hung out a shingle that says he's an attorney, and that means that some clutz out there is going to walk in and say, gee, you're an attorney? I need some help. And get messed over. And that I wasn't going to let happen.

And that's why I went after him. The only reason. He was a by-product.

D.F. He's not interested in the child.

J.M. And the only interest I still have with Jones is the children.

P.R. Could I ask him just one other question? He was talking about two investigations that were going on....

D.F. I just want to say one thing about the children ... because I am impressed with your sincerity on this subject. As I told you, we had some people go there and I want there.

J.M. So did I, for a quick visit.

D.F. There were transportation difficulties....and I had to stay there about three and a half days.

J.M. Was the river full?

D.F. I went down on the river, I flew out. I worked with in learning theory with children, and if there is one thing I couldn't be fooled on, it's that, for children at that age. And I spent a great deal of time looking at the medical -- I didn't look at the agricultural, I don't know anything about chickens and pigs -- But I do know something about the medical and education.

and there was one teacher for every seven children. And those children are in a superb set-up. Superb. Now, they were pretty frank with me, there, Joe. They knew I would find out, they knew Mark and I, and they knew there was no sense in hiding anything. They even talked about ....these spankings and so forth. They said, interestingly enough, Stoen had been a big part of this. In the days when they had done this. The period when they felt besieged and the talk of violence came up. They played a tape and talked and so forth. And I thought they were frank. And it was just as well they were, because I am a friend of Charles', but I never heard of Jim Jones, and I'm not a part of their Temple.

J.M. OK, let me tell you a couple of things that bother me still. I have a letter from McCoy. I finally forced McCoy, I badgered him enough, and he finally went up to the Temple, which is about 400 miles, as you know, from Georgetown. And...

P.R. You mean he went to the interior, not to the Temple.

J.M. No, he went to the interior in Guyana, and went in-- and he wrote in the letter, there's no question in my mind that they set it up knowing I was coming. And I didn't see the real situation. Now that's coming from the embassy. From the consulate in Guyana. That's the first thing that bothers me.

D.F. But I allow for that.

J.M. OK, that's the first thing that bothers me. The second thing that has bothered me is that so many of these people have tried to contact their families down there and they have been stopped. Now, we have affidavits, maybe 6, 8, a dozen, I don't know, of people who went to your offices, or went to the Temple itself here and wanted to talk to their children, their mothers, their fathers, their aunts, their uncles, their grandmothers. And were told no. Not at the office they weren't, Joe. And in fact everybody who came to the office talked to those people. That includes that guy out there from Lafayette whose daughter is there, it includes somebody or other connected with Mertles/Mills. Everybody who came to the office talked as soon as they could.

J.M. Well, we've got affidavits, and then there's a tape recordings of the telephone conversations between Swinneys and members of the Temple. Which we had first. In other words, you have to look at this in a chain. First we got the telephone conversations, which are absolutely ridiculous. P.R. You mean the Swinney-Stoen....

J.M. Yeah, the Swinney-Stoen, Cordell conversations: Which are absolutely sickened. They sound like a bunch of fanatics all on drugs. That's what it sounds like. "Well come and kill you tomorrow...." Yeah, that's what it sound like.

P.R. Did they actually say that?

J.M. Yes.

P.R. Huh.

J.M. "We're going to kill the child. You want him back, you can't have him because we are going to kill him." Now that's the conversations I hear. Then, I have these people coming to me who have gone to the temple and who have gone to your offices and they say to me, I can't talk to my mother. I can't talk to my son. I can't get through. They won't let me talk to my brother. You know. And then, on top of all of that, I have McCoy's letter saying I went up and obviously they have showed me what they want me to see. So now I have three things in a chain, and by that time I am saying to myself..

P.R. Except I know for a fact, Joe, that assuming there were people that came to our office, which there were -- that if they came to you

after they came to our office and said they weren't put in touch with their relatives, that's an out and out lie.

J.M. Well, that may be. But you've got to remember, Pat, that I can only go on what people are saying.

P.R. I have seen the letters come through the office. And they have all made patches.

J.M. Now what about these letters that are censored.

P.R. There aren't any letters that are censored.

A. 1-D. 6

J.M. Well, I've got a batch of them that are censored over at my office.

P.R. That have words crossed out?

J.M. Right.

P.R. Those are made up, I am sure. You mean somebody wrote a letter and somebody else crossed out words?

D.F. From Jonestown here?

P.R. That's phoney.

J.M. Jonestown to the Temple.

D.F. He's not talking about the letters from the Garry office, he's talking about letters emanating from Latin America that were censored.

P.R. That were sent to the Temple? Who were they from?

J.M. They were from relatives down there.

P.R. That sent letters to the Temple...

J.M. I've got one of them in my office that I can think of right off the top of my head.

P.R. And who censored them?

J.M. I'm not sure; the letter was written -- was allegedly written in Guyana. It was delivered to the person to the mother here in San Francisco. She was called on the phone and told you can come down, we have a letter here from your son. And she had to pick the letter up at the Temple.

P.R. Was that Neva Sly?

J.M. Yeah.

P.R. And there were words crossed out?

J.M. Yeah.

P.R. I am sure she crossed the words out herself. Why would....I mean, take it from this position....

J.M. I don't know.

P.R. Why would somebody from Guyana send a censored letter here and then give it out? That's crazy. That's like making a case against yourself.

J.N. I don't know.



R-1-D 6-2

J.M. Pat, I don't know why you would do it, but on the other hand I don't understand why McCoy tells me he that he was only shown what... I don't understand something else that happened....I don't understand why these people sound like raving maniacs on the tape recordings. I don't understand that either.

D.F. I think McCoy might have been protecting , now....whenever you go someplace you are going to see an attempt to build up the image a little bit, you're going to see what they want you to see. You've got to allow room for that. Now, what I did, I took the tour and the singing and the cultural evening and the wonderful food and all these things, and the kitchen, and I talked to people and so forth.... But I spent hours watching the kids. Not questioning them verbally, watching them. And I am a teacher, and I can't be fooled. I cannot be fooled. And the reason I am dwelling on this for the moment is, without defeding anything else, because that is one area I am an expert in, and I see that you really are sincerely anxious about the fate of these children -- And I heard some of the stories, I got all the other stuff before I went there: barbwire and all these things, and so forth.

P.R. Is there any <sup>i</sup>barbed wire there?

D.F. No, there isn't.

J.M. Well, there was.

D.F. Was there?

J.M. Uh huh. Last year there was.

D.F. Well, there isn't now. I didn't want to get into any film, despite my friendship with Charles, where I was going to get burned later. And anyone can lie to an attorney, too.

J.M. You better believe it. And to an investigator.

D.F. Yes, and so I was....They were frank with me, admitting all kinds of mistakes they had made. Stupid mistakes. What I tried to keep in balance was -- is there some connection between means and ends. Is Jonestown really a kind of Resurrection City? PR on the side, what are the real dynamics of it?

And in talking to the old people and watching the kids, it is my opinion, that most of the people in Jonestown, maybe 99%, if they were not in Jonestown, would be one way or another dead. I mean either physically dead or addicted or prostitutes, pimps, state prison, suicide, mental institutions, hospitals. You know, they have a group ego there, and it wouldn't be everybody's choice to live, it wouldn't be our style to live that way -- but the people I saw are working and turning out fantastic things there in industry and all the other things -- I have oral histories from about 100 people. Everybody's story -- incest, victims, you name it's Dostoyevskian.-

J.M. Were the incest, or were they incest only from the point....You see, now I've got affidavits, I've got documents which these people signed in the Temple here saying that they committed incest. And then I have affidavits from the same person saying they were forced to sign it.

P.R. Uh huh.

J.M. By Jones and by Chaikin and by Stoen. I've got affidavits, and I have got the originals.

D.F. Setting aside the incest, the others...

J.M. Well, rape, armed robbery, murder....

D.F. Well, I talked to some of those young kids, and I believe they were material for state prison.

J.M. Well, maybe they were, but I am talking about the run of the mill -- I've got the same type of affidavits sitting here, and the original and the copies of something else, and I am saying to myself, what the hell is going on here?

D.F. I think you take person A and put them in Jonestown, and they are one thing -- you take them out and they are something else. In my opinion there, you have got the social equivalent of the raising of the dead.

And I talked to some of the young people. Not the old black people, and you have some of the religious element there, the young people who are very atheistic and so forth. Vietnam vets, strung out on drugs, these people were lost. They are zealots, now, there is no question about it; they are true believers. It is not an uncommon phenomenon. The question is, is it in good faith? Is it -- and I saw three delegations while I was there from different countries -- and from Georgetown, too. Education, medicine, and so forth. They are treating the Amerindians with medical care. They are bringing in Amerindian and Guyanese orphans for the educational and so forth. For a social scientist, it is like an Eldorado, it is an extraordinary thing. Now, that isn't to say that it is a utopia of strong, autonomous individuals who volitionally all say we are all going to work together and create a new society. You have a little of that. But you have an awful lot of people who were tremendously heavily burdened emotionally and physically and legally. And put them together and it's dynamite. I mean, they are carving out that jungle. Now, that isn't to say -- and I still go back to my Elmer Gantry description which I think is viable for American film, because the combination of saint and conman is an old archetype.

J.M. My question still lies, how did they get there? How did they get put in the position to have to go? Did they have to go? Were they forced to go?

D.F. They believe there, you know it's like the Chinese, they believed in Jonestown. I talked to all kinds of people there. That they have an apocalyptic, sort of millenarian position that there's going to be an atomic war, that the U.S. may go fascist, and that they want to make a model of survival. And they are ambitious with lots of (inaudible), and I agree with you, they are going to be a threat to... You know, Latin America, you just read Agee's diary and if two people have a discussion on the street corner, Cuba is in the wings. I think, if Guyana does grow stronger, and if Somoza goes in Nicaragua and the dominoes start to fall, I mean, I think you put

your finger on it when you talked about....the whole thing is a pretext....  
the whole legal situation, the kids, all that is at the human level, right here. The bigger picture, Stoen and others, could care less about.

J.M. OK, my only position still holds. I still would like to know if those people were forced down there by false affidavits. I am interested for my own knowledge. Did Jones really have these people sign these damning documents and then hold them against them as a threat to force them to go? Now, that is a two-edged cutting sword, Pat. Once I know that, I also know how to judge the Mills, and the Swinneys and the other people, see. That sort of cuts two ways.

D.F. Let me ask you something....

P.R. Well, I can tell you this.....

D.F. Excuse me for interrupting, but Pat I think I might see daylight here. Your'e position was direct action in the first place -- the children are being held, let's go get them. How would you, I mean, would you have the audacity to go with a colleague or whatever, Charles or whatever, the ground rules there being no one looking over your shoulder, you interview anyone you want to interview whose case comes under your purview -- your expenses paid, etc. etc. And satisfy yourself?

J.M. Sure.

D.F. Course, I don't know if I can bring this about, but I can certainly try.

J.M. Look, here are my concerns, besides the children, though I am interested in the children primarily. I have put a year's worth of work into these kids, and I want to know where they are. But I am concerned, were these people really forced into signing these affidavits in San Francisco and in Mendocino which were subsequently used as a hammer to force them to go to Guyana. That's number one.

D.F. This is the Scientology question, too.

J.M. Right. Ron Hubbard, here we go.

P.R. Let me just say this. The affidavits that you are talking about, where

somebody says they committed a crime or whatever ....

J.M. You've never seen them? Well, I've got them and you're welcome to look at them. Number two, I am interested to know, and I would like to know, and of course you are outside the jurisdiction in Guyana -- these people are outside the jurisdiction of our government -- but I'd like to know really did Carol Layton forge....not Carol Layton but Tish Leroy, whose down there....

P.R. Tish Leroy is down where?

J.M. In Guyana.

P.R. In Guyana? Oh, I thought she was interviewed by the Secretary of State....

J.M. No, Neva Sly was.

P.R. Oh, Neva Sly was the only one who was. So you just simply have Neva Sly's word, who could be lying.

J.M. Oh, sure, the Pope can lie, too. Uh, what I am saying is the second issue I am interested in is did Tish Leroy really honestly forge those documents? Those grant deeds. If she did, she's outside the jurisdiction, nobody's going to hurt her. But then if she really did, then we have a hell of a case against Tim Stoen because that's the other affidavit I need to prove that Stoen really did this.

P.R. What if it was somebody else, what if it was Tim Stoen....I don't know.

J.M. Everybody says that it is Tish Leroy. The Swinneys tell me that Tish Leroy told them she did it, to their particular trust deed. Neva Sly says she watched her do it. Now, if we are going to give any credibility to Neva Sly's testimony, which has already been taken, that she forged 2,000 powers of attorney over nine years for Tim Stoen, it definitely would be very helpful to have Tish Leroy say on the other hand, I forged 500 trust deeds under the auspices of Tim Stoen. She can get the same immunity from prosecution....

BR. If she should ever want to return ....

J.M. If she should ever want to return, as Neva Sly got, and she's outside the jurisdiction of the state anyway. But that affidavit would be extremely

helpful to me in substantiating exactly how bad a guy Tim Stoen really is. *R.I.D.G.*  
And of course, I am lastly and mostly interested -- are there any children  
down there, or anybody, but particularly children, who don't want to be there.  
Especially those four that I keep telling you about, the Lopez boy, his  
name is Vincent Lopez.

P.R. That's right, that's the one that....

D.F. Let me ask you this, Joe, our office, on this script, based on my  
meeting with you and someother work we are doing, they are sending an agent  
back to Jonestown. Suppose I, after you leave today, submit a request which  
states that you would at least talk on the telephone with a Peoples Temple  
agent to negotiate the groundrules for your own investigation to satisfy  
your questions.

J.M. Because I'll tell you very frankly, if I find the answers to my  
questions, I'll be the first one....and I've got a better in right now with  
Tim Reiterman than Charlie does,....I'll be the first one to go to Tim and  
lay down the whole thing I saw down there. Because as far as I am concerned,  
those are the issues that are predominant in my mind. And that will also  
pretty well substantiate our position. Let's just take it a step further  
for a moment. Let us assume that Tish Leroy did in fact forge these things  
under the auspices of Tim Stoen. Which I really believe Neva Sly is telling  
the truth. And now I'll tell you why I believe it. Because she took a  
polygraph. And she passed it. One one issue: "Did you forge powers of  
attorney?" "Yes, I did." "Did you do it on your own?" "No, I did not."  
"Did Eugene Chaikin order you to do it?" "No, he did not." "Did Timothy  
Stoen order you to do it?" "Yes, he did."

P.R. Was she asked if Jim Jones knew anything about that?

J.M. Yes, she was, and she said no, no, she wasn't asked that. She was  
asked, "Did Jim Jones order you to forge any powers of attorney?"

A-1-D-62

And she said, "No, he didn't," and she was clean. No, truth vs. lie test which we gave her on the PSE, which is an exact duplicate test, in which was say: "Is your name Pat -- yes; Is your name Pat -- no." She came up clean again. So I'm going to have to believe her.

P.R. That she forged signatures.

J.M. And that Timothy Stoen ordered her to do it. Now, on that basis I would love to be able to ask Tish Leroy the same test and have Tish Leroy say to me, Timothy Stoen did it, because now we're going to take it back a step further. Now we're going to say, OK, If Jones did not know that these powers of attorney were being signed, or forged, and all he knew was that the Temple coffers were getting full, but he really didn't give a damn where the money was coming from and he didn't pay, now you've got Elmer Gantry again. And, he is then not a party to the fraud and the extortion. I do two things. A, if we find that the Swinneys are innocent by-standers, and they may well be, as opposed to Mertles, then one, we are going to at least resolve an issue here in the United States with regard to the Swinneys right to their property that was taken from them. Which would be very helpful. Two, we're also going to be able to put together, because the next step will be, me doing a subrose PSE on Deanne Mertle. That'll be the next step, because I want to see what her connection is -- what they were doing all those years.

P.R. Now, Deanna Mertle has a daughter, isn't that correct, or there is a younger woman connected with the Mertles.

J.M. Yeah, well there's about, at one time, in '76, they had twelve people in that house. So I'm not too sure.

P.R. Well, all I know is that one time one of the Mertles came over to the office and wanted to talk to somebody in Guyana, and she was allowed to do that, and then she turned around and said that she was never allowed to talk to anybody. Which was absolute bullshit, and it happened in the office. And then she walked out and said it never happened.

R-1-D-6-A

J.M. Fine, if it did happen, fine. But just remember I take a position, remember, Pat, you represent Charlie's interest and you represent Jones' interest. I don't represent anybody's. I could give a damn less about Swinney and the whole rest of them because all I'm interested in is if, hey, if Jim Jones is a good guy -- we'll paint a white hat on him and make him look good. If Jim Jones is a bad guy, fine. If Stoen's a bad guy, fine. D.F. We know that Stoen won't speak with anyone, but I believe that the Peoples Temple should. I am going to recommend it strongly.

J.M. Cause I'm more than willing to look at it with an open eye, providing they tell me....

D.F. (talks to Ingrid)...I think I see a way that....it may not have anything to do with making a film but it may cause a whole lot of people less grief. Especially I am impressed with your sincerity on the matter of the children.

J.M. So that's my feeling.

D.F. (Ingrid leaves, D.F. says good bye.)

J.M. Oh, I'd better give you a number that you can reach me at.

(415) 583-9119. Utilize that one, the other one goes through a service.... That one you'll get my secretary. (They exchange cards.)

D.F. God, it would be nice if into the bargain we could add some of these questions and find out where we should focus our energy in this damn thing. It doesn't matter for the film, but in a human sense, it might make the film a lot easier if this whole thing is clarified.

J.M. What I see in answering two or three of these questions, the children question i've got to answer for my own for my own feelings. Answering the Tish Leroy question will make a big difference with regard to what Tim Stoen's position is.

D.F. Yeah, he might finally make that choice between, if they're hanging him out to dry and he's facing a big, big fall -- he might come clean. That would clarify the film, then we'd have no problems.

J.M. No, I don't think you would have any problems with that, because yousee,



one more affidavit supportive to Stoen's forgeries will in fact cause the D.A.'s office to jump on his back.

P.R. Well, you said earlier he was being investigated in Fresno, which is a total mystery to me.

J.M. OK, there is a district attorney, or there was a week ago, a district attorney's representative up here a week ago, I have his card, and they are doing an investigation and they had Stoen in there on command performance. As I say, I don't really know what the investigation is entailing, except the Temple, and I think it is directed at Stoen. But I'm not sure. However, you might want to tell Charlie about it because there is an investigation now on-going in Fresno.

P.R. Fresno, that's complete mystery to me; I didn't even know that the Temple had any dealings in Fresno. But, maybe they did.

J.M. Well, they might have had somebody living in Fresno, who had dealings.

P.R. That's Charlie's home town, practically. He's from Selma. But that was years, that was centuries ago.

J.M. I don't know.

D.F. If you're ever in Los Angeles, please give me a call, I'd like to give you dinner, we could have a little party together or something. Have you talk to some ex-researchers on Watergate. They would love to hear those stories

J.M. I just did the Nixon tapes the first of the year. For NBC. Did Tricky Dick caused the 18 minute gap, or didn't he? And....

P.R. Of course he did, creep.

J.M. Well, he didn't do it personally. But he ordered it done. Talk about errors and omissions. You know who got a little upset when I had to get on T.V. and the guy sticks the microphone at me and said, "Dick cause that?" "No, but he knows who did it."

P.R. Do we have to sign anything today?

D.F. No. Ah, you both can keep the letter if you want.

J.M. I'd like a copy of it.

D... Right. Absolutely.

J.M. I'd like to have a copy. Do I get a copy of Jim Jones' letter? *R. 1-D-6 ll*

D.F. I think, yes.

J.M. OK.

P.R. Then you want me to type something up? .

D.F. I do, Pat, I want to type up what Ingrid didn't finish, and I want to type up the idea of Joe going to Jonestown.

P.R. OK.

J.M. You and I ought to get together. I think maybe I can talk to Charlie, if he feels like it. See where we are. We've been fighting for a year, maybe we ought to get together and see what's going on. Because, obviously, you are working for them, I am working for nobody. I'm just out there and I've got some things that are starting to gel now, and of course the bond issue -- we need a copy of the check, the letter from the bonding company, whatever good that is. Obviously it will be some good for your case.

P.R. Oh, for sure, for sure. OK, fine, nice to have met you.

J.M. Nice meeting you.

D.F. A pleasure Joe, and well meet again. If I can ever be of any help in the L.A. area....

J.M. Well, I've got a pretty good guy down there.

(Pause, as Mazor leaves.)

D.F. It is September 5, 3:45, 1978. This concludes side B of tape #2 of the discussion with Joseph Mazor.

END

R-1-D 7

or not they were going to let Dean testify publicly, on the Ervin committee. And of course, Dean started out with this crap with what's his name, General ----- from the CIA and having gone over there and having him in Erlichman's office, you know, and nobody really liked that too well because, hey that's true, baby, so go ahead, Sam, get him on the stand, Sam! Lowell Weiker was sitting there saying are you sure? I'm saying, I'm positive it's true. And so, you know, no problem, get him out there on the table. And say, now, what next happened. And well, General so and so arrived at the oval office and boom boom boom and all the guys in the CIA in the back row are going, oh God, here it goes, you know.

And had I said at the time, because Dean's counsel was sitting there, and had I said it at time, hey, he's not truthful in that issue, they would have said no, don't talk about that, let's only talk about the truthful points. Because they had to build from Dean to get to the other people. Like Alexander Butterfield. They had to build from Dean to get to Butterfield so they could get the tapes and evidence. Now they knew about those damned tapes, months before Butterfield actually got on the stand. And Lowell Weiker's little act about "what tapes?" That was all stage play. They knew about it, they knew about it three months before in the informal hearings. The problem was, they had to get Dean through so they could get Butterfield on, or they had lost the chain of evidence. It's just like in a criminal trial, you have got to have evidence going. But the FBI situation is simple. Off the record....

D.F. The Mertles are more the FBI types.

J.M. No, there are a couple of ex-agents working here in the City, who check real fast, find out if Stoen had any connection with the Feds, with the FBI. Nothing. No connection.

D.F. Cause I was concerned that he was CIA.

P.R. Do you think he is CIA?

R-1-D 7a

J.M. No.

P.R. What do you think he is, nuts?

J.M. No, I equate Stoen with Lee Harvey Oswald. Only to the extent that Oswald had changing political -- so says Mark Lane -- desires. First he went to Russia, and he really didn't like it there, too well, so then he went to Cuba, and that wasn't good taste, and then he went somewhere else, and that wasn't good either. But all three of them used him while he was around. That's how I see Stoen. Stoen did his little bit in '58 and '59, and '60 at the Berlin Wall. And that really didn't give Stoen the satisfaction he really craved. 'Cause he's kinky; he's kinky as hell. So then he went and tried something else, and that really didn't work either. And then he went and did something else, and that really wasn't it either. And then he up and went and joined up with a couple of people from the Fourth Reich of South America, and that really wasn't the greatest. But they had money, and that he needed. And, of course, you've got to remember, the thing that always bothered me about Jones, why, why pick a country .... you say you're a socialist, why pick a country that is setting dead off in the highest rightwing area in the world. There's more Nazis, what we call Nazis and right wing extremists per capita mile in South America than any other country in the world. So why would Jones go to South America? That bothers me, and it does to today.

P.R. Unless he's a right winger, is that what you are saying?

J.M. Well, he's espousing socialism up here, but yet he wants to be run for mayor and he wants to be run for governor and he wants his Temple people put him on the ballot for the governorship and everything else. And when that don't work, he picks himself a hole -- in really one of the only few socialist countries in South America. You've got to remember that nearly every other country in South America is either dictatorship or a neo-Nazi dictatorship. And Guyana was overthrown in 1963 by 700 adults. The whole government was toppled by 700 angry adults. So you look at it, and you say; what the hell is going on with Jones, let alone with Stoen

D.F. Sure, he constitutes a threat for Venezuela's full of Nazis.

J.M. Check.

P.R. So Jones constitutes a threat to the Nazis?

D.F. Well, what you have is a connection -- it's hard to put a fine-point on these things -- but when you talk about...Rotary International is a cover, as all kinds of people use it as fronts; and the money goes back and forth, The CIA works with all kinds of people in these countries. It works with the right wing elements against the Communists, naturally.

P.R. I can understand that.

D.F. I think Joe's biography is a good one of Stoen. I would add that the common denominator in all of these big flip-flops is Rotary International. And Rotary International, like the Red Cross and the International Monetary Fund -- the Establishment -- These are the old families that belong to the OSS, join the diplomatic service, Red Cross and Rotary and so on. And they are...they service cut outs of all kinds of people. Now the people change wildly, but the common denominator is that it always serves in a given situation, what we would call stabilizing the government or destabilizing....In other words, anti-Communism is your common denominator.

P.R. Let me ask you another question. Why does Stoen have as his attorney in San Francisco a well known person on the left?

D.F. Well, I don't think he is being forth-coming with him.

P.R. That person represents him to today.

J.M. Who is it now?

P.R. Patrick Hallinan.

J.M. Oh, OK. All right.

P.R. He represented him a year ago, and when we filed the restraining order on him, proceeding with the suits, because he was talking about times when he was the Temple attorney, and how can you sue the Temple when you were the person to advise them to do whatever they did? And so we filed a restraining order in San Francisco. That was the suit you are talking about. A temporary restraining order. Hallinan represents him on that. he does.

R-10-7-c

J.M. I know, but let's take it a step further, Pat. Wait a minute. Let's go all the way back to the start. Grace Stoen hires Jeffrey Haas.

P.R. Who I've never heard of in my entire life.

J.M. Nobody has.

P.R. Who is he?

J.M. Jeffrey Haas was a young counsel trying to make a name for himself.

P.R. OK. You know, there is a very famous left-wing attorney named Jeffrey Haas who comes out of Chicago, but he's not the same person.

J.M. Not the same guy. Haas and I had it out immediately because you're going to sit here and....First of all I knew Haas wasn't going to get anywhere going into the Superior court in San Francisco to get any orders for Guyana. And all he was doing was wasting Grace's money . OK?

P.R. Where did her money come from?

J.M. Well, her money belonged to Walter Jones. Walter Jones is the guy who was living with Grace Stoen. Jones is the guardian of that kid we were talking about.

P.R. Well, where did Walter Jones get the money.

J.M. He gets a lot of money. He's a high-priced, high-paid welder, and he was making about \$400 - \$500 per week. I checked him out. He was making big money up here. He's really working -- does a specialized type of welding which is in high demand and he gets big money for it. So, he was giving Grace the money for it, to pay to Haas to represent her and get the kid. And Haas was going into the Superior Court to get orders.

P.R. Why did she want the kid? She never....

J.M. It's her child.

P.R. Well, she's never wanted....

J.M. OK, look. Without getting into desires one way or the other--What ended up happening was that I went in with Haas, and we really had it out. I said, look, you're not getting anywhere, wasting your money here. Let's get momma and put her on an airplane and go down to Guyana with momma.... And this was early in the game, remember. Get down there with the orders.

R-1-D-7-d

and just momma and the birth certificate, and let's walk in down there and lets go over to Port Kaituma and lets get the kid. Hey, I want the child. Bingo. Give me my son. To hell with everything. That's my baby. Screw you, Jim Jones. Screw you, Tim Stoen. That's my kid. I'm the mother. There's the birth certificate. Grab the kid. Have McCoy with us from the counsel, grab the kid, get on the plane, go back to Georgetown, come home, and to hell with it. And if everybody wanted to fight later, fight later. That's the simple way of doing it. Haas wouldn't buy it. He went into court, and Hallinan refused to represent him.

P.R. Refused to represent Tim Stoen in court.

J.M. Right.

P.R. But he's representing him now.

J.M. But he refused to represent him on the custody battle.

P.R. Why?

J.M. I don't know. But of course, the outcome of it was that Haas got Walter Jones to go get a loan of some \$8,000 to \$10,000. And Haas got on the airplane to go to Guyana with these orders which he finally acquired here in San Francisco.

P.R. That was in cooperation with Tim Stoen. And Tim and Grace went together to Guyana....

J.M. Yes. Right.

D.F. And retained Hughes in Guyana.

P.R. Did you go with them that time?

J.M. I've never gone with Haas. I told Haas to stick it in his ear. Because it was a wierd situation from the get go. My feeling back then was....

D.F. They wanted the lawsuit, not the child.

J.M. Yeah, yeah. If you want the child, I've picked up children all over this world.

D.F. I can see you are sincere. This was your whole thing, to get the child back from these areas....

J.M. I want an accounting. I can take you back to correspondence that I wrote

to Charlie way, way back. I called Charlie on the phone when I first got retained in this deal, and I said, look, I will send you -- and I wrote him a letter confirming it, and I've still got the letter -- a letter on each and every person. And if you will be kind enough just to tell me where the child is. OK? That's all, because I've got these nuts coming in the door and, hey, if the kid went down there with the parents' permission, or if the child went down there with the guardian's permission, I don't want to spin my wheels up here arguing about who's got the right of custody. Or if one of the parents is down there and the other parent is up here, I'm not going to get involved in that. I just want an accounting of the children. And that was the whole deal. My only concern, and to this day....

(end of side #1, tape #2)



J.M. But last year, during the real crux of this mess, there were allegations floating back and forth about children everywhere from the age of 3 to the age of 16. And that's a wide span. And they were: A). United States citizens; B). were they getting proper medical attention? Educational attention? and were they in fact there with permission of guardian, parent, whoever? And in many cases there were wards of the court, and so did the court know they were there? Now, that was my sole interest in this case. What developed out of that was Timothy Stoen, because of his action and counter-reaction to me, one, in January of this year when I tried to interview him and when the State people tried to interview him. I got pissed at his action. But my only action against Jones has ever been the children. I could give a damn less whether Jones had those people rolling in the aisles in his temple, whether they stood up there and took their clothes off and let him beat them -- I don't care what they did. If they were adults ....

P.R. But that's not exactly true, Joe, because you went after Jones on the signature thing.

J.M. I went after Jones on the signature thing because he was a by-product of the situation. I didn't go in the thing after Stoen. I went in the thing after children.

D.F. And I think that's the line for the play, the screenplay. It is clear you are an expert, you have done this -- you're going to Hawaii for another one now. And wouldn't it make sense -- isn't it logical -- if you have your choice of bringing your own civil suit as against having the government bring a suit and you're the witness and you don't have to pay and money and you get immunity thrown into the bargain, well anyone would choose that. But he said no, and he pursues his own civil suits.

P.R. He's going to lose on every one of those.

D.F. And if he won, he'd lose. He'd go to state prison if he won.

R-I-D. 7 of

J.M. Sure he's going to lose. Regardless of what Charlie and I have had against each other in the past, or arguments or confrontations or whatever, when I saw the stuff Stoen was putting out, I knew that Charlie had the suit. Because you are going to win on one issue, alone, and that is the client confidentiality issue. If you lose on everything else, you gotta win on that. Because no court in this country is going to allow that to happen. P.R. Right. Not only do you win on attorney-client violation, you win on a State Bar level, because he can't do that.

J.M. You're never going to make the State Bar level, because I'm going to beat you. I'm going to have him disbarred before you get to court.

P.R. Well, how the hell did I know that? All I'm saying is that if you win on attorney-client privilege, you also win on the State Bar level. The State Bar will not allow him to advise a client one minute and then sue the client on the advice that he made.

J.M. You win on the State Bar....issue just on the forgeries and the fraud.

P.R. Of course, I know that.

D.F. He could have avoided all that and had the government prosecute the Peoples Temple.

J.M. I talked to the State Bar at the same time I was trying to get him to talk to us. And the most the State Bar probably would have done at that time was probably have sanctioned him and suspended him. Which is no big thing. A year's suspension. It happens to everybody....some of the best attorneys we have in the City -- have been suspended from time to time for various infractions.

P.R. Not Charles, though, so far.

J.M. But the problem is, now you're talking -- that was before the insurance company said, here's the money. Now the insurance says here's the money. Now you don't have any longer just a suspendable act; now you have a disbarable act. And the day after I got the check I was in the State Bar office. That's how fast I was sitting there. Because I am not about ready to let

R-1-D-7-R

this guy out on the street to practice law.

P.R. He's not practicing law anyway, at this point, Joe.

J.M. Well, my feelings may be a little different than yours. You see, you're looking at it from the client position. And I was looking at it from the fact that this clutz comes back to the United States, refuses to give us testimony about anything, and goes out and sets up a law practice. And I'm not down there watching what he is doing....

P.R. Oh, I agree with you. I don't think he should be allowed to practice, either.

J.M. As far as I'm concerned, he's an attorney, and he's hung out a shingle that says he's an attorney, and that means that some clutz out there is going to walk in and say, gee, you're an attorney? I need some help. And get messed over. And that I wasn't going to let happen.

And that's why I went after him. The only reason. He was a by-product.

D.F. He's not interested in the child.

J.M. And the only interest I still have with Jones is the children.

P.R. Could I ask him just one other question? He was talking about two investigations that were going on....

D.F. I just want to say one thing about the children ... because I am impressed with your sincerity on this subject. As I told you, we had some people go there and I want there.

J.M. So did I, for a quick visit.

D.F. There were transportation difficulties....and I had to stay there about three and a half days.

J.M. Was the river full?

D.F. I went down on the river, I flew out. I worked with in learning theory with children, and if there is one thing I couldn't be fooled on, it's that, for children at that age. And I spent a great deal of time looking at the medical -- I didn't look at the agricultural, I don't know anything about chickens and pigs -- But I do know something about the medical and educational

R.I.D. 71

and there was one teacher for every seven children. And those children are in a superb set-up. Superb. Now, they were pretty frank with me, there, Joe. They knew I would find out, they knew Mark and I, and they knew there was no sense in hiding anything. They even talked about ....these spankings and so forth. They said, interestingly enough, Stoen had been a big part of this. In the days when they had done this. The period when they felt besieged and the talk of violence came up. They played a tape and talked and so forth. And I thought they were frank. And it was just as well they were, because I am a friend of Charles', but I never heard of Jim Jones, and I'm not a part of their Temple.

J.M. OK, let me tell you a couple of things that bother me still. I have a letter from McCoy. I finally forced McCoy, I badgered him enough, and he finally went up to the Temple, which is about 400 miles, as you know, from Georgetown. And...

P.R. You mean he went to the interior, not to the Temple.

J.M. No, he went to the interior in Guyana, and went in-- and he wrote in the letter, there's no question in my mind that they set it up knowing I was coming. And I didn't see the real situation. Now that's coming from the embassy. From the consulate in Guyana. That's the first thing that bothers me.

D.F. But I allow for that.

J.M. OK, that's the first thing that bothers me. The second thing that has bothered me is that so many of these people have tried to contact their families down there and they have been stopped. Now, we have affidavits, maybe 6, 8, a dozen, I don't know, of people who went to your offices, or went to the Temple itself here and wanted to talk to their children, their mothers, their fathers, their aunts, their uncles, their grandmothers. And were told no. Not at the office they weren't, Joe. And in fact everybody who came to the office talked to those people. That includes that guy out there from Lafayette whose daughter is there, it includes somebody or other connected with Mertles/Mills. Everybody who came to the office talked as soon as they could.

R-107j

J.M. Well, we've got affidavits, and then there's a tape recordings of the telephone conversations between Swinneys and members of the Temple. Which we had first. In other words, you have to look at this in a chain. First we got the telephone conversations, which are absolutely ridiculous. P.R. You mean the Swinney-Stoen....

J.M. Yeah, the Swinney-Stoen, Cordell conversations. Which are absolutely sickened. They sound like a bunch of fanatics all on drugs. That's what it sounds like. "Well come and kill you tomorrow...." Yeah, that's what it sound like.

P.R. Did they actually say that?

J.M. Yes.

P.R. Huh.

J.M. "We're going to kill the child. You want him back, you can't have him because we are going to kill him." Now that's the conversations I hear. Then, I have these people coming to me who have gone to the temple and who have gone to your offices and they say to me, I can't talk to my mother. I can't talk to my son. I can't get through. They won't let me talk to my brother. You know. And then, on top of all of that, I have McCoy's letter saying I went up and obviously they have showed me what they want me to see. So now I have three things in a chain, and by that time I am saying to myself.

P.R. Except I know for a fact, Joe, that assuming there were people that came to our office, which there were -- that if they came to you

after they came to our office and said they weren't put in touch with their relatives, that's an out and out lie.

J.M. Well, that may be. But you've got to remember, Pat, that I can only go on what people are saying.

P.R. I have seen the letters come through the office. And they have all made patches.

J.M. Now what about these letters that are censored.

P.R. There aren't any letters that are censored.

R-1. D. 7K

J.M. Well, I've got a batch of them that are censored over at my office.

P.R. THat have words crossed out?

J.M. Right.

P.R. THose are made up, I am sure. You mean somebody wrote a letter and somebody else crossed out words?

D.F. From Jonestown here?

P.R. THat's phoney.

J.M. Jonestown to the Temple.

D.F. He's not talking about the letters from the Garry office, he's talking about letters emanating from Latin America that were censored.

P.R. That were sent to the Temple? Who were they from?

J.M. They were from relatives down there.

P.R. That sent letters to the Temple...

J.M. I've got one of them in my office that I can think of right off the top of my head.

P.R. And who censored them?

J.M. I'm not sure; the letter was written -- was allegedly written in Guyana. It was delivered to the person to the mother here in San Francisco. She was called on the phone and told you can come down, we have a letter here from your son. And she had to pick the letter up at the Temple.

P.R. Was that Neva Sly?

J.M. Yeah.

P.R. And there were words crossed out?

J.M. Yeah.

P.R. I am sure she crossed the words out herself. Why would....I mean, take it from this position....

J.M. I don't know.

P.R. Why would somebody from Guyana send a censored letter here and then give it out? That's crazy. That's like making a case against yourself.

J.N. I don't know.

*R.I.D. [Signature]*

J.M. Pat, I don't know why you would do it, but on the other hand I don't understand why McCoy tells me he that he was only shown what... I don't understand something else that happened....I don't understand why these people sound like raving maniacs on the tape recordings. I don't understand that either.

D.F. I think McCoy might have been protecting , now...whenever you go someplace you are going to see an attempt to build up the image a little bit, you're going to see what they want you to see. You've got to allow room for that. Now, what I did, I took the tour and the singing and the cultural evening and the wonderful food and all these things, and the kitchen, and I talked to people and so forth.... But I spent hours watching the kids. Not questioning them verbally, watching them. And I am a teacher, and I can't be fooled. I cannot be fooled. And the reason I am dwelling on this for the moment is, without defeding anything else, because that is one area I am an expert in, and I see that you really are sincerely anxious about the fate of these children -- And I heard some of the stories, I got all the other stuff before I went there: barbwire and all these things, and so forth.

P.R. Is there any barbed wire there?

D.F. No, there isn't.

J.M. Well, there was.

D.F. Was there?

J.M. Uh huh. Last year there was.

D.F. Well, there isn't now. I didn't want to get into any film, despite my friendship with Charles, where I was going to get burned later. And anyone can lie to an attorney, too.

J.M. You better believe it. And to an investigator.

D.F. Yes, and so I was....They were frank with me, admitting all kinds of mistakes they had made. Stupid mistakes. What I tried to keep in balance was -- is there some connection between means and ends. Is Jonestown really a kind of Resurrection City? PR on the side, what are the real dynamics of it?

R-1-177

And in talking to the old people and watching the kids, it is my opinion, that most of the people in Jonestown, maybe 99%, if they were not in Jonestown, would be one way or another dead. I mean either physically dead or addicted or prostitutes, pimps, state prison, suicide, mental institutions, hospitals. You know, they have a group ego there, and it wouldn't be everybody's choice to live, it wouldn't be our style to live that way -- but the people I saw are working and turning out fantastic things there in industry and all the other things -- I have oral histories from about 100 people. Everybody's story -- incest, victims, you name it's Dostoyevskian.-

J.M. Were the incest, or were they incest only from the point....You see, now I've got affidavits, I've got documents which these people signed in the Temple here saying that they committed incest. And then I have affidavits from the same person saying they were forced to sign it.

P.R. Uh huh.

J.M. By Jones and by Chaikin and by Stoen. I've got affidavits, and I have got the originals.

D.F. Setting aside the incest, the others...

J.M. Well, rape, armed robbery, murder....

D.F. Well, I talked to some of those young kids, and I believe they were material for state prison.

J.M. Well, maybe they were, but I am talking about the run of the mill -- I've got the same type of affidavits sitting here, and the original and the copies of something else, and I am saying to myself, what the hell is going on here?

D.F. I think you take person A and put them in Jonestown, and they are one thing -- you take them out and they are something else. In my opinion there, you have got the social equivalent of the raising of the dead.



R-1-D-7-11  
N

And I talked to some of the young people. Not the old black people, and you have some of the religious element there, the young people who are very atheistic and so forth. Vietnam vets, strung out on drugs; these people were lost. They are zealots, now, there is no question about it; they are true believers. It is not an uncommon phenomenon. The question is, is it in good faith? Is it -- and I saw three delegations while I was there from different countries -- and from Georgetown, too. Education, medicine, and so forth. They are treating the Amerindians with medical care. They are bringing in Amerindian and Guyanese orphans for the educational and so forth. For a social scientist, it is like an Eldorado, it is an extraordinary thing. Now, that isn't to say that it is a utopia of strong, autonomous individuals who volitionally all say we are all going to work together and create a new society. You have a little of that. But you have an awful lot of people who were tremendously heavily burdened emotionally and physically and legally. And put them together and it's dynamite. I mean, they are carving out that jungle. Now, that isn't to say -- and I still go back to my Elmer Gantry description which I think is viable for American film, because the combination of saint and conman is an old archetype.

J.M. My question still lies, how did they get there? How did they get put in the position to have to go? Did they have to go? Were they forced to go?

D.F. They believe there, you know it's like the Chinese, they believed in Jonestown. I talked to all kinds of people there. That they have an apocalyptic, sort of millenarian position that there's going to be an atomic war, that the U.S. may go fascist, and that they want to make a model of survival. And they are ambitious with lots of (inaudible), and I agree with you, they are going to be a threat to... You know, Latin America, you just read Agee's diary and if two people have a discussion on the street corner, Cuba is in the wings. I think, if Guyana does grow stronger, and if Somoza goes in Nicaragua and the dominoes start to fall, I mean, I think you put

R-1-A-7-114  
0

your finger on it when you talked about....the whole thing is a pretext....  
the whole legal situation, the kids, all that is at the human level, right here. The bigger picture, Stoen and others, could care less about.

J.M. OK, my only position still holds. I still would like to know if those people were forced down there by false affidavits. I am interested for my own knowledge. Did Jones really have these people sign these damning documents and then hold them against them as a threat to force them to go? Now, that is a two-edged cutting sword, Pat. Once I know that, I also know how to judge the Mills, and the Swinneys and the other people, see. That sort of cuts two ways.

D.F. Let me ask you something....

P.R. Well, I can tell you this.....

D.F. Excuse me for interrupting, but Pat I think I might see daylight here. Your'e position was direct action in the first place -- the children are being held, let's go get them. How would you, I mean, would you have the audacity to go with a colleague or whatever, Charles or whatever, the ground rules there being noone looking over your shoulder, you interview anyone you want to interview whose case comes under your purview -- your expenses paid, etc. etc. And satisfy yourself?

J.M. Sure.

D.F. Course, I don't know if I can bring this about, but I can certainly try.

J.M. Look, here are my concerns, besides the children, though I am interested in the children primarily. I have put a year's worth of work into these kids, and I want to know where they are. But I am concerned, were these people really forced into signing these affidavits in San Francisco and in Mendocino which were subsequently used as a hammer to force them to go to Guyana. That's number one.

D.F. This is the Scientology question, too.

J.M. Right. Ron Hubbard, here we go.

P.R. Let me just say this. The affidavits that you are talking about, where

R.I.O. 7-12

somebody says they committed a crime or whatever ....

J.M. You've never seen them? Well, I've got them and you're welcome to look at them. Number two, I am interested to know, and I would like to know, and of course you are outside the jurisdiction in Guyana -- these people are outside the jurisdiction of our government -- but I'd like to know really did Carol Layton forge....not Carol Layton but Tish Leroy, whose down there....

P.R. Tish Leroy is down where?

J.M. In Guyana.

P.R. In Guyana? Oh, I thought she was interviewed by the Secretary of State....

J.M. No, Neva Sly was.

P.R. Oh, Neva Sly was the only one who was. So you just simply have Neva Sly's word, who could be lying.

J.M. Oh, sure, the Pope can lie, too. Uh, what I am saying is the second issue I am interested in is did Tish Leroy really honestly forge those documents? Those grant deeds. If she did, she's outside the jurisdiction, nobody's going to hurt her. But then if she really did, then we have a hell of a case against Tim Stoen because that's the other affidavit I need to prove that Stoen really did this:

P.R. What if it was somebody else, what if it was Tim Stoen....I don't know.

J.M. Everybody says that it is Tish Leroy. The Swinneys tell me that Tish Leroy told them she did it, to their particular trust deed. Neva Sly says she watched her do it. Now, if we are going to give any credibility to Neva Sly's testimony, which has already been taken, that she forged 2,000 powers of attorney over nine years for Tim Stoen, it definitely would be very helpful to have Tish Leroy say on the other hand, I forged 500 trust deeds under the auspices of Tim Stoen. She can get the same immunity from prosecution....

BR. If she should ever want to return ....

J.M. If she should ever want to return, as Neva Sly got, and she's outside the jurisdiction of the state anyway. But that affidavit would be extremely

helpful to me in substantiating exactly how bad a guy Tim Stoen really is. R-1-11-7-Q

And of course, I am lastly and mostly interested -- are there any children down there, or anybody, but particularly children, who don't want to be there. Especially those four that I keep telling you about, the Lopez boy, his name is Vincent Lopez.

P.R. That's right, that's the one that....

D.F. Let me ask you this, Joe, our office, on this script, based on my meeting with you and some other work we are doing, they are sending an agent back to Jonestown. Suppose I, after you leave today, submit a request which states that you would at least talk on the telephone with a Peoples Temple agent to negotiate the groundrules for your own investigation to satisfy your questions.

J.M. Because I'll tell you very frankly, if I find the answers to my questions, I'll be the first one....and I've got a better in right now with Tim Reiterman than Charlie does,....I'll be the first one to go to Tim and lay down the whole thing I saw down there. Because as far as I am concerned, those are the issues that are predominant in my mind. And that will also pretty well substantiate our position. Let's just take it a step further for a moment. Let us assume that Tish Leroy did in fact forge these things under the auspices of Tim Stoen. Which I really believe Neva Sly is telling the truth. And now I'll tell you why I believe it. Because she took a polygraph. And she passed it. One issue: "Did you forge powers of attorney?" "Yes, I did." "Did you do it on your own?" "No, I did not." "Did Eugene Chaikin order you to do it?" "No, he did not." "Did Timothy Stoen order you to do it?" "Yes, he did."

P.R. Was she asked if Jim Jones knew anything about that?

J.M. Yes, she was, and she said no, no, she wasn't asked that. She was asked, "Did Jim Jones order you to forge any powers of attorney?"

And she said, "No, he didn't," and she was clean. No, truth vs. lie test which we gave her on the PSE, which is an exact duplicate test, in which was say: "Is your name Pat -- yes; Is your name Pat -- no." She came up clean again. So I'm going to have to believe her.

P.R. That she forged signatures.

J.M. And that Timothy Stoen ordered her to do it. Now, on that basis I would love to be able to ask Tish Leroy the same test and have Tish Leroy say to me, Timothy Stoen did it, because now we're going to take it back a step further. Now we're going to say, OK, If Jones did not know that these powers of attorney were being signed, or forged, and all he knew was that the Temple coffers were getting full, but he really didn't give a damn where the money was coming from and he didn't pay, now you've got Elmer Gantry again. And, he is then not a party to the fraud and the extortion. I do two things. A, if we find that the Swinneys are innocent by-standers, and they may well be, as opposed to Mertles, then one, we are going to at least resolve an issue here in the United States with regard to the Swinneys right to their property that was taken from them. Which would be very helpful. Two, we're also going to be able to put together, because the next step will be, me doing a subrose PSE on Deanne Mertle. That'll be the next step, because I want to see what her connection is -- what they were doing all those years.

P.R. Now, Deanna Mertle has a daughter, isn't that correct, or there is a younger woman connected with the Mertles.

J.M. Yeah, well there's about, at one time, in '76, they had twelve people in that house. So I'm not too sure.

P.R. Well, all I know is that one time one of the Mertles came over to the office and wanted to talk to somebody in Guyana, and she was allowed to do that, and then she turned around and said that she was never allowed to talk to anybody. Which was absolute bullshit, and it happened in the office. And then she walked out and said it never happened.

R.L.P. 7-12

R-1-D-7-4

J.M. Fine, if it did happen, fine. But just remember I take a position, remember, Pat, you represent Charlie's interest and you represent Jones' interest. I don't represent anybody's. I could give a damn less about Swinney and the whole rest of them because all I'm interested in is if, hey, if Jim Jones is a good guy -- we'll paint a white hat on him and make him look good. If Jim Jones is a bad guy, fine. If Stoen's a bad guy, fine. D.F. We know that Stoen won't speak with anyone, but I believe that the Peoples Temple should. I am going to recommend it strongly.

J.M. Cause I'm more than willing to look at it with an open eye, providing they tell me....

D.F. (talks to Ingrid)...I think I see a way that....it may not have anything to do with making a film but it may cause a whole lot of people less grief. Especially I am impressed with your sincerity on the matter of the children.

J.M. So that's my feeling.

D.F. (Ingrid leaves, D.F. says good bye.)

J.M. Oh, I'd better give you a number that you can reach me at.

(415) 583-9119. Utilize that one, the other one goes through a service.... That one you'll get my secretary. (They exchange cards.)

D.F. God, it would be nice if into the bargain we could add some of these questions and find out where we should focus our energy in this damn thing. It doesn't matter for the film, but in a human sense, it might make the film a lot easier if this whole thing is clarified.

J.M. What I see in answering two or three of these questions, the children question i've got to answer for my own for my own feelings. Answering the Tish Leroy question will make a big difference with regard to what Tim Stoen's position is.

D.F. Yeah, he might finally make that choice between, if they're hanging him out to dry and he's facing a big, big fall -- he might come clean. That would clarify the film, then we'd have no problems.

J.M. No, I don't think you would have any problems with that, because yousee,

A.I.D. 7-11

one more affidavit supportive to Stoen's forgeries will in fact cause the D.A.'s office to jump on his back.

P.R. Well, you said earlier he was being investigated in Fresno, which is a total mystery to me.

J.M. OK, there is a district attorney, or there was a week ago, a district attorney's representative up here a week ago, I have his card, and they are doing an investigation and they had Stoen in there on command performance. As I say, I don't really know what the investigation is entailing, except the Temple, and I think it is directed at Stoen. But I'm not sure. However, you might want to tell Charlie about it because there is an investigation now on-going in Fresno.

P.R. Fresno, that's complete mystery to me; I didn't even know that the Temple had any dealings in Fresno. But, maybe they did.

J.M. Well, they might have had somebody living in Fresno, who had dealings.

P.R. That's Charlie's home town, practically. He's from Selma. But that was years, that was centuries ago.

J.M. I don't know.

D.F. If you're ever in Los Angeles, please give me a call, I'd like to give you dinner, we could have a little party together or something. Have you talk to some ex-researchers on Watergate. They would love to hear those stories

J.M. I just did the Nixon tapes the first of the year. For NBC. Did Tricky Dick caused the 18 minute gap, or didn't he? And....

P.R. Of course he did, creep.

J.M. Well, he didn't do it personally. But he ordered it done. Talk about errors and omissions. You know who got a little upset when I had to get on T.V. and the guy sticks the microphone at me and said, "Dick cause that?" "No, but he knows who did it."

P.R. Do we have to sign anything today?

D.F. No. Ah, you both can keep the letter if you want.

J.M. I'd like a copy of it.

D... Right. Absolutely.

J.M. I'd like to have a copy. Do I get a copy of Jim Jones' letter?

A-I-D. 7-12  
W

D.F. I think, yes.

J.M. OK.

P.R. Then you want me to type something up?

D.F. I do, Pat, I want to type up what Ingrid didn't finish, and I want to type up the idea of Joe going to Jonestown.

P.R. OK.

J.M. You and I ought to get together. I think maybe I can talk to Charlie, if he feels like it. See where we are. We've been fighting for a year, maybe we ought to get together and see what's going on. Because, obviously, you are working for them, I am working for nobody. I'm just out there and I've got some things that are starting to gel now, and of course the bond issue -- we need a copy of the check, the letter from the bonding company, whatever good that is. Obviously it will be some good for your case.

P.R. Oh, for sure, for sure. OK, fine, nice to have met you.

J.M. Nice meeting you.

D.F. A pleasure Joe, and well meet again. If I can ever be of any help in the L.A. area....

J.M. Well, I've got a pretty good guy down there.

(Pause, as Mazor leaves.)

D.F. It is September 5, 3:45, 1978. This concludes side B of tape #2 of the discussion with Joseph Mazor.

END



Conversation between Marcie and Dennis Roberts

R.I.D.-8

Just a normal conversation but then he said that  
flowing about Mark Lane

Zzzzz

" I thought that he once tried to set up a friend  
of mine. & For good motive. What happened was a  
real dear friend of mine was connected to ( in essentially  
a sexual way) to someone ~~in~~ in the Justice Department.  
Mark had this playwright who wanted to get information from  
his this guy so I extended myself to set up a situation  
a social situation wherein this person might feel inclined  
talk. I learned this from my friend and I don't know that  
his necessarily telling me the truth. But I was very suspicious  
of him and did not like what he did." Dennis Roberts did not  
go into detail about what happened to make him suspicious  
but what happened. But in essence he didn't like it.

Concersation wih Bob Ganazda: with Marcie

He said that he didn't know about Mark Lane. He said that  
that he didn't know him personally and that he knew  
me who knew him personally and that he really didn't  
have an opinion. He said that on one hand he had heard alot  
off about mark that wasn't that good yet on the other  
hand Mark has taken on some things that others haven't  
and he has always respected that.

Jim said, read  
very careful & try to catch  
anything. *James*  
pg 1

Full transcript of tape from Carrie Tucker  
with Vee Hollins (2 copies)

SAVE  
Conspiracy  
P-I-D-9

Willie Bobo and on the week before last we wrote letters to the district attorney, right?

Yea, we did write the district attorney.

And it was 99¢ to keep vibrations up?  
And uh....who is Earlene Mosley?

Earlene Mosley, She ~~ix~~doesn't come any more. She stopped coming a long long time ago.

Well she is drawing up with them.

Oh, Earlene Mosley is...

Yea, and Mary Little...

Mary Little?

Mary Lindle, do you know Mary Linden?

No. Maybe she used to be a member; maybe she stopped coming a long time ago. It doesn't ring a bell right now.

Well anyway OK in San Francisco--I'm trying to find my list. Sunday in San Francisco--we had everybody go in the Gold Room...

Uh huh

And they're trying to get them out, and uh, you went--~~you~~ you don't ~~have~~ have good sense--I don't know why I use paper like this...

It'll come to you Carrie

No, I got it all written down, but its got other stuff on here with it and I can't put it together... Where is that list at... I don't know how this got out... Ok what happened--OK Sunday--maybe I can figure I do it. Now Sunday--the weekend everybody was supposed to go to San Francisco?

Uh huh...

What they said they were going to close the church and then they decided not to?

Yea

And why can't I read this---and then they decided not to; they were wondering if they were going to have pot-luck right?

Yea.

A-1-D 9a

pg 2

And--they would have been...something...anyway Esther Johnson(?) I don't know how she got connected in here--Clara got a letter from Esther Johnson.

Esther Johnson? Who got a letter from her?  
just let me...

...now ~~wait a minute~~... Esther Johnson got a letter from Clara. I don't know if she told Mable or not.

Oh I see.

This is what <sup>Fannie</sup> ~~Sandy~~ told me.

Uh huh

And Clara told her to come on over. And said that they were going to close the church up down here pretty soon.

uh--uh uh (as in no)

And Hue is going to come back, and they are showing pictures Sunday down there--at the church Sunday. They showed them last Sunday in San Francisco.

Uh huh

And one of the members took a little green book that they were giving us down there, and gave it to Fannie--A feeling of Freedom--gave the book to Fannie.

GodDammit--I'm trying to find a name...

Don Fields that's in Guyana with his wife and children, his mother goes to the meetings all the time, and she is--she hasn't heard from her son and she something... The district attorney called everyday.

Called who

Called Fannie and Mabel, and they are going to come to that church down there on --who is Maxine Benton?

Maxine Benton? There is a Maxine Thomas, Maxine Johnson... I don't know a Maxine Benton.

What about it? (still Vee)

Who is Mary Ruben? I'm just giving you some names that she's been talking to...

Oh, Mary Ruben? She doesn't attend any more, she stopped coming a long time ago.

Well she's joined up with them--these are names that I'm giving you that has come in with them.

Uh huh

R. 1-1-96

pg 3

Tim got a lot of stuff; he is in with somebody over in Guyana that's talking to him and giving him stuff. Girl, he knows what they're doing on weeknights over there and everything.

Hum

What's this about the District Attorney, you said they would be coming down what day? Coming to the Temple what day--in LA or in San Francisco?

Down here to San Francisco--I mean LA. They are going to be coming here to this Temple because they said that McElvane wasn't an ordained minister, and they were going to check and said that Jim wasn't no minister either. They called the place you know where if they are a minister they've been ordained--how they check it out? And they said there was no minister and they are going to come in there--in the church--

That'll be Sunday?

I don't know they said--one of these Sundays. And the one in San Francisco too. And they are getting together in San Francisco with the lawyers and everybody--they're going to court, and they spoke to Ted Kennedy.

Ted Kennedy

Yes, am I going too fast?

No

Ted Kennedy

The president's brother?

Yes and she spoke to Rosaland Carter.

Who spoke to her?

Fannie.

Spoke to her, talked to her, wrote to her?

She wrote to her and sent all the literature.

Oh, I see.

And then she kept calling and had her to call her back. She did speak to her on the phone. And she was telling her about when she was in San Francisco just before Jim left here and a whole lot of stuff and then she said that she would talk to the President.

Lets see now, Fannie Mobley talked to Rosaland Carter on the Phone. I see. OK

R-1-D-9-c

pg 4

And she sent the literature--anyway, Tim was going to have this stuff put in the Enquirer, you know that paper don't you?

He was? What do you mean by "he was"?

Well he got so much of this stuff and when he called the Enquirer, they didn't have enough space in there for this stuff, so he has to wait to get his space.

I see. Do you really believe that Fannie called Rosaland Carter?

I believe she called her, but I don't believe she spoke to her.

OK

I believe that she did because she is that kind of person. I don't believe that she spoke to her on the phone because I think that President or Rosaland would bother to talk or get involved. I believe all this stuff that she said; I believe they had read it, but I doubt it very much that she has spoken to her on the phone. I doubt it very much that she spoke to Ted Kennedy. Maybe she spoke to his secretary now--I ~~xxxxxxx~~ believe that. ~~I believe~~ she spoke to President Carter's secretary, but I doubt it very much that she has spoken to either one of them.

Boy I'll tell you...

...'cause how can she do something that nobody else can do? They are going to get together in San Francisco, and oh--when she was wait a minute-- when she was speaking to President Carter's secretary and she spoke to Ted Kennedy's secretary, and she acted as if she didn't want to talk to her. And so Fannie hung up and Fannie said that her mind told her to call her back and talk to her because she didn't say what she wanted to say. So she called her back and told her that Jim Jones was going to overturn the government you know that he was a crook, and then she wanted to talk. She said Oh is he a cult something like SunMoon?

Who said this?

This secretary, something..... You know SunMoon don't you?

Yea that name's familiar, but who--Fannie is talking to who now?

President Carter's secretary.

Oh., President Carter's secretary.  
Were you able to get her name?

Waite a minute.

OK

R-1-D-9-d

pg 5

I don't know...Dobtie Fields?

Dootie Fields?

No, that isn't it. I'm <sup>going to</sup> ask her again. I'll get it before the day is gone.

OK

I don't know where it is.

Alright.

Anyway she said Oh is he like that cult, like that cult SunMoon? And then she wanted to talk and she said that they went on for a long time. And she said that she would--something listening--she would give it to the President. Alright, if she done give it to the President and spoke to these people why do you keep calling? People are going to think you're nuts. And Kennedy said that they were going to look into it too. And this district attorney--

Did you get his name?

No, there were three of them. They were over in a meeting one time

Did you get any of their names?

No

You see I can't go into this thing and just question them 'cause they're going to something to say <sup>what</sup> are you? an FBI or something?

Yea

So I have to figure my little tricks around and say didn't you say DA and I'll make up a name and then she'll tell me the name. You see, I can't go in like that because she'll start asking me questions.

I see. If you can, try to find out who will be coming to the meeting on Sunday in LA and San Francisco.

But you won't know them she said. They won't know they'll be coming in.

I see. Oh boy, I'm telling you... I was wondering if you find out let us know who is taking information from our meetings on Sundays back to them.

That's why I was giving you these names. I don't know.

Oh one of those names there.

Waite a minute let me call off some names (cont next page)

A. (D. 9 e

pg 6.

Mary Ruben,

Mary Ruben--she doesn't attend.

Maxine Benton. Do you know a Maxine Benton?

Maxien Benton. We don't have a Maxine Benton that attends LA.  
What did she do or say?

No, these are people that she's been talking to.

Oh these are just names that came up.

How about Lucille Taylor?

Lucille Taylor--I don't know her. Maybe a long time ago she used to come.

Know Gladys Akins?

Gladys Akins. Know her she stopped coming a long time ago.

Shirley Baisey?

Shirley Baisey. Shes' over. She's in the FL.

What about Naomi Williams? Her mom? (still Vee)

Well Naomi Williams, who is she?

That's Shirley Baisey's mom.

Well she knows Fannie.

She what?

She talks to Fannie.

See she doesn't attend so...

- Lillie Bobo who is that?

Yea she attends.

OK waite a minute here. I'm going to read you some names. I don't know hwho they are -- I/m just giving you some names.

OK

OK they said that Kan Nelson's daughter was going to be going over and speak at this church and at the other one?

Oh boy--probably so...

R-1-D-9-1

pg 7

OK what color dress did you wear last Sunday? Was it a brown and some color dress?

Who?

You

Yea

Did Florida wear something white and something lighter.

No, she didn't wear anything white.

Did she wear <sup>some kind of</sup> white skirt with a waist?

She might have...now, one of them Sundays.

Did you send 20 bottles of baby oil to the PL?

Oh yea--project

Did the drivers that work on the project been something them 2 months?

oh yea. so you see someone is going back and saying things and we're going to just find out who. Who these people are who this person is.

Is Hue coming ~~coming~~ back?

Muh?

Is Hue coming back?

I don't know anything about that. Have they said anything about--lets see--you know last time you mentioned ~~Emily~~ Emily Blemon. Do you know about her?

Who?

Emily Belmon.

No, I haven't heard any more about her since.

I see.

But I know Mabel talks to Carlene Coleman, but Mabel never tells you what somebody said. And waite a minute now--They had some tapes there on Jim?

Yea

~~They sent some tapes and Sandy wrote down all this stuff that the child wrote down that was on the tapes~~

They sent some tapes and they played the tapes? and Sandy wrote down all this stuff that the child told her that was on the tapes.

Fannie



R. 1-1-9. g

pg. 8

Hum. I wonder who told her...

I don't know.

Let's see.

And they said that one time they hold people back now from going over.

No

And now there sending more.

No. Not to my knowledge.

Well they had a lot of them in the Gold Room Sunday in San Francisco. She's talking to somebody in San Francisco and LA.

And LA that attends meetings.

See I don't know them Vee -- the only people I ever know there was Clara. You know, so I couldn't tell you...

Yea I see.

And the church was half full last Sunday.  
~~xxxxxxxx~~..and it's going to be full this Sunday the girl said.

Oh it will be?

Yea because they said they were going to show those pictures down here.

Of the girl, but she never said who the girl was.

See I took <sup>Fannie</sup> Sandy a pie.

Oh you went and brought her that pie.

Yea. I bought her a pie. I bought her some handkerchiefs with lace on it and when I gave it I kissed her.

Did she start crying?

Huh?

Did she cry?

Oh she told me that I was the best thing that ever happened to her. ...I'll butter her up to get her to talk a little more. and You're sure you don't know an Earlene Mosley?

Yes I know Earlene Mosley. She stopped coming way before Jim Left. She used to be an usher by the way.

R-17-9-L

pg 9

Marylou? It could be Lou Mary, I don't know...

Mary lou? No

Marylou?

No Like I say, it could have been who used to attend a long time ago.

Well, this guy he's going to come down to the church, and they said that they have enough stuff on Jim to put him under. 'cause somebody was telling them stuff from over in Guyana--they know what they are doing every night over there.

Isn't that something.

You don't know what Sunday that's going to be do you?

I'll find out what Sunday it's going to be.

OK OK what time of day or night just give me a ring.

I'm going to try to find out.

OK

I try my best when I talk to Fannie to keep my cool, you know. Sometime I ask her you know, what do you think will come out of this? What do you think you will get out of it, you know. I say, do you feel better know? You know, since you started up all of this. And I think that sometime she wonders who in the hell... But I try not do to that.

Yea. I see what you're saying. Don't give up cause you don't want to get yourself hurt. Are they still haveing their meetings?

Yea, they had one last week. and they had 3 attorneys in there.

Is that the one on Sunset?

No this one's on...

Jefferson?

No it was on Fairfax.

Fairfax.

\* And it had 3 lawyers in there; they had 3 district attorneys and they had police officers that arrest people.

Waite a minute, I didn't hear that.  
2 police officers?

R-10-9-11

pg 10

Uh huh, that had arrest people from Peoples Temple.

What had arrested people in Peoples Temple. And when was that meeting?

Last week.

And that was on Fairfax.

Uh huh.

Did she tell you anything what was said?

No, but the people said she told me this that they had to take their time because they had to make sure--you know what they were doing--they can't go in and do something on what's said, but there are more people that are talking now, and they had people they were talking to from over in Guyana. They even have Jim's phone number.

They even have who's phone number?

They have the phone number from over in Guyana. And they called Mable and ~~Sandy~~ every day-

*Fannie*

That's the District Attorney?

Yea.

This is what Mable, I mean Fannie ix Mosley is telling you.

Yea,

I can't find this sheet of paper. Anyway, let me see--Kay, I think Kay or something to that effect. Kay Nelson has got a husband who is Eloise--waite a minute, I'm getting these little peices together now--who is Eloise Hall?

Eloise Hall? Oh yea, she's in the FL

Well she got a letter--somebody got a letter from her from over there and said that it didn't make any sense at all, and Kay's husband got a letter from her. He said that Kay Nelson said that she had been real sick over there but that Jim was trying to gether back together. She would be coming home soon.

This is from information that someone from over there is telling Tim Stoen here?

Uh huh

I just talked to Kay not too long ago on the radio. She's doing fine.

R-1-D-9-z

Oh and they were telling--she said that the people were standing up at the meeting--telling all the things that Jim had said, you know, that he was going to do . He was going to tear-up Los Angeles/ and ~~xxxx~~that people should sell everything they got and move out because he was going to tear it up.

...at the meeting that Tim Stoen had had...

...that went on in the meeting...

Oh, the people that Tim Stoen--the meeting that he had, people were standing up saying saying this.

They were giving testimonies, and they said the reason why the people got out of here was because they were scared of Jim because they really believed that Jim was God. Jim told them that he was going to tear up Los Angeles, and there was an earthquake coming, and if they didn't sell their home and turn over the money, it wouldn't do them any good. And if they asked questions, it will bring sickness to them--that is why they never asked Jim questions.

Man that is, sickning...

Because,.. and then they took the Bible and said that Jim cussed on the Bible and made them just dance on 'em and said to Fuck God. That he was the only God and Savior they ever know, and she said the District Attorney said how come he can't save somebody? What kind man is this that can tear up everything and can't fix anything?

Tbat's what the District Attorney said?

Uh huh. He said how come he can tear up things and he can't fix it. He said why don't he do something for the peoples mind? And one woman said that he was sick (or fake?). said that he never healed anyone, and said that he and Marceline were getting a divorce because he is living with Steve's daughter over there and the little boy ...said John John or whatever has name and Marie. And they said that little John is calling Jim daddy and Marie mama. There was some man who had a hotel, and his daughter was away somewhere, and this man --kinda old guy-- gave all this stuff to Jim. His daughter came back and took all this stuff back. They said that Jim had killed a lot of people.

When you don't give names, you don't know, right?

...she say its a man or its a woman...

How long ago. I don't know nothing...

This was last week they were telling this to the District attorney. They were there, she said, for two hours. And they were telling all this stuff that Jim had programmed into their minds--had scared them into doing,

R. C. D. 9-A

(still Carrie after long pause)

And then the District Attorney wanted to know why he heard the rumer where if he was God. If he was God why didn't he saay here 'cause there were so many hungry people in Los Angeles. ...and build this place up... why did he have to go over there?

That's what Fannie Mobley's telling you the District Attorney is telling them?

Uh huh. He wanted to know that.

And they said that one woman--the woman that, the mother of Don Fields, sand that she was crying--that she had lost so much weight and said that she's afraid she wasn't going to hear from her son. Her son is a Pharmasist. pause...

And he said he wanted to know why he was just doing that to blacks. and the District Attorney said what he must have wanted to do is to take blacks or whatever and clean-up--you know, and then bring them back after everything is all cleaned up.

Oh goodnes. The District Attorney said that?

Uh huh. And he said that this guy is worse than SunMoon. And he said that SunMoon--~~HE WAS HERE IN THE ARGENT~~ running out of...he was here in Los Angeles too. He said that SunMoon, he is over in Europe now--that they are trying to get him out of there.

Boy. Is there anyway at all that you can find out who was taking this --what's going on in our services in LA and San FRancisco--to these distractors.

I've been trying to find out. I hear her or him or she said or he said.

Never who...

You don't ... and then sometime you'll come up and I'll say Fannie remember you told me that Gloria said so and so and then she will come up with a name. No, I told you so and so...

I see what you are saying.

She was telling me about the Temple in LA and I said you told me that Jessie said about so and so and she said no, I said Lillie Bobo. That's how I had to trick her with those names.

So you think maybe she's been talking to Lillie Bobo?

Well that's the name that I gavę you. Is Lillie Bobo in the church?

That's the name that she's given you some time or another... I see.

Well this stuff she was telling me, I said Jessie, you know, and she said no, Lillie Bobo.

R-1-D 9-L

Pg 13

To tell you the truth, you have to watch every single one of th  
them. 'cause, I mean you just don't know at all, and they had  
this book there where Jim was Lenin, you know?

Lenin?

Yea they had this book there and it was telling about herwas in Lenin  
he went down 47 times.

He what?

Jim has been back to this Earth 47 times. That's what they told him  
in these testimonies, and when he came back the time before this time  
he was Lenin. So the District Attorney wanted to know what was he all  
the other times. (laughter)

Oh, Lenin...

He wanted to know who was his name all the other times, and they said  
well do you think he knows his name from the other times? He was wwith  
Russia the time before this time. And he said well oh hell what has  
he been doing all those lifes the 47 times it's such a mess.

Did you get a chance to see this book on Lenin?

No, I didn't. It was there. <sup>Feenie</sup> Sandy said she got it add she's  
going to let me read it.

Book on Lenin. I see.

Bessie had one--that's that Bessie that left.

It's a book that you can buy at any store, then.

It is?

I'm asking.

No, they got it from the convention center--all that stuff that  
they say that Jim had builded--that's why they told him to go and  
see it. O

Oh...

'cause that what he ~~had~~ had built while he was in the other life.  
He was with Russia then. And so the District Attorney said look  
God is with everybody. God isn't just over in Russia. and so she  
said this guy we've got to do something about it because he's programming  
peoples minds. and he said--did say that whatever is in your mind  
~~that you have fear of, it would happen~~  
that you fear--that you have fear of, <sup>he said</sup> it would happen--you know ~~about~~  
that sickness?

R-1-D-9-m

Carrie cont:

And he was telling the people, you know, about that sickness? That they must never say I am sick, because they ~~are~~ identify themselves--don't identify, your mind controls your body--he was talking to them saying--the District Attorney said I'm so sorry for those people that was there crying saying you must never think of sickness and don't believe there's no God--around here he said that God is using people, and he said that when you mis-use God's power, God will strip you of it. And he was just really trying to 'cause she likes him really very much.

Who was saying this now?

~~A~~ This District Attorney because he felt so sorry for them.

No name?

uhuh-no

Well have you been able to attend any of their meetings yet?

Well, I was invited, but <sup>she's</sup> I think that when I get in there, I'll blow my top. I don't think that's the plea for me because I was never under Jim's teachings, and for me to go there, what--you know I can't testify. What would I be going for? I have nothing to say.

Maybe, I was thinking that maybe if you were there to hear first hand, you know, might be able to get some names.

I could easily go into one, but I don't know what to say. You know what I mean?

I see what you are saying. I see now.

I mean everybody's <sup>getting up and giving</sup> giving testimonies --and I'll be sitting there looking like a yoyo. I just came in there and joined the church when Jim left.

I see what you're saying.

I have nothing to say. I don't know anything. The only thing I know is what people tell me. I don't know that and I don't believe them.

I see.

I was thinking, how can I get in--Oh, I know how I could get in there I could get in there and say I am concerned because I want to help these people out. That's why I'm here. I don't know anything about it--I just came in and I joined. I could say that. And then get some names.

You could stay close to Fannie. She probably could get you in.

R-1-A-9-N

pg 15

But you see, I could get in. She's invited me. But you see, I think it would be a hard thing for me to sit through and take.

I see.

'cause you see, some of these people--like <sup>Fannie</sup> ~~Sandy~~ talked to me--she lied lied lied--I don't know what she think I got a bird brain... She'll tell you one thing and then she turn around and then she something--she did something and then she didn't do it.

It would be helpful, if you could. ...get in there.

Out in the kValley there are some people--I don't know their names--they must be Oxnard?

Oxnard? You must mean Bakersfield.

(first side of tape ended, so there's a pause in conversation)

(Earrie)

Just in case they ~~xxxx~~ needed it for their relatives when they came home.

I see--no names there either?

No. I think it is that name Barbara Bulleck--that used to stay with Barbara Bulleck...

You mean Hill?

Yea.and Barbara Bulleck is going to be there Sunday.

She will be.

Uh huh. Yea, she's going to be there Sunday.

Oh, she will be,

Did they say anything about Bobbie Bullocks or why she hasn't been coming?

No, she comes to get information. And she talkes to another lady, and the lady gives it to Fannie.

I see.

And that building down there is going to be sold. Is that true?

What building?

Where you're in--around the first of the year.

I don't know nothing about that. This building that I'm in is going to be sold around the first of the year?



R. L. D. 9. 0

pg 16

Yea, going to be sold. Mc Elvane said that they were going to sell it but they wasn't in no hurry--maybe by the first of the year.

You're very helpful.

Vee, why do these people go to church? Why do they come there?

Why do they come to service?

Yea.

Why not?

I mean if they are going to come, why can't they keep their mouth shut?

Now you know that. That's been going on ever since time began. They're not going to keep thier mouth closed. I mean they just won't. And too, I think some of them think they would be big in someone's eyes if they came and sent someone back and told well such-in-such yea they going and I could tell you some, you know. I thiikk. -- That's what ~~I think it is that somebody else~~ <sup>excuse me to</sup> I think it is, mostly, that they feel that somebody else can see them as being big.

And McElvane said that other day in church--say he told the girls that somebody in here is taking information <sup>outside</sup> and he just rolled <sup>on the</sup> right on ahead and she came right back and told Mable.

Thats horrible. Boy, I so tired of these Goddammed something (both were talking) It pisses me off. That was not said at all. I mean these people are just sickening. They really are. They are actually sickining. No that wasn't said, in fact we had a beautiful service. Beautiful service... I sure wish I knew who that little lady was. And went back and told Mable... That's totally untrue. I don't like interrupting you because you might loose your thought--that's why I've been quite. So you'll remember the things that were told to you. That's why I haven't ~~sax~~ been saying anything\* That's a little bit too much though.

And they even told what color dress you wore.

Yea and I'm sure that dress caught another sister's eyes.

Did you wear it for two Sundays?

No. I had it on once. I had no choice.. (laughter) Too much... Well, I'm glad the sister liked it.

Did McElvane have on a white shirt with something pulled over it?

White shirt?

With long pullover on top of some pants?

K-1-D-9-P

pg 17

I don't know. I really don't know. Even get down to clothes?

Yea.

They haven't said nothing about...

Then what would you do wear the same dress Sunday and say well I am glad some sister liked this dress--~~amxkxkx~~

And they can wear it--if they're the same size, you know. They can wear it too. Have they said anything about CJ--I know you had mentioned his name before.

Oh yes CJ is going with some woman out on Broadway.

Out on Broadway?

Yea it's somebody that he goes with that she's a member that she doesn't go to church anymore. But CJ's going out with her--he tells stuff too.

Do you know CJ?

Uh uh (no)

This being something that Fannie Mobley's telling you.

Uh huh. Who is CJ?

He's one of the associate ministers who talks like the rest of us-- gets up and talks.

When did he come here?

I don't know when he came--I don't know exactly.

Well anyway they told Fannie that he had come down here and then he went up and got all his clothes, and that he is seeing this woman-- cause she says she's always there on Broadway and this woman together.

Oh my god... Downtown Broadway?

Yea. LA.

What do you mean by Broadway? <sup>YOU MEAN</sup> Downtown Broadway?

~~It's-a-one-way-street-or-something-like-that~~ Broadway street or something like that. He's with this woman. She used to be to church, but she's not anymore.

No name there either?

No.

R-1. D. 9. Q

pg 18

...Just a lady... And Willie Parker ain't going no where. They said that Willie Parker ain't going no where.

Isn't that something?

Well I don't know if Willée is going or not--of course it's up to each individual whether they want to go or not. It's up to them.

And they said that he wanted them all out of here by September so that he could tear this place up.

Oh my goodness.

Because Jim said he is going to tear this place down. And the District Attorney said well you know God is the God of everybody and everything, you know. That God don't come by and take a few enemies out and kill his friends. He said I'm sure that all the people that he have is his enemies right with him.

Well, that's too much. That is a little bit too much.

Well... When you plan on going; you know that you can go at any time. Now, Carrie, we ain't until you get no plane.

Huh?

Well the last time I talked to you, you were going to <sup>go ahead and</sup> wait until you get you a helicopter or plane?

Oh yes--I ain't going to wait agound...

laughter...

I want it with lights all over the bottom when I land... I hope Vee will be standing out so I can run over her... (laughter)

I get so mad with her (still Carrie)

You know, I'm ~~xxxx~~ really hart about Clara and Rosie.

Rosie wrote to you didn't she?

I really am.

I'm really hurt over Clara. Now Vee you don't know how it really upset me. It really upset me.

I know you worked with her a lot here.

I took off. I lost \$200/week to be with her. I washed, I bring her food, I did everything. I am really hurt, because when Clara left, she said I'm not going to do like the rest of them, I'm going to write to you. I went and bought her a dress for \$60. in the winter

...all of them Carrie. All of them.

R-1-D-9-A

pg 19

...If I could just get a letter. and she said Carrie I've been so busy, I am doing all right and sign her name, I would appreciate that.

Yea...

If you can, try and make it Sunday if you're not working and see the film.

Where at the church?

I can't come to church, you know why?

I know why.

Because if I come down there, somebody's going to come back and tell them. No, I wouldn't do that. Because then we wouldn't get no information.

Let's see, can you come maybe Thursday or Friday or Saturday and I could show it to you.

This Thursday? At your home?

Yea.

Because I wouldn't go to the church because that would mess up everything.

Yea.

I can come there to your house.

OK we'll try to have it for you then. You will just have to see them.

When next Thursday? Tomorrow is Thursday.

Maybe Friday. Would Friday be OK?

This Friday?

Yea.

OK

about 5:30

And listen I don't want old Mammie to see me

That's just what I was going to get ready to tell you--come in the back door. I was getting ready to say the same thing.

I don't want Willie Parker to see me because he goes and tells stuff.

R-1-D-9-A

pg 20

How do you get in there? .

Well maybe we'll have to come to your place then.

Yea because they go back and tell it.

OK

~~XX#~~

You know what, we could go down to the church--just me you and McElvane.

Allright.

OK

Friday at 5:30, We'll see you then.

OK

Carrie, don't come on Western part, come to the back part.

OK

I'll call you first.


OK

Notes remembered from meeting with Charles Garry June 17, 1978

Jean had asked him the day before who he would or could predict might be charged criminally in the future so that we might plan in advance and send them out - and he had said he could not predict that and would not give a definite answer. This time I asked him if someone were indicted along the way, assuming we didn't know ahead of time who it was and had not send them overseas, would they be able to get out of the country - he gave a guarded answer, something like people do travel dont they - as if to say, legally and ethically he could not answer that question but implying that there are ways to get out, when you have a passport. I pointed out that that was not the preferable route, that we would like to know ahead of time. He went through the long explanation of what happens when you are indicted (he's worried about federal indictment) and that you're entitled to bail. Well that's not the point of course, but he would not have it any other way and would not elaborate on whether he had any ideas of who it might be. We both explained over and over how if one of us found ourselves charged and jailed, Jim would have no alternative but to do what we know he would do, and Garry doesn't buy that. Instead he said he would have to talk to him direct when that time came if it did, and "instruct" him. He doesn't have any idea of the real situation as far as that area is concerned.

He likes the idea of suing Olga but does not want Christinas children to be involved because they would have to disclose too much of their personal background and history once the suit began since she would have the right to cross-complain. Also, if they sued for stolen items, she could ~~always~~ allege she had not been compensated for all the past years of work she had put in and justify the ~~stolen~~ theft that way. He prefers for one individual to sue her.

He wants us to invite the Black Caucus - because he doesn't think any other Congressional circle would give us a fair shake or listen to us; because it would make a good impression on the black community; and because it would make a good p.r. impression in the media, our opening ourselves up at a time when all the accusations claim we close ourselves off. Sometime back when we were hassling the Leo thing, he said he didn't want this thing to end up with him having to go ~~to~~ before the US Congress because some hostile Congressman turned off by our unavailability had filed a resolution against us, and he didn't want to have to end up going to the United Nations because of some



Jean Brown & Dan Sheehan - - phone conversation 9/7/78

R-1-D 11

JB: Let me tell you what's developed - - we have a situation where we've been working with two guys - Don Fried, and also Mark Lane have been helping us. We have a guy who's been working closely with Stoen for quite a while - an investigator by trade. He was kind of jilted by Stoen, floating around free, better, etc. He talked to these other gentlemen, and was interested in providing information, of which he has a great deal. A lot of things very damaging to Stoen. What it points to is intelligence background, and least this is what we were led to believe, and there's enough credibility to his story to lead Pat and Charles and others that there's truth to it. None of us here has talked to him, but it was the opinions of those who did, and also of our attorney, that it was best, since the subject had already been broached (by the way, it was all documented,) to have him proceed and go over there. He was just sent over there, We pushed to get him over while Charles was there, he was retained by Charles. He's ready to talk. That's the way it appears. Now the other side is he's a very hateful person. He hates this side as much as he hates the other side. When we met him at the airport, we made some very warm comments, and he said "well, you know, if that person was back in the United States right now, I'd arrest him." And he made an overt act, refused to shake one of our black members' hand. We realize we're dealing with a person with no moral character, we realize he's a snake, but we're walking into this situation where he made this comment just before he left. We're pretty deep into it. The question is if you've had any experience with this kind of thing, or if you have any . . .

DS: Well, I'm not sure I have everything linked together in my mind - - is he going somewhere to testify? ( no, he's going to Georgetown to meet with Jim.) With the direction to do what? (he's going to inform) about whom? (about his activities over the past several years with Stoen). Ok, now I'm trying to get a little bit clear in my mind here the relationship Stoen has to Jim. (Stoen was the attorney for our church for a long time. Now this guy says he's getting large amounts of money from out of the country, and he has an intelligence background.) And he's the one who's been giving big hassles to Jim. (Yes, he has) And has been giving evidence of some sort to Federal or State authorities? (He has to the extent that there's been a transaction which Stoen was a part of up in Mendocino County - - a property transaction that Stoen was a part of, that the Title company has returned all of the money to the people that made the transfer. In other words, Stoen's Notary has been revoked, and he was involved in a transfer which prompted the Title company to return all of the money to the people.) Ok, I'm trying to get the bottom line on this Stoen. He's the guy who's been saying a lot of derogatory things about the church (Oh, yeah, he filed three law suits against the church, he's the one who's claiming to be the father of Jim's child, and he's our main antagonist. We're finding out that he's receiving money, and has intelligence background with Rotary International - - He provoked an incident in East Germany, and it all ties in.) What can Charles and Jim do with it? Well, it's a turn-around. It can prove a conspiracy. The guy seems to have quite a bit of information. It seems like a good thing to follow, but you're dealing with a snake.) Sure. What is the guy's motivation for going down and giving the information to Jim and Charles? (There's been some discussion with Don Freed and Mark Lane of doing a movie - - he could provide the dramatic contrast in this film.) Hmm. So the bottom line is that Charles and Jim are thinking about doing a movie about the harassment of the church by Stoen. (Yes, and all the different agencies that he's representing, that he's getting funded from. See that would effectively wipe out all the law suits. A lot of things could come from it.) Yes,

A-L-D-11 w

like he's going to be disbarred. And then we get into this situation where it looks like it's going to be fruitfull, and then . . . maybe it's panic, maybe it was ill-advised all along. I don't know.) Well, it looks like at this point you're pretty much in control of any information he gives. I mean any information he gives, Charles can check the documentation on it and check it for credibility, and then Charles and Jim can try to make some very concrete decisions based on it. You may be able to use it in some way to negate the three civil suits that are under way now. It doesn't seem that anything now could go wrong. (That's what we wanted. We're just completely novice in this sort of thing.) The thing for you to do now is try to translate . . . first of all, you'll be getting background information from him of an investigative nature. The key is to get names of people that can verify what he says: third party verification for paper documentation. It's very difficult to get it, not necessarily because he isn't telling you the truth, but maybe because he is in possession of sensitive information that other people won't want to talk about, sworn affidavits or things like that. So the fact that you may not be able to get third party verification or names of people, etc. may not necessarily mean that what he says isn't true. (I guess that that's the bargain we've taken, and we entered into this knowing we were taking a lot of risks.) Yeah, but you have to be very careful, because one of the classic moves is what we call "hanging a leg out". It's having someone come to you like this and give you false information to get you to put all your eggs in one basket, and have you lean on him, and then have him turn on you. So that's why you have to do everything you can to get third party verification or documents, as many as you can get on this thing. It's a classic play. A lot of times they'll assume that you're very unsophisticated politically, and that you won't check it, you'll want to believe it so badly. But there's surely no harm in having him communicate to Charles what information he has. What you should do is try to retain , and I know a few but they're all working for me right now, try to retain a genuinely credible and trustworthy private investigator. Once you get the information from this guy, hire a good private investigator to check out the information he gives you. If you can get a good private investigator you can trust, and have him tell you the situation as he sees it, having checked the story on the information he has, to lead him to believe what the facts are. (I guess the only danger is jumping in and taking the information at face value.) That's right. That's the only danger I can see. The key is, you don't even have to hire him -- you could retain him as a private investigator. You'd have to be careful, because he could go ahead and do something, and try to say he was ordered to do so by Jim and Charles. He could go put a wire tap on somebody he could break into a home, and try to set up your people, and try to charge them with conspiracy. You have to be very careful that you don't ask him to do an awful lot from this point forward. I would be very clear about that. Not do anything but ask him to give the information he has now. I'd be extremely reluctant to retain him as an agent for yourself until you can trust him. We have precedents for this. Remember Harry Orchard. They did that thing in Idaho. They did it against Bill Haywood, where they went and let this guy talk to them a little bit, and talked them into letting him be an agent for them, then he went in and did this stuff and killed the governor of Idaho, saying that they put him up to it. It's classic. (We realize the danger. But then when he gets to the airport and says that belligerent thing, well, second thoughts isn't even the word for it.) Well, yeah, but that cuts two ways. If he was really trying to go in there to cut you up, he wouldn't be stupid enough to say that. What I'd do, is number one, get all the information you can from him. Number two, retain somebody who's a very good, if you can, Triple A investigator, who comes to you from very reliable sources, talk to Charles and ask the guys in the office who they have who's a good solid investigator, that they work with, have him check out the information for you before you take any public



A. I. D. 116

position supporting it. Don't get yourself hanging out predicated on his reliability. Then decide to make judgements based on what you think the facts are. You seem to be in control at this point. A fourth point I was going to make, is don't retain him any longer than you have to, don't give him any powers of agency to do anything for you. It can be very risky, but as long as you make it clear that he's not authorized to act any way as your agent, there's no way I can see you're in jeopardy, as long as you put an independent agent out to check his story. It seems easier for me because I've been at it for so long, it's just that those are obvious things you should think of. Then you've got to slow down, you've got to evaluate your control, then you minimize any risks or vulnerability to Jim. (Well, I think that's it, I don't think there's anything we can do, it's just that he came up with this very belligerent attitude. It may be bluff, you just don't know.) Yeah, well, take your precautions.

J.B.: Well we can't thank you enough. By the way, when are you going to be out here?

DS: Well, right now we've got the motions in front of the judge. He was going to decide . . . he was going to come into town the first of September and rule on them, but he's not, so we're assuming about the middle of September, I'm going back into Washington to meet with three Congressional hearings about stuff that we've dug up about wire tapping and surveillance and all, the Red Squads here. We're thick into it. What you should get, there's an excellent book that has just come out about these kinds of people that we're talking about, it's called "Spooks". It's by a fellow by the name of John Houtin, or something like that. (goes on to describe the book & the sub-culture of finks.) Have the investigator you hire read the book. It'll give him a good background on the type of people you're dealing with.

R-1-D HC

Jean Brown & Mark Lane phone conversation 9/9 /78

Jean explains background on Mazor at the airport.

ML: He's a very bad man. Did he leave? (Yeah, he's on his way. That reaction ~~doesn't~~ doesn't surprise you or anything, huh?) I think it's not as bad as his feeling it and not saying it. Did he go by himself? (Yeah) Well my feeling is that was not a good idea. (They felt that way over seas, too.) I'm sorry it went that way. I spoke to Donald a couple of days ago, and I said that if I was going over seas in a couple of days, it would be a good to accompany him, and to watch him the whole time. What's happening, I don't think it's a good idea for him to go alone. (He'll be met at Georgetown.) Yeah, I know, but I don't think he'll come back, . . . the state he's in now . . . I think what happened at the meeting in San Francisco is extremely important - - we could build on that in terms of making great in-roads. But I don't think this trip at this time by him also is constructive. But there isn't anything we can do about that now. The situation was that there was a lot of information he could give us while he was in the U.S., but why give him the opportunity to . . . (Well, the situation was that Charles was in Guyana, and he felt it was important that he get the chance to have a couple of conversations with him. Felt it was very important that he get on down there and talk while he was there.) Well, ok. That's one relief, certainly. (If you have it in your schedule to go, they would certainly like to have you there.) They would? When were they thinking of? (Whatever is most convenient for your schedule now or later - - I understand you're on your way now to England.) Maybe I could do that on the way back, or . . . have you talked to him about what you want him to talk about? (Yeah, Charles did - - he followed up with a call.) To investigate, you mean? (Well, specifically about Stoen, I think I don't know.) How long will Charles be there? (He'll be there through about the 15th I think.) Does Charles understand that I'm not interested in trying any of these cases, do you think? Charles may be very defensive of his position as counsel. I'm a lawyer. I don't want to become counsel, nobody's talking about that. But Charles has in the last several years lost some very important clients, like Huey Newton and Bill Walton and people like that. I think he may be feeling a little defensive with another lawyer coming in. But I'm not coming in as a lawyer in any way. I think I might come in useful as an investigator working with Donald. But I don't want to take any cases from Charles, he's a very good lawyer, I'm not licensed to practice in California, I'm not going to try any cases. I think he should be reassured about that. (I don't know how to go about doing that. I mean, you're coming in as a friend, we appreciate . . . more than words can say, really, and we want you to know that . . .) Well, thank you. Let me get back to you ~~maybe~~ maybe later in the day. By the way, does it take longer to get there from England or the U.S.? I don't think I can get there while Charles is still there. Would it be helpful, do you think? (Well, under the circumstances, I just can't judge that. I think there is validity to what you said, about Charles feeling threatened, but I also know that Jim and the others are more than appreciative of your help, and I guess we pretty much leave that up to you, Mark. Whatever you feel is appropriate, and what fits your schedule.) Let me see what I can do. I feel there is a lot of work to be done with this guy yet, and if he goes there and creates a scene or something, he's going to come back with some ~~information~~ information and be able to testify that these people had been kidnapped. I mean, he could say anything. Despite that one meeting, he's not an ally, he's an enemy and a thoroughly unscrupulous person. Everything that you all know about him is true, and that person hasn't changed any. But at that

R-1-A-11-d

meeting we have worked out a temporary alliance because we have a common enemy, Stoen. I think it would be better to build on that. What I wanted to do, frankly, before he went over there was meet with him a couple more times and get affidavits from him. I don't want to hear him say in court that that tape is altered and doctored. (That's what we would like to have had.) I think we're going to have to go a little more slowly - - that step was a giant step, and now I think we have to take a few small steps to get ready for the next big one. So we may have a rescue operation to do when he gets back and try to get him back to where we are, or on the other hand he may be impressed by what he sees. (OK, I think that is what Charles is bargaining on.) But he's not a normal person. (We know that. We saw that last night.) Well, just judge everything he's done up till now. He's a con-man with a long record, and if he can get information and sell it to the FBI or CIA or whatever, he'll do it, and he was very excited about the idea of making a film and everything. But if they can make him a better offer, they have more money than we do. He's available. So what we may have to do is up the stakes a little bit for him (Well, maybe you can talk it over a little bit with Don.) Oh I sure will. (Last night was the first contact we've had with him, and boy, . . .) I'm surprised that he did that, because it's almost a little reassuring to me. Because it sounds to me that he's a poor agent or he doesn't know exactly what he's doing. But if he were going down there as an agent with the purpose of coming back and telling lies, that's not the way to start the trip. You could just say, OK, forget it. And there's nothing he can gain by making that statement. It makes him out to be a nut. I mean, when people act contrary to their own personal interest, it makes you wonder about their stability. (Well, that was exactly what they wanted overseas, some affidavits, and for him to be accompanied, those were two absolutes, but they said it just got all jammed up. That was just the way it happened. So maybe with that in mind, you and Don might decide what you feel about it. It would be helpful at this point.

1954-1955

R. C. D. 12

pg 1

Willie Bobo and on the week before last we wrote letters to the district attorney, right?

Yea, we did write the district attorney.

And it was 99¢ to keep vibrations up?  
And uh....who is Earlene Mosley?

Earlene Mosley, She ~~ix~~doesn't come any more. She stopped coming a long long time ago.

Well she is drqwing up with them.

Oh, Earlene Mosley is:..

Yea, and Mary Little...

Mary Little?

Mary Lindle, do you know Mary Linden?

No. Maybe she used to be a member; maybe she stopped coming a long time ago. It doesn't ring a bell right ~~know~~.

Well anyway OK in San Francisco--I'm trying to find my list. Sunday in San Francisco--we had everybody go in the Gold Room...

Uh huh

And they're trying to get them out, and uh, you went--~~you~~ don't ~~hav~~ have good sense--I don't know why I use paper like this...

It'll come to you Carrie

No, I got it all written down, but its got other stuff on here with it and I can't put it together... Where is that list at... I don't know how this got out... Ok what happened--OK Sunday--maybe I can figure I do it. Now Sunday--the weekend everybody was supposed to go to San Francisco?

Uh huh...

What they said they were going to close the church and then they decided not to?

Yea

And why can't I read this---and then they decided not to; they were wondering if they were going to have pot-luck right?

Yea.

A.1.D.12-a

pg 2

And--they would have been...something...anyway Esther Johnson(?) I don't know how she got connected in here--Clara got a letter from Esther Johnson.

Esther Johnson? Who got a letter from her?

just let me...

...now ~~waite a minute~~... Esther Johnson got a letter from Clare. I don't know if she told Mable or not.

Oh I see.

This is what <sup>Fannie</sup> Sandy told me.

Uh huh

And Clara told her to come on over. And said that they were going to close the church up down here pretty soon.

uh--uh uh (as in no)

And Hue is going to come back, and they are showing pictures Sunday down there--at the church Sunday. They showed them last Sunday in San Francisco.

Uh huh

And one of the members took a little green book that they were giving us down there, and gave it to Fannie--A feeling of Freedom--gave the book to Fannie.

GodDammit--I'm trying to find a name...

Don Fields that's in Guyana with his wife and children, his mother goes to the meetings all the time, and she is--she hasn't heard from her son and she something... The district attorney called everyday.

Called who

Called Fannie and Mabel, and they are going to come to that church down there on --who is Maxine Benton?

Maxine Benton? There is a Maxine Thomas, Maxine Johnson... I don't know a Maxine Benton.

What about it? (still Vee)

Who is Mary Ruben? I'm just giving you some names that she's been talking to...

Oh, Mary Ruben? She doesn't attend any more, she stopped coming a long time ago.

Well she's joined up with them--these are names that I'm giving you that has come in with them.

Uh huh

R-1-A-12:6

pg 3

Tim got a lot of stuff; he is in with somebody over in Guyana that's talking to him and giving him stuff. Girl, he knows what they're doing on weeknights over there and everything.

Hum

What's this about the District Attorney, you said they would be coming down what day? Coming to the Temple what day--in LA or in San Francisco?

Down here to San Francisco--I mean LA. They are going to be coming here to this Temple because they said that McElvane wasn't an ordained minister, and they were going to check and said that Jim wasn't no minister either. They called the place you know where if they are a minister they've been ordained--how they check it out? And they said there was no minister and they are going to come in there--in the church--

That'll be Sunday?

I don't know they said--one of these Sundays. And the one in San Francisco too. And they are getting together in San Francisco with the lawyers and everybody--they're going to court, and they spoke to Ted Kennedy.

Ted Kennedy

Yes, am I going too fast?

No

Ted Kennedy

The president's brother?

Yes and she spoke to Rosaland Carter.

Who spoke to her?

Fannie.

Spoke to her, talked to her, wrote to her?

She wrote to her and sent all the literature.

Oh, I see.

And then she kept calling and had her to call her back. She did speak to her on the phone. And she was telling her about when she was in San Francisco just before Jim left here and a whole lot of stuff and then she said that she would talk to the President.

Lets see now, Fannie Mobley talked to Rosaland Carter on the Phone. I see. OK

R-1-D-12 E  
C

pg 4

And she sent the literature--anyway, Tim was going to have this stuff put in the Enquirer, you know that paper don't you?

He was? What do you mean by "he was"?

Well he got so much of this stuff and when he called the Enquirer, they didn't have enough space in there for this stuff, so he has to wait to get his space.

I see. Do you really believe that Fannie called Rosaland Carter?

I believe she called her, but I don't believe she spoke to her.

OK

I believe that she did because she is that kind of person. I don't believe that she spoke to her on the phone because I think that President or Rosaland would bother to talk or get involved. I believe all this stuff that she said; I believe they had read it, but I doubt it very much that she has spoken to her on the phone. I doubt it very much that she spoke to Ted Kennedy. Maybe she spoke to his secretary now--I ~~cannot believe~~ believe that. ~~I believe~~ she spoke to President Carter's secretary, but I doubt it very much that she has spoken to either one of them.

Boy I'll tell you...

...'cause how can she do something that nobody else can do? They are going to get together in San Francisco, and oh--when she was wait a minute-- when she was speaking to President Carter's secretary and she spoke to Ted Kennedy's secretary, and she acted as if she didn't want to talk to her. And so Fannie hung up and Fannie said that her mind told her to call her back and talk to her because she didn't say what she wanted to say. So she called her back and told her that Jim Jones was going to overturn the government you know that he was a crook, and then she wanted to talk. She said Oh is he a cult something like SunMoon?

Who said this?

This secretary, something..... You know SunMoon don't you?

Yea that name's familiar, but who--Fannie is talking to who now?

President Carter's secretary.

Oh., President Carter's secretary.  
Were you able to get her name?

Wait a minute.

OK



R. I. D. 12. d

pg 5

I don't know...Dottie Fields?

Dottie Fields?

No, that isn't it. I'm <sup>going to</sup> ask her again. I'll get it before the day is gone.

OK

I don't know where it is.

Alright.

Anyway she said Oh is he like that cult, like that cult SunMoon? And then she wanted to talk and she said that they went on for a long time. And she said that she would--something listening--she would give it to the President. Alright, if she done give it to the President and spoke to these people why do you keep calling? People are going to think you're nuts. And Kennedy said that they were going to look into it too. And this district attorney--

Did you get his name?

No, there were three of them. They were over in a meeting one time

Did you get any of their names?

No

You see I can't go into this thing and just question them 'cause they're going to something to say <sup>what</sup> are you? an FBI or something?

Yea

So I have to figure my little tricks around and say didn't you say DA and I'll make up a name and then she'll tell me the name. You see, I can't go in like that because she'll start asking me questions.

I see. If you can, try to find out who will be coming to the meeting on Sunday in LA and San Francisco.

But you won't know them she said. They won't know they'll be coming in.

I see. Oh boy, I'm telling you... I was wondering if you find out let us know who is taking information from our meetings on Sundays back to them.

That's why I was giving you these names. I don't know.

Oh one of those names there.

Waite a minute let me call off some names (cont next page)

R. 1. D. 12.e

pg 6.

Mary Ruben,

Mary Ruben--she doesn't attend.

Maxine Benton. Do you know a Maxine Benton?

Maxien Benton. We don't have a Maxine Benton that attends LA. What did she do or say?

No, these are people that she's been talking to.

Oh these are just names that came up.

How about Lucille Taylor?

Lucille Taylor--I don't know her. Maybe a long time ago she used to come.

Know Gladys Akins?

Gladys Akins. Know her she stopped coming a long time ago.

Shirley Baisey?

Shirley Baisey. Shes' over. She's in the FL.

What about Naomi Williams? Her mom? (still Vee)

Well Naomi Williams, who is she?

That's Shirley Baisey's mom.

Well she knows Fannie.

She what?

She talks to Fannie.

See she doesn't attend so...

Lillie Bobo who is that?

Yea she attends.

OK waite a minute here. I'm going to read you some names. I don't know hwho they are -- I/m just giving you some names.

OK

OK they said that Kan Nelson's daughter was going to be going over and speak at this church and at the other one?

Oh boy--probably so...

R-1-D-12 P

pg 7

OK what color dress did you wear last Sunday? Was it a brown and some color dress?

Who?

You

Yea

Did Florida wear something white and something lighter.

No, she didn't wear anything white.

Did she wear <sup>some kind of</sup> white skirt with a waist?

She might have...now, one of them Sundays.

Did you send 20 bottles of baby oil to the PL?

Oh yea--project

Did the drivers that work on the project been something them 2 months?

oh yea. so you see someone is going back and saying things and we're going to just find out who. Who these people are who this person is.

Is Hue coming ~~coming~~ back?

Huh?

Is Hue coming back?

I don't know anything about that.

Have they said anything about--lets see--you know last time you mentioned ~~Emily~~ Emily Blemon. Do you know about her?

Who?

Emily Belmon.

No, I haven't heard any more about her since.

I see.

But I know Mabel talks to Carlene Coleman, but Mabel never tells you what somebody said. And waite a minute now--They had some tapes there on Jim?

Yea

~~They sent some tapes and Sandy wrote down all this stuff that the child wrote down that was on the tapes~~

They sent some tapes and they played the tapes? and Sandy wrote down all this stuff that the child told her that was on the tapes.

R. I. D. 12. g

pg. 8

Hum. I wonder who told her...

I don't know.

Let's see.

And they said that one time they hold people back now from going over.

No

And now there sending more.

No. Not to my knowledge.

Well they had a lot of them in the Gold Room Sunday in San Francisco. She's talking to somebody in San Francisco and LA.

And LA that attends meetings.

See I don't know them Vee -- the only people I ever know there was Clara. You know, so I couldn't tell you...

Yea I see.

And the church was half full last Sunday.  
~~xxxxxxxxxx~~..and it's going to be full this Sunday the girl said.

Oh It will be?

Yea because they said they were going to show those pictures down here.

Of the girl, but she never said who the girl was.

See I took Sandy a pie.

Oh you went and brought her that pie.

Yea. I bought her a pie. I bought her some handkerchiefs with lace on it and when I gave it I kissed her.

Did she start crying?

Huh?

Did she cry?

Oh she told me that I was the best thing that ever happened to her. ...I'll butter her up to get her to talk a little more. and You're sure you don't know an Earlene Mosley?

Yes I know Earlene Mosley. She stopped coming way before Jim Left. She used to be an usher by the way.

R. I. O. I. L. d

pg 9

Marylou? It could be Lou Mary, I don't know...

Mary lou? No

Marylou?

No Like I say, it could have been who used to attend a long time ago.

Well, this guy he's going to come down to the church, and they said that they have enough stuff on Jim to put him under. 'cause somebody was telling them stuff from over in Guyana--they know what they are doing every night over there.

Isn't that something.

You don't know what Sunday that's going to be do you?

I'll find out what Sunday it's going to be.

OK OK what time of day or night just give me a ring.

I'm going to try to find out.

OK

I try my best when I talk to Fannie to keep my cool, you know. Sometime I ask her you know, what do you think will come out of this? What do you think you will get out of it, you know. I say, do you feel better know? You know, since you started up all of this. And I think that sometime she wonders who in the hell... But I try not do to that.

Yea. I see what you're saying. Don't give up cause you don't want to get yourself hurt. Are they still haveing their meetings?

Yea, they had one last week. and they had 3 attorneys in there.

Is that the one on Sunset?

No this one's on...

Jefferson?

No it was on Fairfax.

Fairfax.

And it had 3 lawyers in there; they had 3 district attorneys and they had police officers that arrest people.

Waite a minute, I didn't hear that.  
2 police officers?

R. I. D. R. x

pg 10

Uh huh, that had arrest people from Peoples Temple.

What had arrested people in Peoples Temple. And when was that meeting?

Last week.

And that was on Fairfax.

Uh huh.

Did she tell you anything what was said?

No, but the people said she told me this that they had to take their time because they had to make sure--you know what they were doing--they can't go in and do something on what's said, but there are more people that are talking now, and they had people they were talking to from over in Guyana. They even have Jim's phone number.

They even have who's phone number?

They have the phone number from over in Guyana. And they called Mable and Sandy every day-

That's the District Attorney?

Yea.

This is what Mable, I mean Fannie ix Mosley is telling you.

Yea,  
I can't find this sheet of paper. Anyway, let me see--Kay, I think Kay or something to that effect. Kay Nelson has got a husband who is Eloise--waite a minuee, I'm getting these little peices together now--who is Eloisse Hall?

Eloise Hall? Oh yea, she's in the FL

Well she got a letter--somebody got a letter from her from over there and said that it didn't make any sense at all, and Kay's husband got a letter from her. He said that Kay Nelson said that she had been real sick over there but that Jim was trying to gether back together. She would be coming home soon.

This is from information that someone from over there is telling Tim Stoen here?

Uh huh

I just talked to Kay not too long ago on the radio. She's doing fine.

R. I. D. I. L. J.

Oh and they were telling--she said that the people were standing up at the meeting--telling all the things that Jim had said, you know, that he was going to do . He was going to tear-up Los Angeles/ and ~~xxx~~that people should sell everything they got and move out because he was going to tear it up.

...at the meeting that Tim Stoen had had...

...that went on in the meeting...

Oh, the people that Tim Stoen--the meeting that he had, people were standing up saying saying this.

They were giving testimonies, and they said the reason why the people got out of here was because they were scared of Jim because they really believed that Jim was God. Jim told them that he was going to tear up Los Angeles, and there was an earthquake coming, and if they didn't sell their home and turn over the money, it wouldn't do them any good. And if they asked questions, it will bring sickness to them--that is why they never asked Jim questions.

Man that is, sickning...

Because,.. and then they took the Bible and said that Jim cussed on the Bible and made them just dance on 'em and said to Fuck God. That he was the only God and Savior they ~~never~~ never know, and she said the District Attorney said how come he can't save somebody? What kind man is this that can tear up everything and can't fix anything?

Tbat's what the District Attorney said?

Uh huh. He said how come he can tear up things and he can't fix it. He said why don't he do something for the peoples mind? And one woman said that he was sick (or fake?). said that he never healed anyone, and said that he and Marceline were getting a divorce because he is living with Steve's daughter over there and the little boy ...said John John or whatever hês name and Marie. And they said that little john is calling Jim daddy and Marie mama. There was some man who had a hotel, and his daughter was away somewhere, and this man --kinda old guy-- gave all this stuff to Jim. His daughter came back and took all this stuff back. They said that Jim had killed a lot of people.

When you don't give names, you don't know, right?

...she say its a man or its a woman...

How long ago. I don't know nothing...

This was last week they were telling this to the District attorney. They were there, she said, for two hours. And they were telling all this stuff that Jim had programmed into their minds--had scared them into doing.

R. 1. D. 12. A

pg 12

(still Carrie after long pause)  
And then the District Attorney wanted to know why he heard the rumer where if he was God. If he was God why didn't he saay here 'cause there were so many hungry people in Los Angeles. ...and build this place up... why did he have to go over there?

That's what Fannie Mobley's telling you the District Attorney is telling them?

Uh huh. He wanted to know that.  
And they said that one woman--the woman that, the mother of Don Fields, sand that she was crying--that she had lost so much weight and said that she's afraid she wasn't going to hear from her son. Her son is a Pharmasist. pause...  
And he said he wanted to know why he was just doing that to blacks. and the District Attorney said what he must have wanted to do is to take blacks or whatever and clean-up--you know, and then bring them back after everything is all cleaned up.

Oh goodnes. The District Attorney said that?

Uh huh. And he said that this guy is worse than SunMoon. And he said that SunMoon--~~HE WAS HERE IN LOS ANGELES~~ running out of...he was here in Los Angeles too. He said that SunMoon, he is over in Europe now--that they are trying to get him out of there.

Boy. Is there anyway at all that you can find out who was taking this --what's going on in our services in LA and San FRancisco--to these distractors.

I've been trying to find out. I hear her or him or she said or he said.

Never who...

You don't ... and then sometime you'll come up and I'll say Fannie remember you told me that Gloria said so and so and then she will come up with a name. No, I told you so and so...

I see what you are saying.

She was telling me about the Temple in LA and I said you told me that Jessie said about so and so and she said no, I said Lillie Bobo. That's how I had to trick her with those names.

So you think maybe she's been talking to Lillie Bobo?

Well that's the name that I gavf you. Is Lillie Bobo in the church?

That's the name that she's given you some time or another... I see.

Well this stuff she was telling me, I said Jessie, you know, and she said no, Lillie Bobo.



R. 1. D. 12. 1.

Pg 13

To tell you the truth, you have to watch every single one of th  
them. 'cause, I mean you just don't know at all, and they had  
this book there where Jim was Lenin, you know?

Lenin?

Yea they had this book there and it was telling about herwas in Lenin  
he went down 47 times.

He what?

Jim has been back to this Earth 47 times. That's what they told him  
in these testimonies, and when he came back the time before this time  
he was Lenin. So the District Attorney wanted to know what was he all  
the other times. (laughter)

Oh, Lenin...

He wanted to know who was his name all the other times, and they said  
well do you think he knows his name from the other times? He was wwith  
Russia the time before this time. And he said well oh hell what has  
he been doing all those lifes the 47 times it's such a mess.

Did you get a chance to see this book on Lenin?

No, I didn't. It was there. Sandy said she got it add she's  
going to let me read it.

Book on Lenin. I see.

Bessie had one--that's that Bessie that left.

It's a book that you can buy at any store, then.

It is?

I'm asking.

No, they got it from the convention center--all that stuff that  
they say that Jim had builded--that's why they told him to go and  
see it. O

Oh...

'cause that what he ~~had~~ had built while he was in the other life.  
He was with Russia then. And so the District Attorney said look  
God is with everybody. God isn't just over in Russia. and so she  
said this guy we've got to do something about it because he's programming  
peoples minds. and he said--did say that whatever is in your mind  
~~that you have fear of, it would happen~~  
that you fear--that you have fear of, <sup>he said</sup> it would happen--~~you know about~~  
~~that sickness?~~ <sup>that he was</sup>

R. I. D. 12. M-N

pg 14

Carrie cont:

And he was telling the people, you know, about that sickness? That they must never say I am sick, because they ~~are~~ identify themselves--don't identify, your mind controls your body--he was talking to them saying--the District Attorney said I'm so sorry for those people that was there crying saying you must never think of sickness and don't believe there's no God--around here he said that God is using people, and he said that when you mis-use God's power, God will strip you of it. And he was just really trying to 'cause she likes him really very much.

Who was saying this now?

This District Attorney because he felt so sorry for them.

No name?

uhuh-no

Well have you been able to attend any of their meetings yet?

Well, I was invited, but <sup>uh</sup> I think that when I get in there, I'll blow my top. I don't think that's the plea for me because I was never under Jim's teachings, and for me to go there, what--you know I can't testify. What would I be going for? I have nothing to say.

Maybe, I was thinking that maybe if you were there to hear first hand, you know, might be able to get some names.

I could easily go into one, but I don't know what to say. You know what I mean?

I see what you are saying. I see now.

I mean everybody's <sup>getting up and giving</sup> ~~giving~~ testimonies --and I'll be sitting there looking like a yoyo. I just came in there and joined the church when Jim left.

I see what you're saying.

I have nothing to say. I don't know anything. The only thing I know is what people tell me. I don't know that and I don't believe them.

I see.

I was thinking, how can I get in--Oh, I know how I could get in there I could get in there and say I am concerned because I want to help these people out. That's why I'm here. I don't know anything about it--I just came in and I joined. I could say that. And then get some names.

You could stay close to Fannie. She probably could get you in.

R. I. D. 12-0

pg 15

But you see, I could get in. She's invited me. But you see, I think it would be a hard thing for me to sit through and take.

I see.

'cause you see, some of these people--like Sandy talked to me--she lied lied lied--I don't know what she think I got a bird brain... She'll tell you one thing and then she turn around and then she something--she did something and then she didn't do it.

It would be helpful, if you could. ...get in there.

Out in the kValley there are some people--I don't know their names-- they must be Oxnard?

Oxnard? You must mean Bakersfield.

(first side of tape ended, so there's a pause in conversation)

(Barrie)

Just in case they ~~xxxx~~ needed it for their relatives when they came home.

I see--no names there either?

No. I think it is that name Barbara Bulleck--that used to stay with Barbara Bulleck...

You mean Hill?

Yea. and Barbara Bulleck is going to be there Sunday.

She will be.

Uh huh. Yea, she's going to be there Sunday.

Oh, she will be,

Did they say anything about Bobbie Bullocks or why she hasn't been coming?

No, she comes to get information. And she talkes to another lady, and the lady gives it to Fannie.

I see.

And that building down there is going to be sold. Is that true?

What building?

Where you're in--around the first of the year.

I don't know nothing about that. This building that I'm in is going to be sold around the first of the year?

K. 1. D. 12-R

pg 16

Yea, going to be sold. Mc Elvane said that they were going to sell it but they wasn't in no hurry--maybe by the first of the year.

You're very helpful.

Vee, why do these people go to church? Why do they come there?

Why do they come to service?

Yea.

Why not?

I mean if they are going to come, why can't they keep their mouth shut?

Now you know that. That's been going on ever since time began. The're not going to keep thier mouth closed. I mean they just won't. And too, I think some of them think they would be big in someone's eyes if they came and sent someone back and told well such-in-such yea they going and I could tell you some, you know. I thikk. -- That's what ~~I think it is--that somebody else~~ <sup>has a chance to</sup> I think it is, mostly, that they feel that somebody else can see them as being big.

And McElvane said thax other day in church--say he told the girls that somebody in here is taking information <sup>outside</sup> and he just rolled on the right on ahead and she came right back and told Mable.

Thats horrible. Boy, I so tired of these Goddammed something (both were talking) It pisses me off. That was not said at all. I mean these people are just sickening. They really are. They are actually sickining. No that wasn't said, in fact we had a beautiful service. Beautiful service... I sure wish I knew who that little lady was. And went back and told Eable... That's totally untrue. I don't like interrupting you because you might loose your thought--that's why I've been quite. So you'll remember the things that were told to you. That's why I haven't say been saying anything. That's a little bit too much though.

And they even told what color dress you wore.

Yea and I'm sure that dress caught another sister's eyes.

Did you wear it for two Sundays?

No. I had it on once. I had no choice.. (laughter) Too much... Well, I'm glad the sister liked it.

Did McElvane have on a white shirt with something pulled over it?

White shirt?

With long pullover on top of some pants?

R. I. D. 12. Q

pg 17

I don't know. I really don't know. Even get down to clothes?

Yea.

They haven't said nothing about...

Then what would you do wear the same dress Sunday and say well I am glad some sister liked this dress--~~and xxxxxx~~

And they can wear it--if they're the same size, you know. They can wear it too. Have they said anything about CJ--I know you had mentioned his name before.

Oh yes CJ is going with some woman out on Broadway.

Out on Broadway?

Yea it's somebody that he goes with that she's a member that she doesn't go to church anymore. But CJ's going out with her--he tells stuff too.

Do you know CJ?

Uh uh (no)

This being something that Fannie Mobley's telling you.

Uh huh. Who is CJ?

He's one of the associate ministers who talks like the rest of us-- gets up and talks.

When did he come here?

I don't know when he came--I don't know exactly.

Well anyway they told Fannie that he had come down here and then he went up and got all his clothes, and that he is seeing this woman-- cause she says she's always there on Broadway and this woman together.

Oh my god... Downtown Broadway?

Yea. LA.

What do you mean by Broadway? <sup>You MEAN</sup> Downtown Broadway?

~~It's-a-one-way-street-or-something-like-that~~ Broadway street or something like that. He's with this woman. She used to be to church, but she's not anymore.

No name there either?

R-1-D 12-R

pg 18

...Just a lady... And Willie Parker ain't going no where. They said that Willie Parker ain't going no where.

Isn't that something?

Well I don't know if Willée is going or not--of course it's up to each individual whether they want to go or not. It's up to them.

And they said that he wanted them all out of here by September so that he could tear this place up.

Oh my goodness.

Because Jim said he is going to tear this place down. And the District Attorney said well you know God is the God of everybody and everything, you know. That God don't come by and take a few enemies out and kill his friends. He said I'm sure that all the people that he had is his enemies right with him.

Well, that's too much. That is a little bit too much.

Well... When you plan on going; you know that you can go an any time. Now, Carrie, we ain't until you get no plane.

Huh?

Well the last time I talked to you, you were going to ~~waite until~~ go ahead and you get you a heliöcopter or plane?

Oh yes--I ain't going to waite around...

laughter...

I want it with lights all over the bottom when I land... I hope Vee will be standing out so I can run over her... (laughter)

I get so mad with her (still Carrie)  
You know, I'm ~~xxxx~~ really hart about Clara and Rosie.

Rosie wrote to you didn't she?

I really am.

I'm really hurt over Clara. Now Vee you don't know how it really upset me. It really upset me.

I know you worked with her a lot here.

I took off. I lost \$200/week to be with her. I washed, I bring her food, I did everything. I am really hurt, because when Clara left, she said I'm not going to do like the rest of them, I'm going to write to you. I went and bought her a dress for \$60.  
in the winter

...all of them Carrie. All of them.

R-1-D-12-8

pg 19

...If i could just get a letter. and she said Carrie I've been so busy, I am doing all right and sign her name, I would appreciate that.

Yea...

If you can, try and make it Sunday if you're not working and see the film.

Where at the church?

I can't come to church, you know why?

I know why.

Because if i come down there, somebody's going to come back and tell them. No, I wouldn't do that. Because then we wouldn't get no information.

Let's see, can you come maybe Thursday or Friday or Saturday and I could show it to you.

This Thursday? At your home?

Yea.

Because I wouldn't go to the church because that would mess up everything.

Yea.

I can come there to your house.

OK we'll try to have it for you then. You will just have to see them.

When next Thursday? Tomorrow is Thursday.

Maybe Friday. Would Friday be OK?

This Friday?

Yea.

OK

about 5:30

And listen I don't want old Mammie to see me

That's just what I was going to get ready to tell you--come in the back door. I was getting ready to say the same thing.

I don't want Willie Parker to see me because he goes and tells stuff.

A-1-A-12-K

pg 20

How do you get in there?

Well maybe we'll have to come to your place then.

Yea because they go back and tell it.

OK

~~XXH~~

You know what, we could go down to the church--just me you and McElvane.

Allright.

OK

Friday at 5:30, We'll see you then.

OK

Carrie, don't come on Western part, come to the back part.

OK

I'll call you first.

OK



Mazor

- Keep for Conspiracy File R-1-D-17

Mazor  
Richard and Tim and I

I have not ~~xxx~~ seen a person much colder than him, he tried to appear friendly and kept saying he was neutral, but any time he got any particular subject of information he had about the temple, his obvious hate and emotion came out. The one thing our plead of ignorance made him some real dummies and there I think he was over confident in a sense, but by no means was or I or Richard or really Tim the type of people to deal with him. (obviously you know this, unfortunately we had no other choice) he is cunning and ~~sxxx~~ sneaky and a prick. Everything he would tell us the first time around he would play a little game and then later he would after a couple or two questions he would tell us. I'm not sure what this was all about but it was weird. There were CID people there, this is what Tim said. I know one person was there, watching, I don't know who but he was so nervous looking and after he was there a few minutes, Mazor turned his chair facing the bar so his cock eye could look at him. =

-- We started out taking about his flight and general bull, I said so the reason you came down was to discuss a movie, he said no not exactly he was here partly on request of Jim Jones via Garry and also some business at this time he didn't say for who. He said when you people left you left a lot of things hanging, and I've come down to clear some things up. He said I've come neutral with an open mind, I've come to see the other side you would have to get the questions cleared up. One thing though you might mention if you want people to think good of you you shouldn't lie. We asked about what, he said that Jean Brown had lied to him and told him not to bring his camera it would be taken, he said ~~xxxx~~ the reason no one saw him when he came in is because he went right in and had a little talk with the head of immigration and he told him it was a lie. I said I know Jean wouldn't lie and we knew of person who had their film taken away, so she thought probably to advise you. He well it is a lie, we insisted that it wasn't. (Tim said this was wrong to tell him we knew of somebody so sorry, I'm sure but I really hope not I didn't blow it too many more times) He said this wasn't the only thing she lied about, that she said you better not tell him he was an investigator and he said you can't lie about that I just told him and he didn't care who I was. I said I had heard of some people getting a hard time when they come in and they only give you a one day visa and then you have a lot of hassel afterwards to get it extended. He said well I'm staying until the 16th and they didn't care about. We asked if he saw us at all and he said no, and he sent someone out looking for us from the immigration office. (I think this is a lie because everyone knows us there and they would have seen us I am sure I think he avoided on purpose also he said that Jean never came him the phone number and I don't believe this either.)

You people over react and that is what causes interest, in 1975 when someone out ~~xxxxxxx~~ out of the DA's office simply asked Mike Prokes hey what about Jim Jones and the next day the ~~ki~~ guy is one the carpet and there are 20 letters bombarding about this harrasment. He said over a simple question. I said I don't know of the instance speaking of, but since you haven't talked to Mike P. about what this guy said how would you know it was put as a simple quest. He said well that's why I'm here to get these things all answered there are a lot of things hanging like this.

Another example he said is Vincent Lopez I have to check him out, he is here illegally and I have to check him out for the Ward of Alameda. I don't think Ward really wants him back, but who ever dealt ~~xxxx~~ with this just left it hanging and now it has to be cleared up. I said I don't know this isn't my area, but we wouldn't take a child out with out the guardians permission, he said in this case he said the guardian didn't have the authorization. I said I didn't know about this kind of thing he said well someone knew Jim Jones had to know, I said Jim Jones is my Father in law and he wouldn't have to know. (probably stuck my foot in my mouth again) He said know he wouldn't just an oversight I want to clear up a lot of little things like that you people left hanging. He said if Vincent is fine then I'll tell this to the Ward of ~~Mendocino~~ and thier will be no problem.

-- At this point I don't remember the sequence of things. so I'll just put them down He mentioned he came in and was surprised to find everything closed. He said he had gone to lunch with the American Embassy, I asked who Doug Ellice or Dan Weber He said Mr. Ellice, he said that was reason I didn't hurry to call you he mentioned that he had your telephone # so I thought I would get it from him then he realized he had it at ~~xxxx~~ his office. (so he ate at his house) mentioned there was a pig walking right down the middle of the road. He said what do you think of the new Consulate I said I think he is very nice, I have only met him once or twice but he seemed very nice. He said you want some friendly advice, don't call him by his first name. He likes to be called Mr. Ellice. because your Americans he has to accomodate you, but how fast he accomodates you...and if you do get know him on a more personal basis he likes to be called Douglas, I said you must

know him pretty well, if you know what he likes to be called. He just grinned this sly smile kind of all knowing sort of thing. He said let me tell you he is very knowledgeable with quite a background, I said I'm sure he is he seemed very knowledgeable. He said he is quite reserved ~~it~~ let me tell you he is very reserved.

I don't know how we got on Tim Stoen, something about he had his mind wide open or something he came down here not representing anybody etc. he had no clients, he said he was half ~~of~~ on official business for the Sec. of State that he had the power to grant immunity. (he said this 3 times in the course of the night) to a person down here who was involved in a fraud situation with Tim Stoen. He said you know the State of Calif. is charging Timothy Stoen for Fraud, I said no I didn't that is interesting. He said in 1975 someone turned over a piece of property to the Temple it was illegally forged by Timothy Stoen and the Notary was someone of the Temple. they then gave it to Valley Enterprises, do you know Valley Enterprises I said yes I think I ~~can~~ do.) and then Valley Enterprises sold to some innocent person. He said a misdemeanor starts after \$200 this is in the ~~xxx~~ area of 40,000 He said along with Charles he wants to get this person to come back to the states guaranteed immunity to testify against Tim S. ~~xxxxxxx~~ to put him in the state prison. I'll get him into the state prison, and at least ~~if~~ not that his bar license taken away. This person who signed the notary could have been a zealous secretary who was doing as his boss wished I can understand this so I don't want to prosecute ~~xxx~~ this person, I want to get Stoen. I said I am confused, I thought you were friends with Tim Stoen, he said I have never been friends with Tim S. (quite emphatically) or any ~~of~~ those people all ~~w~~ whered the black hat until I see whats going on down here. I want to see who wheres the white hat. He said I am investigating them as well as I investigated you. He said the End justifies the means, so perhaps what you did ~~int~~ x the past justifies the good end you seemed to have planned, I don't know that is what I want to find out. Perhaps what you were involved up in the states will justify the End down here. We kept saying all your ~~xxxxxxx~~ questions will be answered ~~xxxxxx~~

He another situation what about 2 people of the John Birch Society who was a member for 20 years and then switches over to something totally different a group like yours that profess's some socialism or social behaviour, He said they either got tired or they were being payed. I said I don't know, Richard said eccentric behaviour Mazor said you would gradually go into something like that you would n't switch right over and then switch right back. So they must have been payed. I said you talking about ~~xxxxxx~~ somebody who came to the group? He gave his stupid grin and said I wouldn't tell you. He said something I really can't remember but it caused me to say are one of these people involved in one of our law suits and he said exactly I said ~~xx~~ oh I don't know anything about any of them. He said neither do I in fact I think it is stupid no one gains naything by them. You win it can't be feective because you here in Guyana, they win they can't get ~~xxxx~~ anything into effect because your' here in Guyana it seems like alot of nonsense to me. I said I don't know anything about those things. He said the only one I know about is the paternity suit which I might have to testify in for Grace Stoen, I SAID oh he said yes last year she came to my office and implied somethings. ~~xxxxxxx~~ I told him about Grace locking John up and making love and he was silent for some minute with no response. Then he said I would have to testify on your Dads behalf you know, she plain faatout said he was the Father. I might be the only who ~~xxxxxxx~~ she told that to I might be the only who will be able to testify on your Dads behalf I said she told alot of people, there is the nurse at the Hospital that Jim got introduced to as the Father I don't know about that. He said well I have ti documented. ~~xxxxxx~~ (after I mentioned Jim being my Father inlaw he kept syaing my Dad.) (I probably said too much there to. I am really sorry)

He told us the Housing Authority was under tax audit and that Jim was in question, I said he didnt' work there that long, that was a ~~xxx~~ long time ago. and he said it was a jumbing on the band wagon sort of thing that it was easier to blame under attack and not in the area ~~xxxx~~ than the person presently involved or the one before him. He said Carol Layton worked there and Mike Prokes wife worked there and so the question howmuch of the 500,000 loss is here in Guyana. I said that is ~~xxxxxx~~ really stupid. He said its all a part of jumping on the band wagon kind of thing. and then he and Richard had a little discussion about the psychology of it.

He asked what about the reporter that was here a few mongs ago? ~~xxxx~~ said what about it. He said well I said no because because she didnt' want to. He said then she couldn't get psychology effected by it ~~xxxx~~ J/town I said what are you talking about? He said she came back and she is psychology damaged, I said well she had implanted that we tried to have her fired bobmed out of here. He said 'ell Did you? I said that is a'surd:)made me pissed. I said ve wouldn't even thing of anything like that. ~~Life~~ dropped there and this is about when Tim gote there.

More from Carrie f. 1. 10-14  
Tucker -  
LA.

pg 1

Willie Bobo and on the week before last we wrote letters to the district attorney, right?

Yea, we did write the district attorney.

And it was 99¢ to keep vibrations up?  
And uh....who is Earlene Mosley?

Earlene Mosley, She ~~ix~~doesn't come any more. She stopped coming a long long time ago.

Well she is drawing up with them.

Oh, Earlene Mosley is...

Yea, and Mary Little...

Mary Little?

Mary Lindle, do you know Mary Linden?

No. Maybe she used to be a member; maybe she stopped coming a long time ago. It doesn't ring a bell right now.

Well anyway OK in San Francisco--I'm trying to find my list. Sunday in San Francisco--we had everybody go in the Gold Room...

Uh huh

And they're trying to get them out, and uh, you went--~~you~~ you don't ~~hav~~ have good sense--I don't know why I use paper like this...

It'll come to you Carrie

No, I got it all written down, but its got other stuff on here with it and I can't put it together... Where is that list at... I don't know how this got out... Ok what happened--OK Sunday--maybe I can figure I do it. Now Sunday--the weekend everybody was supposed to go to San Francisco?

Uh huh...

What they said they were going to close the church and then they decided not to?

Yea

And why can't I read this---and then they decided not to; they were wondering if they were going to have pot-luck right?

Yea.

pg 2

And--they would have been...something...anyway Esther Johnson(?) I don't know how she got connected in here--Clara got a letter from Esther Johnson.

Esther Johnson? Who got a letter from her?

just let me...

...now ~~waite a minute~~... Esther Johnson got a letter from Clare. I don't know if she told Mable or not.

Oh I see.

This is what Sandy told me.

Uh huh

And Clara told her to come on over. And said that they were going to close the church up down here pretty soon.

uh--uh uh (as in no)

And Hue is going to come back, and they are showing pictures Sunday down there--at the church Sunday. They showed them last Sunday in San Francisco.

Uh huh

And one of the members took a little green book that they were giving us down there, and gave it to Fannie--A feeling of Freedom--gave the book to Fannie.

GodDammit--I'm trying to find a name...

Don Fields that's in Guyana with his wife and children, his mother goes to the meetings all the time, and she is--she hasn't heard from her son and she something... The district attorney called everyday.

Called who

Called Fannie and Mabel, and they are going to come to that church down there on --who is Maxine Benton?

Maxine Benton? There is a Maxine Thomas, Maxine Johnson... I don't know a Maxine Benton.

What about it? (still Vee)

Who is Mary Ruben? I'm just giving you some names that she's been talking to...

Oh, Mary Ruben? She doesn't attend any more, she stopped coming a long time ago.

Well she's joined up with them--these are names that I'm giving you that has come in with them.

Uh huh

R-1-D 146

pg 3

Tim got a lot of stuff; he is in with somebody over in Guyana that's talking to him and giving him stuff. Girl, he knows what they're doing on weeknights over there and everything.

Hum

What's this about the District Attorney, you said they would be coming down what day? Coming to the Temple what day--in LA or in San Francisco?

Down here to San Francisco--I mean LA. They are going to be coming here to this Temple because they said that McElvane wasn't an ordained minister, and they were going to check and said that Jim wasn't no minister either. They called the place you know where if they are a minister they've been ordained--how they check it out? And they said there was no minister and they are going to come in there--in the church--

That'll be Sunday?

I don't know they said--one of these Sundays. And the one in San Francisco too. And they are getting together in San Francisco with the lawyers and everybody--they're going to court, and they spoke to Ted Kennedy.

Ted Kennedy

Yes, am I going too fast?

No

Ted Kennedy

The president's brother?

Yes and she spoke to Rosaland Carter.

Who spoke to her?

Fannie.

Spoke to her, talked to her, & wrote to her?

She wrote to her and sent all the literature.

Oh, I see.

And then she kept calling and had her to call her back. She did speak to her on the phone. And she was telling her about when she was in San Francisco just before Jim left here and a whole lot of stuff and then she said that she would talk to the President.

Lets see now, Fannie Mobley talked to Rosaland Carter on the Phone. I see. OK

And she sent the literature--anyway, Tim was going to have this stuff put in the Enquirer, you know that paper don't you?

He was? What do you mean by "he was"?

Well he got so much of this stuff and when he called the Enquirer, they didn't have enough space in there for this stuff, so he has to waite to get his space.

I see. Do you really believe that Fannie called Rosaland Carter?

I believe she called her, but I don't believe she spoke to her.

OK

I believe that she did because she is that kind of person. I don't believe that she spoke to her on the phone because I think that President or Rosaland would bother to talk or get involved. I believe all this stuff that she said; I believe they had read it, but I doubt it very much that she has spoken to her on the phone. I doubt it very much that she spoke to Ted Kennedy. Maybe she spoke to his secretary now--I ~~xxxxxxx~~ believe that. ~~I believe~~ she spoke to President Carter's secretary, but I doubt it verymuch that she has spoken to either one of them.

Boy I'll tell you...

...'cause how can she do something that nobody else can do? They are going to get together in San Francisco, and oh--when she was waite a minute-- when she was speaking to President Carter's secretary and she spoke to Ted Kennedy's secretary, and she acted as if she didn't want to talk to her. And so Fannie hung up and Fannie said that her mind told her to call her back and talk to her because she didn't say what she wanted to say. So she called her back and told her that Jim Jones was going to overturn the government you know that he was a crook, and then she wanted to talk. She said Oh is he a cult something like SunMoon?

Who said this?

This secretary, something..... You know SunMoon don't you?

Yea that name's familiar, but who--Fannie is talking to who now?

President Carter's secretary.

Oh., President Carter's secretary. Were you able to get her name?

Waite a minute.

OK

R-1-11.14 d'

pg 5

I don't know...Dobbie Fields?

Dootie Fields?

No, that isn't it. I'm <sup>going to</sup> ask her again. I'll get it before the day is gone.

OK

I don't know where it is.

Alright.

Anyway she said Oh is he like that cult, like that cult SunMoon? And then she wanted to talk and she said that they went on for a long time. And she said that she would--something listening--she would give it to the President. Alright, if she done give it to the President and spoke to these people why do you keep calling? People are going to think you're nuts.

And Kennedy said that they were going to look into it too. And this district attorney--

Did you get his name?

No, there were three of them. They were over in a meeting one time

Did you get any of their names?

No

You see I can't go into this thing and just question them 'cause they're going to something to say <sup>what</sup> are you? an FBI or something?

Yea

So I have to figure my little tricks around and say didn't you say DA and I'll make up a name and then she'll tell me the name. You see, I can't go in like that because she'll start asking me questions.

I see. If you can, try to find out who will be coming to the meeting on Sunday in LA and San Francisco.

But you won't know them she said. They won't know they'll be coming in.

I see. Oh boy, I'mmm telling you... I was wondering if you find out let us know who is taking information from our meetings on Sundays back to them.

Than's why I was giving you these names. I don't know.

Oh one of those names there.

Waite a minute let me call off some names (cont next page)

pg 6.

Mary Ruben,

Mary Ruben--she doesn't attend.

Maxine Benton. Do you know a Maxine Benton?

Maxien Benton. We don't have a Maxine Benton that attends LA. What did she do or say?

No, these are people that she's been talking to.

Oh these are just names that came up.

How about Lucille Taylor?

Lucille Taylor--I don't know her. Maybe a long time ago she used to come.

Know Gladys Akins?

Gladys Akins. Know her she stopped coming a long time ago.

Shirley Baisey?

Shirley Baisey. Shes' over. She's in the FL.

What about Naomi Williams? Her mom? (still Vee)

Well Naomi Williams, who is she?

That's Shirley Baisey's mom.

Well she knows Fannie.

She what?

She talks to Fannie.

See she doesn't attend so...

Lillie Bobo who is that?

Yea she attends.

OK waite a minute here. I'm going to read you some names. I don't know hwho they are -- I/m just giving you some names.

OK

OK they said that Kan Nelson's daughter was going to be going over and speak at this church and at the other one?

Oh boy--probably so...



R-1-D-141

pg 7

OK what color dress did you wear last Sunday? Was it a brown and some color dress?

Who?

You

Yea

Did Florida wear something white and something lighter.

No, she didn't wear anything white.

Did she wear <sup>some kind of</sup> white skirt with a waist?

She might have...now, one of them Sundays.

Did you send 20 bottles of baby oil to the PL?

Oh yea--project

Did the drivers that work on the project been something them 2 months?

oh yea. so you see someone is going back and saying things and we're going to just find out who. Who these people are who this person is.

Is Hue coming ~~coming~~ back?

Huh?

Is Hue coming back?

I don't know anything about that.

Have they said anything about--lets see--you know last time you mentioned ~~Emily~~ Emily Blemon. Do you know about her?

Who?

Emily Belmon.

No, I haven't heard any more about her since.

I see.

But I know Mabel talks to Carlene Coleman, but Mabel never tells you what somebody said. And waite a minute now--They had some tapes there on Jim?

Yea

~~They sent some tapes and Sandy wrote down all this stuff that the child wrote down that was on the tapes~~

They sent some tapes and they played the tapes? and Sandy wrote down all this stuff that the child told her that was on the tapes.

R-1-D-14ey

pg. 8

Hum. I wonder who told her...

I don't know.

Let's see.

And they said that one time they hold people back now from going over.

No

And now there sending more.

No. Not to my knowledge.

Well they had a lot of them in the Gold Room Sunday in San Francisco. She's talking to somebody in San Francisco and LA.

And LA that attends meetings.

See I don't know them Vee -- the only people I ever know there was Clara. You know, so I couldn't tell you...

Yea I see.

And the church was half full last Sunday.

~~xxxxxxxxxx~~..and it's going to be full this Sunday the girl said.

Oh It will be?

Yea because they said they were going to show those pictures down here.

Of the girl, but she never said who the girl was.

See I took Sandy a pie.

Oh you went and brought her that pie.

Yea. I bought her a pie. I bought her some handkerchiefs with lace on it and when I gave it I kissed her.

Did she start crying?

Huh?

Did she cry?

Oh she told me that I was the best thing that ever happened to her. ...I'll butter her up to get her to talk a little more. and You're sure you don't know an Earlene Mosley?

Yes I know Earlene Mosley. She stopped coming way before Jim Left.

R-1-D-14K

pg 10

Uh huh, that had arrest people from Peoples Temple.

What had arrested people in Peoples Temple. And when was that meeting?

Last week.

And that was on Fairfax.

Uh huh.

Did she tell you anything what was said?

No, but the people said she told me this that they had to take their time because they had to make sure--you know what they were doing--they can't go in and do something on what's said, but there are more people that are talking now, and they had people they were talking to from over in Guyana. They even have Jim's phone number.

They even have who's phone number?

They have the phone number from over in Guyana. And they called Mable and Sandy every day-

That's the District Attorney?

Yea.

This is what Mable, I mean Fannie ix Mosley is telling you.

Yea,  
I can't find this sheet of paper. Anyway, let me see--Kay, I think Kay or something to that effect. Kay Nelson has got a husband who is Eloise--waite a minute, I'm getting these little peices together now--who is Eloise Hall?

Eloise Hall? Oh yea, she's in the FL

Well she got a letter--somebody got a letter from her from over there and said that it didn't make any sense at all, and Kay's husband got a letter from her. He said that Kay Nelson said that she had been real sick over there but that Jim was trying to gether back together. She would be coming home soon.

This is from information that someone from over there is telling Tim Stoen here?

Uh huh

I just talked to Kay not too long ago on the radio. She's doing fine.

R-1-D-142

pg 9

Marylou? It could be Lou Mary, I don't know...

Mary lou? No

Marylou?

No Like I say, it could have been who used to attend a long time ago.

Well, this guy he's going to come down to the church, and they said that they have enough stuff on Jim to put him under. 'cause somebody was telling them stuff from over in Guyana--they know what they are doing every night over there.

Isn't that something.

You don't know what Sunday that's going to be do you?

I'll find out what Sunday it's going to be.

OK OK what time of day or night just give me a ring.

I'm going to try to find out.

OK

I try my best when I talk to Fannie to keep my cool, you know. Sometime I ask her you know, what do you think will come out of this? What do you think you will get out of it, you know. I say, do you feel better, know? You know, since you started up all of this. And I think that sometime she wonders who in the hell... But I try not do to that.

Yea. I see what you're saying. Don't give up cause you don't want to get yourself hurt. Are they still haveing their meetings?

Yea, they had one last week. and they had 3 attorneys in there.

Is that the one on Sunset?

No this one's on...

Jefferson?

No it was on Fairfax.

Fairfax.

And it had 3 lawyers in there; they had 3 district attorneys and they had police officers that arrest people.

Waite a minute, I didn't hear that.  
2 police officers?

A. I. D. #15

He opened by explaining that he was a ham, and had been listening to our traffic for a long time, and was impressed with what we were achieving, but I should understand also that our use of ham radio was indisputably illegal, and we had a free gratis blessing during the time we used it, but now we were being observed and couldn't continue the way we were.

Question: What alternatives are there to ham radio?

Answer: None. The only other way to go would be Common Carrier - - some kind of land line. It would all be very costly.

Q: What would the penalties be if I continue operating?

A: The position of the FCC so far has been that what goes on outside the U.S. is not going to concern them - - what they're after is the station operating within the U.S.. Apparently they have a policy of giving final notice before they took punitive action. Now you've received your notice, and they're ready to take action if you repeat.

Q: What would be gained if I put another licensed operator in my place to handle the same traffic? Could they take action against the church as well as the operator? They named the church in their letter.

A: No, they won't take action against the church. What you run the risk of, though, if you persist to be as open as you have been, is that the FCC might decide the 8R3 station is contributing to a stateside operator's recurring violations of international treaties. On that basis they may change their position and try to take action against Al over there. Your group probably could have continued using ham radio indefinitely, if you had not done it in such an open manner. By open, I mean you did all of your traffic by voice on the most popular frequencies. The 20 meter band is very crowded, and everyone listens to you there. Your 8R3 station operates on much more power than they need to. Where I'm at in Washington, they come booming in way over 9 and knock out every station around them. That attracts attention and will cause other operators to complain to the FCC every time you commit a violation. What you should have done was used the voice frequencies for strictly personal traffic, like phone patches and medical emergencies, and done the rest of the business down on a CW frequency. There are monitors for those frequencies, too, but you wouldn't have drawn anybody's attention, as long as you only used as much power as you needed to be heard. I doubt the FCC would be taking an interest in you now, if some operators hadn't complained about you. Even with all of the mistakes, look how long you got by. This is what I would recommend now, if you intend to continue. I'm not recommending it, I take it back, I'm just saying these are things that could be done. (Here he digressed to tell me he had a personal dislike for some of our abuses of ham radio, some of the intra-organizational stuff, that didn't pertain to medical work. I said that I wouldn't want the air waves abused either, but I felt saving lives was a worthy use of ham radio.) You should do all of your business down on CW, and have 8R3 reduce their power, and try to word it discreetly. The first time I tuned in to your network, I was in awe of your work, then when I heard some of the other kinds of traffic, politics, finances, so-and-so talks too much, etc., all that kind of stuff, I was turned off. That's probably what got you into the situation you're in with the FCC. You have a lot of different people using the radio, which is peculiar in itself. They're obviously not the licensed operators, they obviously have no personal interest in the art of ham radio, and they sound inexperienced. They obviously aren't enjoying

being on the radio. They use international n to pass traffic that turns out not to be too kosher for ham radio. All of this gets attention and makes ill-will for your stations. (I asked about the effect of another operator doing same traffic in S.F.) By putting another operator in your place, you might be able to gain another 6-9 months provided you keep it very low, like the procedures I've described. You are obviously under scrutiny now, so anything you do on your license will get attention, especially since they've given you notice. So getting another operator in the states should be an immediate priority.

Q: Is it possible to protest the FCC action as "selective enforcement"? Obviously they're not enforcing the law on all the other churches that are doing this.

A: Everything the FCC does is selective enforcement - - they're badly understaffed and swamped with complaints. No, they're not deviating from their normal procedure by being selective. But they probably have written complaints.

Q: Any chance of fighting to have the ruling changed?

A: No, because the effects of it would be so disruptive of established procedure that no judge would want to take that responsibility. Anyway, your case would not be a strong one. It would open a pandora's box.

Q: Would it help our case if we got a Senator or Congressman to work on our behalf?

A: I doubt you could get a Senator interested in a case like this. Can you pull 80,000 votes for him next election? And when he hears the FCC position on it, and then your position, he won't want to stake his career on a cause that's going to make him unpopular with every other ham in the country. The one Senator who the FCC is really afraid of is Barry Goldwater. He's not very bright, but he's the only Senator who knows FCC law inside and out. In fact he just hit Ferris (new chairman of FCC) pretty hard, about not taking action about radio frequencies interfering with television frequencies. He has a lot of clout with the FCC and, of course, he's the one who asked for an investigation of your activities. I'm trying to get that letter and the whole file for you. (I described their error with WA6DTJ in the first paragraph of my notice.) Like I said, I'm going to try to get all of that for you.

In closing, he gave me an example of an eye bank net which was using ham radio to do business for an eye-exchange program - - very humanitarian activity. They were alright until they increased their power because they ran into increasing interference from other stations. The FCC came down on them almost immediately, and made them stop. The point was, being a humanitarian venture will not help you with the FCC - - but you can get by with illegal activities on the air as long as you remain low-key.

R-1-D-15(2)

After having reports from a number of people regarding Mark Marcie called up Vincent and asked him off the record for his opinion. He said that Mark Lane is a nice guy but he tends to go off of the deep end and that we shouldn't be putting stock in what he says. Just reporting this so that you have all the facts before we get into the conference.

SCIENTOLOGY REGARDING MARK LANE

Teri 9/23/78

R. I. D-16

I talked to Paul Elkins about things going on in Guyana and mentioned the very successful press conference that Mark had in Georgetown as a feeler to see how he responded. M Paul -- said to please be careful in the accepting of assistance with Mark Lane as we may well discredit ourselves in the progress. He said " I don't mean to be negative but there is talk around here that Mark is the ultimate ~~xxx~~ of the double agent". He went on to explain that he had been carefully watching the Mark Lane television things and also the hearing in DC and all and he said that the problem is that Mark did not check out his information and has done more to discredit the conspiracy than has the government in their attempts to cover it ~~x~~ up. He said that it is one theory around Scientology circles that Mark may be working in the capacity of discrediting the conspiracy by continually overshooting his case and thereby convincing the ~~government~~ people more of the government perspective. Another thing he said that makes him dubious about Mark is that Mark plays up the CIA into such a monster that it virtually immobilizes people in feeling that they can take on the CIA-- for that reason also he feels that Mark may be an agent. On the other hand--- he said if Mark isn't an agent then the government is going to enormous extremes to make Mark look like a ~~xxxx~~ fool and right now in the public eye Mark has made a complete ass out of himself. He said that even if Mark is not an agent-- his actions are helping the government and not the people who he considers to be his friends. We talked about a number of other things so also--- and he told me that he would get in touch with Vaughn Young and get his opinion and that he would also have Jeff Quiros call me who is the guy that I ~~xxxx~~ usually keep in contact with. He talked about Synanon for a second and other things relating to the 11 enditments in his organization. Said he would get back later. Jeff will call later today.

LOSNESS WITH MONEY IN REGARDS TO LONG DISTANCE CALLS

One thing that Marcie pointed out that is very noticable here is that where before we used to do all long distance calls either by FC ~~xxxx~~ or after 111 now they are happening alot-- anytime of day or night--- we are going to try to crack down on that--- but some of the problems consists of people from LA calling whenever they see fit and the like.

NEWSPAPER CLEARACE

Mark looked over the paper briefly on the way to Georgetown but said that he felt that some things in it were libelous---he wanted to check it over more closely later--- so I think that we had better hold on printing it til it gets that final approval.

R-1-A-16 a

SERENA HUNT:

The situation with Serena Hunt is that she was moved out of Post Street into another place ( according to Jean) & where she is being paid for by the state and is getting good care. According to Jean--- Garry said that it should be left alone however he would like to see some of the nurses notes previously so that he can better our case for having seen to responsible care. It will not help us if we in some way took her money in the past by paying for her now and it even looks more irregular because we are assuming this from the state----- No one has checked with that Fresno DA to see if there is any investigation pending about us and if so in what regard. In that today is sunday--- I will call Pat tomorrow and see if she will call Fresno as an investigator and see if she can really determine what ( if anything ) is going on in Fresno.

S.F. told to assign someone to visit her on regular basis

RANCH QUESTION:

Do you really think that it is wise to mortgage the ranch under Garry for some 200,000 or whatever- in view of the fact that we don't know what Garry's state of mind is going to be after this thing with Lane this week. My opinion would be to hold off on the ranch until such time as we know how Garry is going to stand with us because at this point we don't know that he won't drop us with Lane.

NEEDS TO BE DISCUSSED

CAROLYN WASHINGTON:

Phylis Houston reported to me that Caroline Washington drove past the church here about 7:30 am this morning slowly. (Sunday) 9/24/78 She moved across the street - probably not relevant

RADIO

Tom says that he is able to handle all of our traffic by morse code now and I definitely think that this is what we should do. It will take longer and we will have to write things out a head of time however--- I think that it is ~~xxxx~~ the only way to a) save money b) have confidentiality c) save our license before it is too late. I think that Morse code should be demanded for all things except for patches that are for family people and also for all traffic in general. Then if there is some need for verbal contact that that should be done through an outsiders patch.

Done

Also: Bonnie Back is studying for her license.

JOHN FROLICH:

John Talked to Marcie today and she got his on the QT impression of Mark lane and he said " welll intended but he is just a kid" " Doesn't have experience if you know what I mean".



QUESTIONS:

1. Do you think that it is wise to put in the Angela Davis Quote in the paper that was put in there or should we reconsider on that one.

2. Bid whoever put the Jane Fonda quote in the paper realize that the quote that was put in was not anything that she had ever seen or written but rather a letter that we wrote for her that she never signed ( I took it out)

3. On the article about the history of the temple here are my questions:

a) do we really want to include the passage about using the church as a vehicle.

b) as a matter of policy we never mention Indianapolis or Indiana by name yet we do here--- is this a change in policy or has JJ cleared this .

c) do you want to mention Mayor Boswell by Name--- will ~~xxx~~ he back up what we are saying.

d) do you really want to mention the number of people that came from Indiana--- we usually don't go around mentioning numbers--- can you double check on this before we go to press.

e) do we want to be so strong in this article to say " this is not only a regional problem but a national disease" ---do we want to indite the whole US as racist---- if this is cleared fine otherwise we usually talk about the minority of people etc---- please find out before we go to press and make a big mistake.

f) you talk about JJ's heroes--- can you check with him that these really were his heroes--- I noticed you had some yet left out Debs who was a real hero---- can you check with JJ on that because there have been other articles printed that may contradict what you are saying.

4. The article on Jonestown that comes in 4 sections--- I was not overly impressed with the quality of it--- this doesn't look like Dicks writing---- do you really want to mention psychic healing in the middle of an article about a ~~fraxx~~ farming community... the articles appear to be in drastic need of revamping and consolidation. May be others will have a different opinion but I think the whole article needs to be cleaned up and tightened up. Can we do this here or someone there should do it--- but I do think it needs some consideration.

5. The article called Peoples of Jonestown is outstanding in my opinion. If one of your guys could ask JJ if we could seriously consider doing a book on those article that we have and lead off with this article called Peoples of Jonestown---- I think that it would be a very effective introduction for the book. Frankly-- I think that that work is publishable and I think that there may be a way of getting Freed or Lane to pull one of their strings to get a person to sponsor such a publication for free so please finalize what you have-- and ask JJ if we can use that one article as the intro for the book and send me the final copy and I will check into getting it published for free.

enough--- I am sending the paper in xerox form back to you all for a final review--- please get back to me as soon as possible with answers as this issue needs to get on the streets very soon as our press conference is next week.

last question: do you think that we should wait until the press conference

A.I.D.-17a 16

to finailize our lead article for reason that something may be said of a dynamic nature about us at the conference. Or do you think that we should push ahead of that time. The press conference is tenativeliely set to have the 3rd of October. What is your feeling on this.

# Joseph A. Mazor & Associates

Consultants — Investigators — Restorations

14  
Telephone: 415-776-7232  
Telex: MAZOR-S.F.O

A. C. D. 18



File No.: 10404-78

September 18, 1978

Mr. Charles Garry  
Attorney at Law  
Garry, Dreyfus, McTernan,  
Brotsky, Herndon & Pesonen, Inc.  
1256 Market Street  
San Francisco, California

RE: People's Temple

## STATEMENT

Investigation  
Republic of Guyana  
September 8, 1978 thru September 16, 1978  
(Eight (8) days at \$300.00 per day)

Total Due           \$ 2,400.00

R. I. D. 19

1. Chris Miller from Scientology called. Two days before I had talked to Jeff Quiros to tell him in general terms of our coming breakthrough. At that time Jeff told me about the Scientology tax assessment for a million dollars in 1970-72. He didn't go into detail and said he wanted to visit with us soon.

When Chris Miller called, he was extremely interested in details of our breakthrough. I said that we simply didn't know yet, but that it was definitely well orchestrated and high level, but he kept pressing me for details. Said he was interested in the relationship between the attacks on them and the attacks on us, finding out what parallels there are, etc. Asked for any leads we were working on, or what our "operating hypothesis" was. Said he had worked with some of our members (named Terri) during the Kinsolving troubles and that he had recently been exposed as an agent for South Africa. I kept trying to agree with him on all points, but wiggle out of saying anything specific about us. Finally he said that it was important to keep in mind the current position Scientology is in, that any leads at the moment would help, even though non-conclusive. I again said I understood, but could only get back to him in a few weeks.

2. Talked to Herndon on Tuesday. Mac, Leona and I were in to see Nancy about putting over the Cobb matter, and Mr. Herndon was cordial enough to take us in as we were passing him in the hall to discuss the coming hearing re. Vincent Lopez

Mr. Herndon described the hearing for order to show cause in front of Judge Sparrow, Alameda County. The probate commission had issued a citation on Chaikin, and though Herndon had called to explain the situation, the judge refused to drop the citation. So when he went into court, Mr. Herndon said he was there at the request of Eugene Chaikin's former secretary. Said Chaikin was out of the country for some time and unable to be reached. Said that Chaikin had made an unsuccessful attempt to reach the guardian some months back, and notified the guardian of his intent to terminate relationship as the guardian's lawyer.

Herndon asked the judge for a continuance, which was granted to September 20. He said the judge asked him of Chaikin's whereabouts, asked if he weren't in South America with "some group" -- hedging at saying P.T. but never coming out with it. Mr. Herndon said the judge had a clear and obvious bias against P.T. Mr. Herndon said he did not know, he had not ever met Mr. Chaikin.

On September 20, Herndon goes to court with a declaration on file that Chaikin lost contact with the guardian and that the ward never had any assets and that there is nothing to account for. He also filed a motion for Chaikin to be released as attorney of record for the guardian. Said he did not think there was anything to be concerned about, and as far as Vincent's status in Guyana, he felt it was all right. If the court had any redress, it would be against Walter Jones. Chaikin is in the clear, in Mr. Herndon's opinion. Even if the judge should hold him in contempt of court, he can't do anything to Chaikin. But he did say he is sure Chaikin does not want a contempt citation on his record, so he will try to avoid the situation by saying Chaikin has documented his wish to withdraw from the case.

Vincent is 17, will be 18 in February. Will then be in a position to ask for emancipation, and to terminate current guardianship. If the judge should ask Vincent's whereabouts, Mr. Herndon will simply say he does not know. He has never met Chaikin nor Jones (Walter) nor Vincent.

*Vincent is 15 & will be 16 in February*

A. I. O. 20

and will tell the court so if he is asked to produce them. He sees no problem with the traitors being there -- what could anyone press him or the Temple to do?

He did mention the status in Guyana, which he called unstable at best. He feels Burnham's resolution or referendum recently passed is unpopular and that there could be popular unrest in Guyana.. Said he had heard this -- and that Jagan could gain popularity.

3. Nancy called Stoen directly about the Cobb suit, and the fact no answer had been filed. He agreed to five more working days, which means Monday or Tuesday at the absolute latest. She will file some sort of demurr, wait until Charles gets back over the week-end and check it out. She explained he was not really doing us a favor, it was simply practical. We could claim it was an honest mistake etc, and we would have reason.

4. Also, she reported that the change of venue hearing for the Katsaris suit, which was for the 15th of September, will be put over to the 22nd

5. Albert Kahn is getting better, he says. Just had several houseguests from Leningrad. Is about to receive honors in New York at an exhibition of the 50th anniversary of Ulyanov's debut (the Russian ballerina) -- and Simon and Shuster will feature Albert's work on her and photographs.

Also mentioned that Warren Hinckle wants to do a journal comment on Albert -- on his life story. This would probably appear in the Chronicle, as Warren Hinckle journal does from time to time. I did not ask Albert to mention us to Hinckle, I am not sure what anybody there thinks about or knows about him as a journalist. I am sure Albert would give a splendid recommendation for P.T. -- if we should ask him to talk to him about our publicity situation. He recently did an interview with the White Panthers (the old potato commune on Page Street??) and actually sided with their constitutional position. And yesterday (see the Chronicle from 9/11) did an interview with some embittered ex-CIA agents. They ratted on the CIA and said it was common knowledge that Oswald was in the CIA employ.

6. Dr. Goodlett said the Dr. at Mt. Zion will have a report on the slides tomorrow. He did not take them to the hospital until just yesterday. When we called yesterday, thinking Leona might carry the report of the results with her, Dr. Goodlett said he was still waiting on the second set of slides which he thought Don Freed was bringing. This mix-up went back to when we thought Don was bringing the slides, not Goodlett, and when Don arrived without any slides, we thought we had notified Goodlett that he had the only ones. In any case, he had not submitted them to the hospital until just yesterday. The report will be ready tomorrow, he said.

He asked to see the FCC letter we had received, and wants to write a reply. Said the article in the Sun Reporter will not be out this week, but next.

7. Copy of letter we sent to Teegarden, Rubick and Irvin (with enclosures)

8. Copy of letter we sent to Doublas in Canada, as instructed.

South

9. Copy of John Getsewe's letter, leader of African Trade Unions we met at San Francisco meeting; he was very interested in Jonestown. A fine man.

10. Copies of transcripts of conversation between: 1). me and Dan Sheehan and 2). me and Mark Lane. All this concerning Mazor that night after the nasty comments at the airport.

11. Article out of the S.F. Banner re. Mazor and his particular "talents."

September 21, 1978

Talked with Daniel @ Sheehan --- Teri Buford

R-1-A-21 4

-Highlights:

- Said that he would be careful in the retaining of Mark Lane for legal work for the following reasons
- a) he said that Mark has gone too far out on the limb on this JFK thing and has lost his credibility with some. He said that he---Lenny Wienglass and Leonard Boudin all feel that way and he said that Mark's reputation among the left is to go too far.
  - b) he said that taking on the multimillion dollars suit against the Federal Government may well be what is needed however- if Mark is working on the case it could well be looked at as more of a publicity thing rather than the real thing. He feels that if Mark takes on the case that people might not give it proper consideration.
  - c) He praised Charles Garry to the hilt. He said that in anything that was done that Charles should of course be consulted as Charles had good insight into strategy in dealing with the government and is able to keep things in perspective while at the same time having a finesse as to how to deal with the press. He feels that Charles should be consulted on all matters. He said that he did not mean to be bad mouthing Mark but he felt that he should express his viewpoint before anyone took him on and then later had regrets.
  - d) In regards to the testimony of Joseph Mazur-- he said that he doesn't know what to make of it--- he suggested the new book SPOOKS which talks all about people like Mazur and would help to get a perspective as to what Mazur is really up to.
  - e) he said that the conference he is going to this weekend will consist of all major groups in the US and their attorneys and movement attorneys in general that will be having a group analysis of the government harassment and spying problems. He thinks someone should be representative from here so that we can see how others are handling their harassment and to get some new ideas as to approach and to make more contacts in that arena. He said that he will fill me in on what happened when he is out. He will be coming to SF sometime in October to get a deposition and will talk to us then also.

~~XXXXXXXX~~

Analysis

Don't really know what to make of it. He obviously has a set opinion for Charles and also one on Mark. It appears that many view Mark the same way that we are viewed -- that is "paranoid" etc. So it is hard to say as Mark seems to be operating in his circles under the same stigma as we do. I think also that one should remember that Sheehan was the one who did not understand the position on John so I would not take his word as the word of God. I think that his ~~warnings~~ warnings should be looked at and we should probably accept the fact that both the left and the right don't all respect Mark that much. Frankly-- I prefer Mark's approach- but then again I don't know. Will keep running stuff off of Sheehan to get opinions and am going to talk to Dennis Banks this weekend to see what he thinks in that he worked with Mark for a long time. Maybe he will have more insight.

CC: *Gina* - as a sort of "status" report from *Gary* A-I-D. 22  
9/23/78

Terri Buford: predicaments and other messes you will inherit

CHARLES GARRY AND PAT: Relations are at an all-time low. Pat has made it very clear to me twice that if Mark Lane gets involved, she will not have anything to do with our case. Charles threatened last week to throw all our files out of the office after Don Freed called Pat about the non-paying relationship to Mazor. There is no middle ground on this, and it will come to blows again as soon as the subject comes up. We have completely backed off and are waiting for you to carry on in whatever direction you know to do.

Pat is effectively working against us. She is causing, in my opinion, deliberate division. Not only in talking us down all the time, but in tangible ways like lying to Don and me, trying to divide us or him from us. She says Lennie Weinglass, Jane Fonda, all attorneys and people of "principle" on the left will not have anything to do with Mark. I have had a steady relationship with her -- calling every night etc. until this last blow-up last Monday. Marcie talked to Garry and Pat and it settled things for the moment. Pat has not talked with me since.

Issues outstanding with GARRY:

- a. Discuss the promisory note (aks June) which was discussed overseas- to protect the Ranch property.
- b. Settle the relationship with Mazor, whether paying or not-paying. He said he would talk to Mazor about this -- Monday he agreed we should not be unprotected by attorney-client privilege
- c. Mazor gave us some inaccurate information on the Mertle case, said it had been dismissed. Garry asked us to check the recorder, and no dismissal papers were filed. Only a change of attorneys. (They are now handing the case in pro per.) Garry called Mazor and said no dismissal had been filed. Mazor assured Garry he would bring in the papers to prove his information about dismissal was correct. Has not done so yet. There is likely nothing -- we think it meant the attorney was dismissed and Joe was wrong. Garry wants to find out, because this inaccurate report of Mazor's disturbed him greatly.
- d. He was to have Brotsky argue for change of venue Friday in Mendocino County. Bonnie and I did affidavits.
- e. He had already filed a demurr on the Cobb case came over the radio, but what you have to say on the subject may still be worked in some way. *See attached to report folder*
- f. Serena Hunt -- Garry is worried about it but doesn't know what to do. Still waiting for the schedule which the nurses here reportedly kept on the private duty nursing care they gave her in exchange for payment from her estate. I have not been able to find the schedules anywhere in Junes files. Chris Kice visited Serena, should be constantly reminded to continue visiting weekly because she will forget. This mess is a tunnel with no light at the end.
- g. Garry does not know about the boat. Nothing official has been given us as to how to handle announcing it here.
- h. He told me and then Marcie again yesterday not to file anything about the "contract" on M.C. by Rodney -- said she should just call Suzanne and maybe even Mike to get it straightened out.

R-1-A 22a

- i. Re. Mark Rosenbaum, Charles "does not want to be fucked around by any ACLU attorney." He is just a staff attorney there and he said he never told Don and Mark he would take the man with him when he talked to Ramirez. As a matter of fact, you will have to press him to meet with Ramirez at all. He said before he left that he would talk to Ramirez with Rosenbaum; now he seems hostile about it.
- j. Charles wants permission to have you or Marceline discuss matters with him and not have to resort to the radio for decisions. He wants to be able to work with someone who can make decisions with ~~in~~ him here. He said he could not continue with such a mechanical procedure any more. He said that Nancy told him when she talked to Stoen about putting the date for the Cobb respnse back a few days, Stoen said "Whatever Charles says or advises the Temple is known over the air."
- k. The film project -- he doesnt' want to get involved.
- l. Said Mazor's tests showed that both Vincent and Joe Wilson's tests ~~was~~ showed they were telling the truth about Grace.
- m. Fechheimer will be dropped from the Katsaris suit as investigator because he has not produced. Garry is pissed at him.
- n. We never gave the emotional messages because as soon as Marcie was back he acted like nothing had happened -- he just came across with his frustration at our mechanical way of doing things.
- o. He asked that we send Luckhoo a tape recorder, which we are doing, and a copy of his book to Harold Bollers, which went with Duncan's to Georgetown.
- p. Mack took him the original Swinney deed to look at and they took affidavits that Mazor had seen it first hand. Said our ~~lpsition~~ position against Stoen on this matter is weakened by the information Tish gave.
- q. Claire still has not returned the signed affidavits from her mom (Muchnick) and Mrs. Janaro. These are for Charles.
- r. You have to keep on top of the lawsuits, obviously, because he has forgotten some deadlines and not even bothered with others until the last minute. June should make up a schedule so we can remind our illustrious attorney of his work.

MARK AND DON: We have held off asking them to do anything because of the Garry conflict and not knowing which way to ~~xxxx~~ turn. These are some of the outstanding things we should have decisions on:

- a. Don wants to have a town hall meeting, announce major revelations in the King, Kennedy and P.T. conspiracies, bring in the media here in S.F. when Mark returns.
- b. Don sent mailgrams at Mark's request putting N.E. and N.W. on notice. I do not believe he has talked to them further nor about offering to do stories for them, if that is still requested.



## DON AND MARK (CONTINUED)

- c. Don said he is still pushing the movie, said it looks like it is going the documentary direction.
- d. Don suggested we wait until Mark returns to invite Dick G. and Muhammad Ali to Jonestown. Said Mark can ask Dick to invite Ali.
- e. Don is willing to help on Cheryl Baker, already asked Pat to help. I have not asked him to go thru with it because of the Garry rift again. He said re. the finding out of peoples' backgrounds (Don D., David S., Katie B., Mertles, Coleman, ERA, Coral, Cheryl B. and S.A.K.)...he said wait until we get our investigative team together, including Bob Levering, a reporter named Kelch, Mark and himself and maybe a few others, to start to work on that counter-intelligence move.
- f. He is willing to talk on Julia Hare, John Maher, other radio and T.V. shows. Marcie said Lynn Hodges asked to have him on his show.
- g. It was also said on the radio to have him talk to Maria's brother and Kathy Hunter.

JOE MAZOR: He called here yesterday and told Tom about his plans and wish not to be employed by Garry's office. Same as he called to 8R1, I suppose. See attached report from Tom. We are waiting to know how to proceed with the following:

- a. Press conference -- said he talked to KGO yesterday.
- b. Relationship with P.T. and C. G., of course. Get that established.
- c. Issue with the inaccurate information on the dismissal of the ~~Kapax~~ Mertle case -- he is to report to Charles on that.
- d. Tom told him the message about the movie, supporting the idea and saying Mark Lane was supportive of his role in it.
- e. No answers on the notary and property issue concerning Swinneys.
- f. He expects some letters to be brought to him from over seas.
- g. He wants to talk to Hue when he is back in S.F. about security for Marcie and Jim.
- h. No contact with him yet about the tape for Guyana which was requested -- something about extradition of George to Guyana?? That Guyana would like to hear of his plans for ~~George~~ George and the message that Hughes did not like the maneuvers Stoen ran on him in Guyana, does not want to work with him anymore.
- i. Not sure how he plays into the Serena Hunt thing. He had some insight into it, according to Paula.

JIM HERNDON: He has been working on the Vincent Lopez case. Ask June. He went to court for Chaikin on the 20th and said that Chaikin was allowed by the judge to withdraw from the case. Said the judge wanted an address for Walter Jones to citation him (probate clerk was looking for Walter Jones' address) and require Smitty to appear and show an accounting for his conservatee's Vincent's care. Sounds good to me, like we won a round. When Vincent reaches 18, he will be able to declare himself emancipated according to Herndon.

R-1-D-22c

JAMES MACELVANE AND THE PROPERTY: It is NOT moving. I do not know what Mac is doing. We have had calls here from Melvin Dean and Mabel Johnson (who bought Pugh's property) -- both of whom want to pay off what they owe to the Temple. I know there are certain problems in all this, but there have been too many calls too many times here from people looking for Mac to indicate he is not doing all he can. He has not moved any property or seconds in months. I don't know what he does with his time.

I have explained a problem to Marcie which I only became cognizant of about two months ago. That is, that in L.A. they keep all the money from offerings, rents, their communal salaries in a bank account signed on by Mac and Florida. Mac told me, when I did not have enough to pay the fire insurance on all our properties here (\$8,000) that he had enough in L.A. It turns out he had as much as \$15,000 in that account. He paid the insurance bill, which was \$8,000; then I asked him to pay \$2,000 cash to Freed, which he did. Then he gave Hugh Fortson \$3,000 to buy material for the stuffed animal project in Guyana. That totals \$13,000. Marcie said she would talk to him about this -- that from here on out there will be procedures instated to bring the \$ here to S.F. and an accounting made.

Outstanding property transactions to be followed up on are in June's files. She knows them.

Other things he has been assigned to do include: affidavit from Jimmie Nelson (he asked for a phone patch from Kay first); contact with Mrs. Alexander and a letter to D.A. and F.C.C. from her; write up Nell's comments re conversations with National Enquirer; affidavit from Nell re. Enquirer; talk to D'Oliviera in L.A.; keep in touch with Hanoman ( he will be here tomorrow to see the property); keep on touch with Pets Unlimited.

PR DEPARTMENT: Andy and Vera head this, still, and keep up with a wide assortment of community events and street fairs where we can have booths and make money. I think, all-in-all, we attend a lot of events and demonstrations a community organizing meetings, enough so the community still knows we are here. Reports continue to be an impossible area. Andy and Phyllis Houston report regularly on their PR contacts when they attend events and phone calls. Others do not. There is no systematic approach to regular calls still, nor reports. I have mentioned the regular, systematic approach to Vera, and it has been put to her over the radio. I have given up on it, and just accept what reports come in. This area needs lots of organization.

LAURIE EFREIN: She maintains. It is a hardship getting a large number of letters out via the congregation, first because numbers are down and second because if we write every service people get resentful. Laurie has learned to work pretty well with Gya and Leona on letters during service. What we have failed to do to get numbers of letters up is mobilize the tutor method. This needs doing. Tom does Taylor letters, but not in the quantity that should be done.