SF0452 2281623

00 HQ

16 Aug 79 16 39z

DE SF 001

RECEIVED FEDERAL BUREAU

O 161622Z AUGUST UP THYESTIGATION COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

FM SAN FRANCISÇO (89-250) (P) (SQD 1)

TO DIRECTOR (89-4286) IMMEDIATE

БT

UNCL AS

RYMUR RE BUTEL, AUGUST 15, 1979. 7) . Made Love Colon (NEC) - Office All Live the

Assoc. Dir. Dep. AD Adm. Dep. AD Inv. Asst. Dir.: Adm. Cr Crim, Inv ldant.

ln'e'l. Laboratory

I one or any Plan & Immo. Rec. 45-77.

Tech. Carva

مد ليوعا] Public Affe Off. Lejenger - Alle Director's Sec'v

FOLLOWING INFORMATION BEING FURNISHED TO THE BUREAU FOR RELAY TO DOJ IF DEEMED APPROPRIATE: (W)

LARRY LAYTON ORIGINALLY ARRESTED PORT KAITUMA, GUYANA, TRANSPORTED TO GEORGETOWN, CHARGED AND SUBSEQUENTLY INDICTED AT PRELIMINARY INQUIRY FOR HIS PARTICIPATION IN THE INCIDENTS AT PORT KAITUMA RESULTING IN THE DEATH OF CONGRESSMAN RYAN AND OTHER PERSONS. ON MARCH 7, 1979, GUYANESE JUSTICE RULED THAT PRELIMINARY HEARING RESULTING FROM A CHANGE OF VENUE FROM THE MAGISTRATE OF THE NORTHWESTERN MAGISTRIAL DISTRICT TO THE CHIEF MAGISTRATE OF THE GEORGETOWN MAGISTRIAL DISTICT WAS IMPROPER; THUS, THE PRELIMINARY INQUIRY AND RESULTING

2 AUG 20 1975

84 AUG 27 '79

PAGE TWO SF 89-25 UNCLAS

INDICTMENT WAS IMPROPER AND DISMISSED. SINCE THAT TIME, LAYTON HAS NOT BEEN REINDICTED AND IS CURRENTLY BEING HELD ON PROSECUTOR'S COMPLAINT CHARGING HIM WITH MURDER, ATTEMPTED MURDER, CONSPIRACY TO MURDER AND POSSESSION OF FIREARM. IT IS BELIEVED THAT GOVERMENT OF GUYANA (GOG) CONSIDERED INTRODUCING ALL TESTIMONY FROM FIRST PRELIMINARY INQUIRY AS EVIDENCE IN SECOND PRELIMINARY INQUIRY BUT THAT WAS NOT DONE IN VIEW OF THE FACT THAT ORIGINAL PRELIMINARY INQUIRY CONTAINED NO TESTIMONY TO TIE LAYTON INTO CONSPIRACY TO KILL RYAN. THEREFORE, IT WOULD BE REQUIRED TO HAVE ALL WITNESSES RETURN FOR SECOND PRELIMINARY INQUIRY. GOG DID AT ONE TIME CONTACT NUMEROUS WITNESSES IN THE U.S. AND IT IS BELIEVED THAT ONLY TWO WITNESSES AGREED TO RETURN TO GUYANA AND THEN ONLY UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES THAT ALL EXPENSES AND PROPER SECURITY BE AFFORDED.

IT CAN BE SUPPOSED THAT IF GUYANA DID PURSUE TRIAL WITHOUT INDICTMENT (WHICH IS APPARENTLY ALLOWABLE UNDER GUYANESE LAW), IT WOULD BE DIFFICULT, IF NOT IMPOSSIBLE, TO SHOW SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE OF CONSPIRACY TO KILL RYAN ON THE PART OF LAYTON WITHOUT SUFFICIENT COOPERATION AND TESTIMONY FROM WITNESSES (U.S. CITIZENS) RESIDING IN THE U.S. IF GOG WAS SUCCESSFUL

PAGE THREE SF 89-230 UNCLAS
IN PROSECUTING,

THE MURDER AND CONSPIRACY OF CONGRESSMAN RYAN UNPROSECUTED.

IT COULD BE FURTHER SPECULATED THAT IF THE GOG WAS REQUIRED

TO GO THROUGH A JUDICIAL TRIAL AGAINST LAYTON AND SUBSEQUENTLY

SENTENCED FOR LESSER CRIMES, THE GOG MAY NOT BE AMENABLE

TO COOPERATE WITH OUR GOVERNMENT AT A LATER DATE IN VIEW OF

THAT THEY WERE REQUIRED TO PROSECUTE WHEN THEY DID NOT DESIRE

TO DO SO. (4)

CONCERNING GUYANA'S LACK OF DESIRE TO PROSECUTE LAYTON,

SPECIAL AGENTS OF THE FBI, AFTER PERSONAL CONTACTS WITH NUMEROUS

GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS IN APRIL OF 1979, READILY CONCLUDED IT

WAS APPARENT THAT THE GUYANESE GOVERNMENT WOULD BE MOST SUSCEPTIBLE

TO U.S. GOVERNMENT'S REQUEST FOR THE EXTRADITION OF LARRY LAYTON

AND PROSEUTION IN THE U.S. THIS LACK OF DESIRE BY THE GOG TO PROSECUTE

LAYTON IS BASED ON THE FACT THAT TRIAL WOULD BE COSTLY, TIME CONSUMING,

AND BRING UNDUE ADVERSE PUBLICITY THAT THE GOG DOES NOT DESIRE. IN ADDITION, AMERICAN EMBASSY AT GEORGETOWH HAS POINTED OUT THAT THE GOG WOULD, IN ALL LIKELIHOOD, PREFER TO PASS THE PROSECUTION OF LAYTON OVER TO AMERICAN AUTHORITIES. TRIAL WOULD BE COSTLY

PAGE FOUR SF 89-250 UNCLAS

AND TIME CONSUMING FOR GOG INCLUDING AS IT DOES THE NECESSITY OF BRINGING BACK FROM THE U.S. PEOPLE'S TEMPLE SURVIVORS TO TESTIFY. AMERICAN EMBASSY ADVISES, THEREFORE, IF GOG WERE TO BE PRESENTED WITH POSSIBILITY THAT A U.S. COURT IS PREPARED TO ACCEPT JURISDICTION THERE IS A REAL LIKELIHOOD THAT GUYANESE JUDICIAL SYSTEM WOULD BE TEMPTED TO DROP CHARGES AGAINST LAYTON AND RELEASE HIM. SAN FRANCISCO FBI HAS ALSO RECEIVED REPORT FROM NEWSPAPER PERSONS RECENTLY RETURNED FROM GUYANA THAT GOG LOOKS UPON THE LAYTON CASE AS THE KILLING OF AN AMERICAN BY AN AMERICAN IN VIOLATION OF AMERICAN LAW AND IS THEREFORE AN AMERICAN PROBLEM. $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{U}$

IT IS NOT KNOWN IF THE DOJ IS IN POSSESSION OF COMPLETE INFORMATION CONCERNING THE EVIDENCE AGAINST LAYTON, I.E. GRAND JURY TESTIMONY. FOR INFORMATION, GRAND JURY TESTIMONY IS SUFFICIENTLY MORE DETAILED AND GRAPHIC THAN ORIGINAL FEI INTERVIEWS WHICH ARE UNEERSTANDABLY NOT AS DETAILED DUE TO RELUCTANCE OF SURVIVORS TO TALK TO GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS IMMEDIATELY UPON THEIR RETURN TO THE U.S. AND LACK OF TOTAL UNDERSTANDING OF THE INCIDENT IN GUYANA AT THE TIME THE INTERVIEWS WERE CONDUCTED.

- PAGE FIVE SF 89-2 UNCLAS

BT

DOJ SHOULD BE MADE AWARE THAT ON MARCH 26, 1979,

MR. TONY TAMBURELLO, AMERICAN ATTORNEY RESIDING IN

SAN FRANCISCO REPRESENTING LAYTON, ADDRESSED A LETTER TO

USA, SAN FRANCISCO, IN WHICH HE STATES, "FORMAL DEMAND IS

HEREBY MADE FOR YOUR OFFICE, OR ANY OTHER APPROPRIATE AGENCY

WITHIN THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, TO REQUIRE THAT LARRY

LAYTON BE BROUGHT TO THE UNITED STATES TO STAND TRIAL FOR

ANY CRIMINAL ACTS THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT INTENES TO

PROSECUTE HIM FOR AS A RESULT OF THE INCIDENT OCCURING AT

PORT KAITUMA, GUYANA."

IT IS FELT THAT INVESTIGATION REQUESTED BY LEGAT, BOGOTA, WOULD BE PROPERLY CONDUCTED THROUGH APPROPRIATE CHANNELS AND DIPLOMATIC PROTOCOL AND MAY RESULT IN OBTAINING CONCLUSIVE EVIDENCE OF LAYTON'S GUILT, IF DOJ CONCLUDES THAT SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE DOES NOT NOW EXIST. (U)

ARMED AND DANGEROUS. SUICIDAL TENDENCIES. \mathcal{N}