

PRELUDE TO DIRECT PROOF

YES, LET'S FINALLY CONFIRM THE IMPOSSIBILITY THAT THE "EYEWITNESS IDENTIFICATIONS" WERE CORRECT. WE'VE ALREADY PRESENTED INDIRECT PROOF:

PROOF THAT THE VEHICLES WERE MISIDENTIFIED IS IN "THE VEHICLE USED IN THE ATTACK."
WAS THIS VEHICLE..... THE SAME AS THIS VEHICLE?



HOW MANY WAYS WERE THEY DIFFERENT AND HOW?

PROOF OF A PROFESSIONAL MILITARY "HIT," NOT AD HOC VIGILANTES, IS IN "LOGISTICS."



FRONT GUNMAN

BACK GUNMEN

WHAT WERE THESE MEN DOING EXACTLY?



NOW COMES "DIRECT" PROOF. THE ASSASSINS CAUGHT ON FILM. THEY WERE NOT FROM PEOPLES TEMPLE.

BUT HOW CAN WE BE SURE WITH DEGRADED FILM, FIGURES IN THE DISTANCE, ONLY A FEW SECONDS TO GO ON? WE NEED VISIBLE PROOF SO OBVIOUS THAT IT WILL LEAVE NO DOUBT. AND IT DOESN'T:

WHAT WAS SUPPOSED TO BE A WHITE MAN WAS INSTEAD A BLACK MAN; AND ONE OF THE SHOOTERS WAS "A GIANT."



SEE 9-11 FOR HOW THESE PHOTOS WRECK THE "EYEWITNESS I.D.'S OF GUNMEN."



BLACK MAN WAS NOT A WHITE MAN (last I checked)

HOW TALL WERE "THE GIANT" AND "THE MIDGET"?

NOW WE ARE GOING FOR *THE WHOLE EYEWITNESS LIST*, BASED UPON FALSE PREMISES, THAT THE EYEWITNESSES IDENTIFIED PEOPLE ON SITE, YES -- *JUST NOT THE ASSASSINS!!*

WE KNOW MANY OF THOSE FALSE PREMISES. NOW WE WILL DISCOUNT THE EYEWITNESS IDENTIFICATION LIST *ENTIRETY*, BECAUSE OF WHAT WE DISCOVER "*IN PLAIN SIGHT.*"