-10 - : 1.T

December 9, 1986

Honorable Robert F. Peckham U.S Courthouse 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Judge Peckham,

I'm writing to plea for lienancy in sentencing Larry Layton. feel my guilty verdict was legally correct, but in no way morally just. As a member of the jury panel, it was my job to judge the evidence and not question the law itself. But during the process I was haunted by the larger moral question, was Larry Layton fully responsible for his actions. My fellow jurors convinced me his state of mind, whether he was brainwashed, coerced or pressured in any other way, was not evidence in the case. We did not know the legal definition of brainwashed and therefore had no framework to test the evidence. That left me with no other choice than to convict Larry Layton. But in my mind he is no different than any other victim of Jim Jones. I can not separate him from those who were found dead in the jungle. The vast numbers of those who died are testimony to the extroardinary power of that evil man. Larry Layton is one of his victims. I feel as though I convicted a child, someone who did not have the capacity to question his authority. The ability to reason right from wrong was stripped away long ago. This is not a man who belongs in jail. He is not a threat to anyone and I believe he has already been punished and will forever be haunted by his past, whether he sits in jail or is allowed to heal by becoming a contributing member of society.

Respectfully,

Karen tronenga



2321 EL CAMINO REAL . SAN MATEO, CALIFORNIA 94403 . PHONE (415) 574-0650

January 10, 1987

Dear Sir:

This letter is in regards to the trial and sentencing of Mr. Lawrence John Layton. My name is Johanne Germain, and I am a member of the jury panel that

convicted Mr. Layton of the crimes charged.

I feel that it is my moral responsability and I am personally compelled to write this letter regarding my strong thoughts and feelings about Mr. Laytons sentencing. Althought we the jury did find Mr. Layton guilty of the crimes charged, we did discuss the fact that Mr. Layton did play a very small part in the conespiricy. Many of the jurors, including myself, did discuss the fact that he should be punished. But we did not feel that he should be punished severely.

Although the defense could not plead insanity, we do feel that the circumstances were almost unbearable. I know that I can only speak for myself. But.

hopefully others that felt the same as I will also speak up.

I feel that Mr. Layton has already paid and suffered a great deal, and will continue to pay carrying this tradgic nightmare with him the rest of his life. I certainly do not believe that he is a threat to society. My verdict of guilt only comes with a feeling of justice if the sentence givin is minimal. I hope that you will consider my verdict as a whole including this letter.

This trial has been a great learning experience for me. It was not an easy accomplishment for twelve jurors to come to one verdict. It was a pleasure to serve my civic duty for you, and I sincerely thank you for taking the time to

read this letter.

Sincerely, Phanne Hirmain

January 13, 1987 The Nonable Robert J. Peckham 450 Holder Late avenue San Francisco, Palifornia 94102 Les: It has been a lettle more than a month since we found Trang fator quelty and I have had this time to reflect back over all the ecoure presented during his trial. I realize dainy daton is quilty of taking part in a house crime but at the same time I believe he was as much of a victim as a participant. The law properly applied can be very painful. The thought that Chin Ofines is still destroying lives 8 years after firestown is infuriating to me. In a very start time you must he make a decision concerning staring datus centencing, and I wish

you would give some though !!

to my position of his being victimliged and show leniency in your
judgement.

In closing I wise to express
my gratitude to you for your
help, quidance and understanding
known to us as jurous during the
trial.

Respectfully yours



		<i>.</i> :	/-	11-1987
-	Dear Honor	able Judge Peckham,		JAN 1 2 1937
	U.S. vs. I	ohn R. Littrell, Juror	#	151 on the
	and I be	arry Latonose. Thank you for mercy for Larry	Lai	Your Courtess
	I_reaso	ned with some of my f	e//o	w jurers,
	good fishin	I_believed_taton was	-M	make a
<u></u>	on_his_ac	tions; we had to find h.	m	guilty as
	Charged S	Ince the Defense did no. I leniency because he sp	t p	lead insania
	a closed is	nvironment that revolved	a ro	und Jones
		reles Temple. Laten did w		
		our wisdom, it is at all		
	Please Let	Laton Go Free!	أخنسا	
	Johno	ank You An R. Lettrell 415-278-6677 After	# =	20 pm.

JOHANNE GERMAIN 2283 South El Camino Real San Mateo, California 94403

May 30, 1991

Commissioner
U.S. Parole Commission
1301 Shoreway Road, Fourth Floor
Belmont, California 94002

Re: Laurence ("Larry") Layton Upcoming Parole Hearing

Dear Commissioner:

Please allow me to introduce myself: I am Johanne Germain, and I am one of the twelve jurors in the re-trial of Larry Layton who voted for his conviction. I do not know Mr. Layton beyond what I heard of him, and saw of his actions and reactions in court, during his trial, following which, after considering the very distressing circumstances of this case, we found Mr. Layton guilty as charged. I have just learned that Mr. Layton has applied for parole and that his parole hearing will be held soon. I hope you will consider this letter during your deliberations.

At the time of Mr. Layton's sentencing, I wrote Judge Peckham, the trial judge, to express my concerns about this case. In the event that you do not have that letter before you, I indicated that I had strong thoughts and feelings about Mr. Layton's sentencing and the punishment he would suffer. I continue to hold these strong feelings.

Although we, the jury, did reach a verdict of "guilty" on the charges against Mr. Layton, and did feel that he should be punished, we did not feel that he should be punished severely. The basis for our feeling was that we felt that Mr. Layton had played only a very small part in the conspiracy.

Through the evidence and testimony presented by the prosecution, we also learned of the appalling and almost inconceivable circumstances endured by Mr. Layton and other members of the Peoples Temple in Jonestown, and before. Being involved in a situation like what they were in understandably created a environment where almost anyone, any of us, would have given in. Jonestown was another world, a nightmare. The brainwashing and total subjugation and enslavement of these people and particularly Mr. Layton, to the control and manipulations of the Reverend Jim Jones was obvious.

Although the defense did not plead insanity, we did feel that the circumstances in which Mr. Layton lived and which led up to the offenses he was charged with, were almost unbearable. I, along with several of the other jurors with whom I did discuss this, did not believe that Mr. Layton would have participated, even in a very small way, if he had been in relatively normal circumstances, or not under Rev. Jones's total control.

Along with the other jurors, I did feel that, at the time of the trial in 1986, Mr. Layton had already paid and suffered a great deal. We also felt that he will continue to pay by carrying the nightmare of Jonestown and all that Rev. Jones did to him, for the rest of his life.

I continue to feel what I expressed to Judge Peckham. I feel that the prison time Mr. Layton has served, which is about 7 years altogether now, is more than enough to punish him for his minimal role in what happened in Jonestown and at Port Kaituma. As I mentioned to Judge Peckham, my verdict of guilt only came with a feeling of justice if the sentence given was minimal. I had hoped that the sentence would have been less than what was ordered, and was surprised and disappointed to learn that Judge Peckham was required by law to impose the life terms. I was pleased to learn that by ordering that Mr. Layton would be eligible for parole after he had served five years, Judge Peckham ensured that Mr. Layton could then be released without having to serve more time.

I write to recommend and request that your Commission take that action. I certainly do not believe that Larry Layton is a threat to society. He has more than paid for his crimes through his imprisonment and his terrible experiences under Jim Jones. Mr. Layton, too, lost family and friends at Jonestown, and it is time, I believe, to permit Mr. Layton to be back with his family and to try to re-establish his life in a normal world. He has been in one form of prison or other since he joined Peoples Temple.

Please consider this recommendation for Mr. Layton's release.

Sincerely,

ohanne Germain

Alamall Mernain

Section 4

- 4. Letters from jurors to Chief Judge Peckham requesting leniency in sentencing
 - 4.1. Karen Provenza
 - 4.2. Johanne Germain (1986 & 1991)
 - 4.3. Jai Hubel
 - 4.4. John Littrell

Chief Judge Peckham noted that the letters pleading for leniency from four of the jurors that had just convicted Larry were unprecedented in his experience. He was obviously moved by them.

JOHANNE GERMAIN 2283 South El Camino Real San Mateo, California 94403

May 30, 1991

Commissioner
U.S. Parole Commission
1301 Shoreway Road, Fourth Floor
Belmont, California 94002

Re: Laurence ("Larry") Layton Upcoming Parole Hearing

Dear Commissioner:

Please allow me to introduce myself: I am Johanne Germain, and I am one of the twelve jurors in the re-trial of Larry Layton who voted for his conviction. I do not know Mr. Layton beyond what I heard of him, and saw of his actions and reactions in court, during his trial, following which, after considering the very distressing circumstances of this case, we found Mr. Layton guilty as charged. I have just learned that Mr. Layton has applied for parole and that his parole hearing will be held soon. I hope you will consider this letter during your deliberations.

At the time of Mr. Layton's sentencing, I wrote Judge Peckham, the trial judge, to express my concerns about this case. In the event that you do not have that letter before you, I indicated that I had strong thoughts and feelings about Mr. Layton's sentencing and the punishment he would suffer. I continue to hold these strong feelings.

Although we, the jury, did reach a verdict of "guilty" on the charges against Mr. Layton, and did feel that he should be punished, we <u>did not</u> feel that he should be punished severely. The basis for our feeling was that we felt that Mr. Layton had played only a very small part in the conspiracy.

Through the evidence and testimony presented by the prosecution, we also learned of the appalling and almost inconceivable circumstances endured by Mr. Layton and other members of the Peoples Temple in Jonestown, and before. Being involved in a situation like what they were in understandably created a environment where almost anyone, any of us, would have given in. Jonestown was another world, a nightmare. The brainwashing and total subjugation and enslavement of these people and particularly Mr. Layton, to the control and manipulations of the Reverend Jim Jones was obvious.

Although the defense did not plead insanity, we did feel that the circumstances in which Mr. Layton lived and which led up to the offenses he was charged with, were almost unbearable. I, along with several of the other jurors with whom I did discuss this, did not believe that Mr. Layton would have participated, even in a very small way, if he had been in relatively normal circumstances, or not under Rev. Jones's total control.

Along with the other jurors, I did feel that, at the time of the trial in 1986, Mr. Layton had already paid and suffered a great deal. We also felt that he will continue to pay by carrying the nightmare of Jonestown and all that Rev. Jones did to him, for the rest of his life.

I continue to feel what I expressed to Judge Peckham. I feel that the prison time Mr. Layton has served, which is about 7 years altogether now, is more than enough to punish him for his minimal role in what happened in Jonestown and at Port Kaituma. As I mentioned to Judge Peckham, my verdict of guilt only came with a feeling of justice if the sentence given was minimal. I had hoped that the sentence would have been less than what was ordered, and was surprised and disappointed to learn that Judge Peckham was required by law to impose the life terms. I was pleased to learn that by ordering that Mr. Layton would be eligible for parole after he had served five years, Judge Peckham ensured that Mr. Layton could then be released without having to serve more time.

I write to recommend and request that your Commission take that action. I certainly do not believe that Larry Layton is a threat to society. He has more than paid for his crimes through his imprisonment and his terrible experiences under Jim Jones. Mr. Layton, too, lost family and friends at Jonestown, and it is time, I believe, to permit Mr. Layton to be back with his family and to try to re-establish his life in a normal world. He has been in one form of prison or other since he joined Peoples Temple.

Please consider this recommendation for Mr. Layton's release.

Sincerely,

Íohanne Germain

Alamall Mernanc

- i i i i

December 9. 1986

Honorable Robert F. Peckham U.S Courthouse 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Judge Peckham,

I'm writing to plea for lienancy in sentencing Larry Layton. I feel my guilty verdict was legally correct, but in no way morally just. As a member of the jury panel, it was my job to judge the evidence and not question the law itself. But during the process I was haunted by the larger moral question, was Larry Layton fully responsible for his actions. My fellow jurors convinced me his state of mind, whether he was brainwashed, coerced or pressured in any other way, was not evidence in the case. We did not know the legal definition of brainwashed and therefore had no framework to test the evidence. That left me with no other choice than to convict Larry Layton. But in my mind he is no different than any other victim of Jim Jones. I can not separate him from those who were found dead in the jungle. The vast numbers of these who died are testimony to the extroardinary power of that evil man. Larry Layton is one of his victims. I feel as though I convicted a child, someone who did not have the capacity to question his authority. The ability to reason right from wrong was stripped analy long ago. This is not a man who belongs in Jail. He is not a threat to anyone and I believe he has already been punished and will forever be haunted by his past, whether he sits in Jail or is allowed to heal by becoming a contributing member of society.

Respectfully,

Karen Tronenga



2321 EL CAMINO REAL . SAN MATEO, CALIFORNIA 94403 . PHONE (415) 574-0650

January 10, 1987

Dear Sir:

This letter is in regards to the trial and sentencing of Mr. Lawrence John Layton. My name is Johanne Germain, and I am a member of the jury panel that

convicted Mr. Layton of the crimes charged.

I feel that it is my moral responsability and I am personally compelled to write this letter regarding my strong thoughts and feelings about Mr. Laytons sentencing. Althought we the jury did find Mr. Layton guilty of the crimes charged, we did discuss the fact that Mr. Layton did play a very small part in the conspiricy. Many of the jurors, including myself, did discuss the fact that he should be punished. But we did not feel that he should be punished severely.

Although the defense could not plead insanity, we do feel that the circumstances were almost unbearable. I know that I can only speak for myself. But

hopefully others that felt the same as I will also speak up.

I feel that Mr. Layton has already paid and suffered a great deal, and will continue to pay carrying this tradgic nightmare with him the rest of his life. I certainly do not believe that he is a threat to society. My verdict of guilt only comes with a feeling of justice if the sentence givin is minimal. I hope that you will consider my verdict as a whole including this letter.

This trial has been a great learning experience for me. It was not an easy accomplishment for twelve jurors to come to one verdict. It was a pleasure to serve my civic duty for you, and I sincerely thank you for taking the time to

read this letter.

Sincerely, Phanne Hirmain

REALIOR

January 13, 1987 The Nonorable Robert J. Peckham 450 Golden Late avenue San Francisco, Palifornia 94102 Li: It has been a lettle priore than a month since we found Tany fator quelty and I have had this time to reflect back over all the eccuse presented during his trial. I realize dainy daton is quely of taking part in a lawber crime but at the same time I believe he was as much of a victim as a participant. The law properly applied can be very painful. The thought that Chin Ofines is said destroying lives 8 years after Junestown es infuriating to me. In a very start time you must the make a decision concerning starres datas centencing, and I wish you would give some though

to my position of hea being existingleged and show leniences in your
judgement.

In closing I wise to express
my gratitude to you for your
lesp, quidance and understanding
thown to us as jurous during the
trial.

Respectfully yours



•			11-1987
	Dear Honor	able Judge Peckham,	JAN 1 2 1937
/		ohn R. Littrell, Juror #	151 on the
	U.S. vs. Z.	arry Latonose. Thank you for	Your Courtes;
<u> </u>	and I beg	for mercy for Larry La.	ton.
	Ireaso	ned with some of my fello	w jures,
	that while	I believed Laton would	1 make a
		g buddy, where my life n	
	on his aci	tions; we had to find him	quilty as
	Charged S	nce the Defense did not p	lead insmi
	I_p/eaa	leniency because he spens	tyears in
•		ry: ronment that revolved a ro	
the second secon		les Tomple. Laten did what	
	right in hi		
		our wisdom, it is at all p	
		Laton Go Free!	
		nk You In R. Lettrell 415-278-6677 After H	