ORIGINAL

EVELLE J. YOUNGER, Attorney General \cdot 1 of the State of California EDWARD P. O'BRIEN Deputy Attorney General GLORIA F. DeHART 3 Deputy Attorney General 6000 State Bldg. San Francisco, Calif. 94102 5 557-0799 Telephone: 6

Attorneys for Respondents

7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11

9

JOSEPH A. MAZOR,

12 13

14

15

vs.

Petitioner,

No. C-71 849 ACW

THE CALIFORNIA ADULT AUTHORITY, THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, and RAYMOND PROCUNIER and L. J. POPE, in their respective official capacities,

Respondents.

RETURN TO ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT THEREOF

Come now, the California Adult Authority, the California Department of Corrections, Raymond K. Procunier, L. J. Pope, and the People of the State of California and for a return to the order to show cause heretofore issued on May 6, 1971, and returnable on May 10, 1971, state:

That petitioner, Joseph A. Mazor, is properly held in custody pursuant to the judgment and commitment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County entered on June 25, 1965, following his plea of guilty to violation of Penal Code section 476, sentencing him to imprisonment in the state prison for the

16

20

22

21

23

24

25 26

28

27

29

5-1-E-1 (50)

of the Judgment and Commitment is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

•

1 :

That petitioner was paroled on May 22, 1967, with his term set to expire on July 7, 1970; his parole was suspended and he was returned to prison on May 2, 1969, his term reset at maximum; and on June 27, 1969, his parole was revoked.

III

That on November 19, 1969, petitioner's <u>term was</u>

<u>reset at seven years</u>, to expire on July 7, 1972; he was

<u>released on parole on February 15, 1970</u>; that his parole was

<u>suspended on January 8, 1971</u>, on the basis of a parole

violation report <u>charging eleven parole violations</u>; that his

<u>parole was revoked on March 5, 1971</u>, after a parole revocation

hearing at which <u>he was found guilty of charges numbered 5, 6,</u>

<u>7 and 11</u>, charges numbered 3, 8, and 10 were submitted for

further investigation, and charges numbered 1, 2, 4 and 9 were

dismissed.

IV

That petitioner's parole was properly revoked for cause and thus no constitutional issue is raised.

V

That treatment for petitioner's medical problems has been made available both in Department of Corrections facilities and in outside facilities; that no urgent medical treatment is presently required; and that future medical treatment, if required, will be made available as necessary; thus, no federal question is presented.

WHEREFORE, it is respectfully requested that the petition be denied, that the order to show cause be discharged

į	
1	and that the proceedings be dismissed.
2	Dated: May 10, 1971.
3	EVELLE J. YOUNGER, Attorney General of the State of California
4	EDWARD P. O'BRIEN.
5	Deputy Attorney General Morie J. W. Malt
6 7	(Mrs.) GLORIA F. DeHART
	Deputy Attorney General
8	Attorneys for Respondents
9	
10	
11	
12	
.13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	•
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
.27	·
28	· ·
1	

5-1-E-1 (51)

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. Conviction; Parole and Revocation

Nedical Facility at Vacaville pursuant to the Judgment and Commitment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County entered on June 25, 1965, sentencing him to state prison for the term prescribed by law (6 months to 14 years), following conviction on his plea of guilty to violation of Penal Code section 476.

(Eictitious checks) 1/ Exhibit A. Petitioner was parole on May 22, 1967, with his term set to expire on July 7, 1970. This parole was suspended and his term reset at maximum on May 2, 1969 and he was returned to prison where parole was revoked on June 27 1969. See Exhibit C (Summary of Sentence Data - 1965 Conviction)

On November 19, 1969, petitioner's term was rest at seven years, to expire on July 7, 1972, and on February 15, 1970, petitioner was paroled to the Riverside Unit, Los Angeles County See Exhibit C. Petitioner was released to a parole program which included employment as a research law clerk for John C. McCarthy of the law firm of Young, Henrie and McCarthy in Pomona, California. Petitioner's parole release had been advanced from March 10, 1970, to accommodate the needs of this employer. See Exhibit D at 2. At his initial interview with petitioner the parole agent explained to petitioner that he could neither open a checking account nor sign any contracts without permission. Petitioner informed the agent that he intended to divorce his wife and continue his relationship with Madelynn Beth Boyum, also known as Mazor and Williams. Id. at 3. The parole agent's

^{1.} This offense was committed while petitioner was on parole for a 1963 Los Angeles County conviction for violation of Penal Code section 476a (insufficient funds check). The sentence on

continuing summary (2-19-70 to 6-30-70) indicates that petitioner received an interlocutory decree of dissolution April 16, 1970.

The report further notes that petitioner was, at one time, considered near totally blind but had received eye refraction and could read with little or no difficulty.

Petitioner changed his employment to the law firm of Jaffee and Mallory on May 5, 1970, and Mr. Jaffee indicated he would sponsor petitioner in taking the bar exam. Id. at 5. The agent's summary (7-1-70 to 12-14-70) discloses that petitioner was arrested on November 30, 1970, at the request of the parole agent Id. at 6.

On December 16, 1970, a parole violation report was submitted, recommending parole suspension and revocation on the basis of eleven charges as follows:

- 1. Joseph Allen Mazor violated Condition 10 of the Conditions of Parole as evidenced by his obtaining a passport without the knowledge or permission of the Parole Agent.
- 2. Joseph Allen Mazor violated Condition 10 of the Conditions of Parole as evidenced by his making reservations on a United Airlines flight to New York, without the knowledge or permission of the Parole Agent.
- 3. Joseph Allen Mazor violated Condition 10 of the Conditions of Parole when he bought a 1965 Jaguar without the knowledge or permission of the Parole Agent.
- 4. Joseph Allen Mazor violated Condition 12 of the Conditions of Parole as evidenced by his forging the signature of his fiancee to her income tax refund check

··1

ย

It should also be noted that petitioner obtained an

٠,

·13

in the amount of \$693.62.

5. Joseph Allen Mazor violated Condition 12 of the Conditions of Parole by making a fictitious automobile archase draft in the amount of \$450.00.

- 6. Joseph Allen Mazor violated.Condition 12 of the conditions of Parole by writing and depositing a \$300.00 check on a closed account.
- 7. Joseph Allen Mazor violated Condition 12 of the Conditions of Parole by attempting to sell furniture which he had rented from another firm.
- 8. Joseph Allen Mazor violated Condition 12 of the Conditions of Parole by drawing welfare assistance while he was employed.
 - 9. Joseph Allen Mazor violated Condition 12 of the Conditions of Parole by misrepresenting an automobile, and consequently causing his employer a loss of \$1,795.00.
 - 10. Joseph Allen Mazor violated Condition 11 of the Conditions of Parole as evidenced by his being charged by the District Attorney's Office with failure to provide (270 P.C.).
- 11. Joseph Allen Mazor violated Condition 13a of the Conditions of Parole by establishing numerous credit accounts without the knowledge or permission of the Parole Agent. Id. at 9/10.

The report also set forth supporting evidence for each charge, Id. at 10-13, a resume of parole adjustment, Id. at 14, and reasons for the recommendation, Id. at 15.

On the basis of this report, petitioner's parole was suspended and his term reset at maximum on January 8, 1971. See Exhibit E. Petitioner was returned to prison on January 14, 1971, and was received at the California Medical Facility at Vacaville

on January 25, 1971. See Exhibit C. On March 5, 1971, petitioner appeared at a parole revocation hearing. The panel then found him guilty of charges 5, 6, 7, and 11, dismissed charges 1, 2, 4, and 9, and submitted charges 3, 8 and 10 for investigation. See Exhibits F and G. On March 10, 1971, additional information was submitted pursuant to this investigation. See Exhibit H. In addition this report provided supplemental information indicating that petitioner cashed a check indorsed by the named payee and himself, but the named payee returned the check to the firm which cashed it, denying by affidayit, that she had indorsed it; and that petitioner had purchased a typewriter on a 90-day conditional sales contract, had paid no money (one year had elapsed), and was believed to have sold the typewriter. See Exhibit H at 2.

Subsequently, on April 14, 1971, while at the California Institution for Men at Chino, petitioner made an unscheduled appearance before an Adult Authority Panel. As a result, his case was submitted for review on April 20, 1971. No change was made in his status, the Authority resolved the three charges which were submitted, finding him guilty of charge 8, and dismissing charges 3 and 10, and his case was scheduled for consideration again by the entire board on May 17, 1971. Documents relevant to this meeting are, or will be when received, attached as Exhibit J.

B. Medical Condition and Treatment.

છે

As stated above, petitioner was returned to prison on January 14, 1971, and on January 25, 1971, was received at the

^{3.} We have been informed that two Deputy Attorneys General from the Los Angeles Office were observing Adult Authority hearings conducted at Chino for informational purposes. They had no particular interest in nor any connection with petitioner's case. Petitioner was informed that they were visitors and gave his

California Medical Facility at Vacaville. By letter dated
January 26, 1971, Riverside General Hospital forwarded a summary
of petitioner's examination and treatment. The report recommended an investigation by neurology staff and consideration
for angiogram studies. The "final diagnosis" set forth in the
report is "Rule out Leptomenigeal cyst, meningioma, vasculardisorder." See Exhibit I.

8

10

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

Reports dated March 1, 1971, (Dr. Prout) and March 2, 1971 (Dr. Wright, Consulting Neurosurgeon), see Exhibit I, reveal that petitioner was under the care of the medical staff almost immediately upon his arrival at Vacaville. For instance, skul x-rays were taken on January 27, 1971, an EEG was made, an ophthalmolgist was consulted on February 10, 1971, and a neurosurgical consultation took place on March 2, 1971. Dr. Prout's letter notes "Our consulting radiologist, R. F. Chambers, M.D., interprets the recent skull x-rays of January 27, 1971, as "abnormal skull evidence of atrophy involving the right hemisphere with probable vascular malformation. Contrast studies would probably be informative." Subject had contrast studies in Fall, 1970, at UCLA Hospital but refuses to sign a release for these records upon advice of his attorney." Dr. Wright's report also indicates that petitioner refused to make the September studies available to the doctor despite being told no meaningful opinion could be rendered without them. Petitioner also refused to consent to angiography in the institution. Dr. Wright recommended further tests. A report dated March 4, 1971, indicates that Dr. Prout concurred in this recommendation. See Exhibit A.

By letter of March 22, 1971, to the California Supreme Court, Dr. Carter Noland of Riverside General Hospital stated

5-1-E1 (56)

that, "We have since learned that further studies have shown a need for immediate surgery in order not to endanger his life." Petition, Exhibit B. By letter dated April 1, 1971, addressed to the chairman of the Adult Authority, Dr. Prout indicated that neurological studies should be undertaken, that they could be performed within the Department of Corrections, but only with petitioner's consent, which he refused to give, and that petitioner was willing to be hospitalized at Riverside General Hospital. Out of concern for petitioner's health status, the doctor recommended that the Adult Authority review his parole status and reinstate parole to permit petitioner to return to Riverside General Hospital. See Exhibit I; Petition, Exhibit C.

. 2

. 5

No change was made in petitioner's parole status, but after consultation, the Department of Corrections, pursuant to Penal Code section 2690, arranged for his treatment at Riverside General Hospital, and on April 9, 1971, transferred him to the California Institution for Men at Chino, where he was housed in the institution hospital. Petitioner was available for whatever studies or surgery staff at Riverside General Hospital wished to undertake.

The report of the studies conducted at Riverside

General Hospital indicates that petitioner was uncooperative

during the physical examination, and refused to release to the

hospital the angiograms done at UCIA. The report shows that

SMA, CBC, and EKG tests or studies were within normal limits.

Skull films reveal multiple radiolucent defects in the right

cranial vault, and subtle abnormality, but no gross abnormality.

Apparently, further surgery was unnecessary because petitioner

was discharged with the recommendation that skull films be done

in two years. The report is attached, or will be when received,

as Exhibit K.

5-1-5-1

Petitioner was returned to Vacaville on April 27, 1971. He is presently under a "medical hold" which means that he cannot be transferred to an institution without medical clearance. He will be transferred back to Chino when approved by that institution's medical officer as space becomes available.

. 10

ARGUMENT

PETITIONER'S PAROLE WAS PROPERLY REVOKED AND THERE HAS BEEN NO DENIAL OF ADEQUATE MEDICAL TREATMENT; THUS, NO CONSTITUTIONAL OUESTION IS PRESENTED.

Petitioner has filed in this Court a petition for writ of habeas corpus which, although emphasizing his physical condition and apparently objecting to the medical treatment afforded him, seeks only a determination that California procedures for revoking parole are unconstitutional, in that/his parole revocation, he was denied counsel, the right to confrontation, the right to present witnesses. See Petition at 12.

From the facts as stated above, it is obvious that there is no present issue concerning petitioner's treatment. Petitioner does not even suggest what test or procedure is presently necessary and unavailable. There is simply no federal question presented.

Cf., Haggarty v. Wainwright, 427 F.2d 1137 (5th Cir. 1970).

It is also clear from the records submitted herewith that no federal question is presented by Adult Authority action in revoking petitioner's parole. There is no right to counsel, to confrontation of witnesses, or to call witnesses. All that is constitutionally required is cause for the revocation. See Allard v. Nelson, 423 F.2d 1216 (9th Cir. 1970); Mead v. California Adult Authority, 415 F.2d 767 (9th Cir. 1969); Dunn v. California Department of Corrections, 401 F.2d 340 (9th Cir. 1968); Eason v. Dickson, 390 F.2d 585 (9th Cir.), cert. denied, 392 U.S. 914 (1968). Ample cause is shown here.

^{4.} On March 26, 1971, petitioner riled a nearly identical petition in the California Supreme Court. The Court denied the petition on April 22, 1971. The Court had been informed that petitioner had been transferred for treatment pursuant to Penal Code section 2690, and had available the documents submitted herewith as Exhibits A-I.

5-1-E-1 (58)

Petitioner was found guilty of five of the eleven violations charges. The supporting evidence provided for these charges discloses conduct clearly in violation of parole. (Ex.D 10, 13;

2Ì

Petitioner alleges that he was unable to present documentary evidence of his innocence because of his blindness, that the Adult Authority would not consider this evidence and that counsel now have possession of this documentary evidence of his innocence of all charges.

We submit that this record clearly shows that the Adult Authority did consider most carefully the evidence presented to it including petitioner's story and his documents, if any. At the time of the hearing four of the charges were dismissed. Three charges were submitted for further investigation. This conclusion is supported, even by petitioner's allegation that Mr. Valachi stated, "I hate this damned paperwork. We cannot support the charges and we will investigate." See Petition at 5. It is a mere conclusion unsupported by facts that because the panel returned the documents they did not consider them.

Although petitioner claims that he has documentary

ewidence that he is not guilty of any of the charges, he has not

provided this Court with this evidence nor indicated what it is

or to which specific charges it may be relevant. Moreover,

although the Adult Authority will not permit counsel to be present

at a revocation hearing, counsel is free to present written

argument and documentary support to the Adult Authority for their

consideration. Apparently, no effort has been made even to do

this.

Finally, The Adult Authority is routinely provided with a Readmission Summary which includes a medical report. The report in this case, we are informed, included information on both petitioner's blindness and possible brain tumor.

CONCLUSION

It is obvious from this record that the allegation of the imminence of petitioner's death is overstated, as is the allegation of total blindness. His claim of denial of due process in his parole revocation hearing lacks both legal and factual substance. In fact, the record shows that petitioner has had a most thorough consideration and review of both his condition and his status. In the circumstances shown, no federal question is presented. We respectfully request that the petition for writ of habeas corpus be denied, that the order to show cause be discharged, and that the proceedings be dismissed.

Dated: May 10, 1971.

EVELLE J. YOUNGER, Attorney General of the State of California

EDWARD P. O'BRIEN
Deputy Attorney General

(Mrs.) GLORIA F. DeHART

Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Respondents.

Ē

Õ

.11



GOVERNOR'S OFFICE,
SACRAMENTO 85814

FILL ALD REAGAN

March 23, 1971

Re: <u>MAZOR</u>, <u>Joseph A.</u> A-77153-A

Superintendent Laster J. Pope, M.D. California Medical Pacility
Box 2000
Vacaville, California 35688

Doar Superintendent Pope:

Ploase inform your impate, Joseph A. Mazor, A-77153, that his recent reties to the Governor has meen received. Please also inform the subject that the subject matter of his letter is the responsibility of the Department of Corrections and the houlf Authority.

Lum informed in the Adelt Authority that the subject's parole Violation that he are expensive and very criminal in nature. I am him the formula of the Adult Authority that the Medical Director of the Directment of Corrections, John E. Goman, M.D., has recently written to the subject in regard to his physical difficulty and that the medical staff of your institution are fully aware of the subject's medical problem.

To the end that you may follow through appropriately, this subject's letter is called to your personal attention.

Sincerely,

Harbert E. Wllingwood Legal Affairs Secretary

co: T.M.MoDonald, CAPR-CIT

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	J	JDGMENT		
	Department No.	100	-	***************************************
June 25	19 65	Present Hon. DAVI	D W WILLIAMS	Judge .
			• •	
THE PEOPLE OF TH	e state of califo	ORNIA, VS	Sor	
JOSEPH A MAZOR	216-71	304	175	
Deputy District	Attorney James	Johnson and Defa	ndant with cou	ınsel
Deputy Public De Sentenced as inc	efender 📭 Boho	enheit present.	Probation deni	.ed
. Dollagilood ab ala				
	•			
		mlanda		
. Whereas the said defen	dant having	dulypleade ING_FICTITIOUS_CH	ECK_ (Sec 476 P	C). B feld
as charged in t	he information			· <u>* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *</u>
			of the second	
				''
	• • • • • •			
<i></i>				· 😐
	•			. e
	•			·
	Į.			
It is Therefore Ordere	d, Adjudged and Dec	reed that the said defend	lant be punished by	imprison-
ment in the State Pris	son for the term press	cribed by law.		
				*
			•	•
,	•	•		
			•	
It is further Ordered t	hat the defendant be a	remanded into the custod	y of the Sheriff of the	he County
of Los Angeles, to be l	oy him delivered into	the custody of the Directo	prof Corrections at the	e Call'or-
nia State Prison at Ch	dno.	and the second		
			THIS HINUTE	ORDER WAS
•			ENTE	PFR
	•	Art.		1116
				1
ProbAud LAPDCshr	DMV		CAUL (ES))·1965 ~ {
Co. J. Juv Shor. Psyc.	C GŁ		MIGING C SAYS.	COUNTY CLERK
SherPsyc	Misc:		1	•
	JUDGA	MENT — State Prison	C. K. K	yetter !
•	• •	(Men)	:	

IN THE SUPERIOR COURTHOF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA TY OF LOS ANGELES

13.		Department No	00	·		3///
B	Karch 8		Present Hon	DONALD R	WRIGHT ·	udgلـــ
)K'	THE PEOPLE OF THE S	STATE OF CALIFO	RNIA, v.	20 y+ 21		
. :	JOSEPH A MAZOR			•		

duly pleaded Whereas the said defendant having.. guilty in this court of the crime of ISSUING CHECK WITHOUT SUFFICIENT FUNDS.

Deputy District Attorney Malcom Harris and the Defendant in propria persons, present. Each count: Probation denied. Sentenced as

(Sec 476a PC), a felony, as charged in each of the Counts 1, 2 and 3 of the information

It is Therefore Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed that the said defendant be punished by imprisonment in the State Prison for the term prescribed by law, on said Counts. Sentences as to Counts 1, 2 and 3 are ordered to run CONCURRENTLY with each other.

It is further Ordered that the defendant be remanded into the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Los Angeles, to be by him delivered into the custody of the Director of Corrections at the California State Prison at Chino.

h ma blate 112501 at 5	•
ENTERLO	This Minute Order has been
MAR 1 3 1953 2. A. Color State of the System Country Color of the System Country Color of the System Country Country Color of the System Country Color of the System Color of the Co	WILLIAM G. SHARP County Clerk and Clerk of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County pi Los Angeles. By The County of the County pi Los Angeles. Deputy
Ait for sun it is to the JUDGME	NT -State Prison
The state of the s	(Man)
The Deputy	∀ !!

i, 's is to certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the ort., and on tile in my office.

SUMMARY OF SENTENCE DATA

	Credits Forfeited	Pestored Cedits	Addinonal Credita	Dišcharge Date	Parole Effective
CRIME HSF Check 3 Cts CC					Date
er an mental management and an analysis of the contract of the					
			·		į · ·
					· ·
TERM: crim s-14 (1) Cts (100)		م م د د د			
MOUNTY Lar mariles		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1 1-5
County Case No.: 25;121				! !	
JUDGE: J R Wright		-			
2/19/63 RECID FOC CHINO			,		i
MAY 61985 CHARLE TO CARLAST					
AN 15 1964 T.F.A. 3 YES. GRANT LOST / YES. C.	-7	·		7-1/:65	1-12.
¥ 11 1964	1 - 10 Com	Off PAR.		; · · · ·	İ
Ellery Total Williams	! · · · ·			!	
The state of the s	i			<u> </u>	<u> </u>
-65 PV WMT REC'D ROC CIM					
15.65 RECTO HOS CHE	:	<u> </u>		<u>.</u>	
13.65 Parole Canceled	į - · · · · · · ·	: · ·			
				<u>.</u>	<u> </u>
3 186 PC all Cis. Rev. Den. F. J.	<u>.</u>		:		ļ · · · ·
and the second s				<u>.</u>	;
18 Alexander St. Lander Commence and Commenc	:	ļ	i	<u>;</u>	· ,
ATFA SELL COMPTE LOCATION		1	: <u></u>		之。 汉
Lout 3 Tabane Forther	1	ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	المناسبة
-19-67 Action of Mais Allist add	•	•	· •	i · ·	<u> </u>
	•			ļ	<u> </u>
-226 67 Jacobed Armond & World				<u> </u>	1
Lar Bernardine Va Dag Is in	A	ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
T-19-63 Comerce & Beneficial A Tradition	ļ	 	<u></u>	<u> </u>	
-20-69 OTC + RET (Some Date)	ļ	<u> </u>	•	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	-	<u></u>	<u> </u>	 	<u> </u>
	<u> </u>	ļ	<u> </u>	 	<u>i</u>
	<u> </u>			!	ļ
	<u> </u>	! -			!
,	1	<u> </u>	j		;
	1	1:		<u> </u>	·
	i i		<u>.</u>	<u> </u>	
)	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
				<u> </u>	<u> </u>
		1		i	:

6mo-14 02 PT TERM: COUNTY: ios Angeles County Case No.: 3047.75 JUDGE-D. J. Villians 17/65 - TPV-WIT RECID RGC CIL 7/15/65 PEGID RIC CHE JAN 18 HAR CTRFA 5 was con la 4 3 cts TFA 5 in 2 CCWPT Granted last 32, is an 5-19-67 action of allenta 12 oder 5-22-67. -22-67 Pariled Rivereide Un. 1 San Bernarding Co. Praces IF my PAROLE SUSPENDED-RETURN TO CLAY COMPRESENTED FOR REVOCATION PROCESSINGS UNDEREL MAY 2 1969 O.PUR! 0-0.3 7-7-79 :5-5-69 PV_TFT RECID RGC CIM 5-15-69 REC'D RGC CNF

17-67 CF V CLES-1552 REV Con = 6.11.11 / F. W. J.

JUL 1 '69 nee'd SCC Orient 8-12-68 Reed CAJE-West 9-22-69 OTC4 Rex. (writ 9-29-69 07e 0: Rit. L 9-30-69 11-20-69 3-10-7 11-19-69 ATKFA TOUS COUPT. Drule par eff- 3-10-70 12-12-69 Dep Recig aid Lan Burnardine DEC SO HEY CIN 2-13-10 adies a aller III F. Dear here 3-10-70 to 3-15-70 2.15.70 Paroled Brieroids Unit Jale. JAN 8 1971 PAROLE SUSPENDED-RETURN TO CIM 2 3575 SY/ 10/2 1012 1-14-71 PU TET REED \$60 0107 1.25-71 REED EGG CMF 5 1971 PNGCts 123456,1,2910,11. Facts 5,67 11. Cto 3, 8,10 Culmitted, eto1,2,4,9 alom Rew ben. I.t. 771 RR Cal A-77153-A MAZOR, Joseph Summary of Sentence Data

65

ADULT AUTHORI

EXCERPT FROM MINUTES OF MEETING HELD ON THE ABOVE DATE FROM OFFICIAL RECORDS ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF THE ADMINSTRATIVE OFFICER AT SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA. HELD AT LOS ANGELES (PACS MEETING)

TO RECT IT MAY CONCERN:

James H./Hoover, Member; Robert Del Pesco, AA Present were: Rep.; Actions reviewed and concurred in by: Manley J. Bowler, Hember

* * * * * * * * * * * PAROLES SUSPENDED - RETURN TO PRISON ORDERED:

The Parole and Community Services Division presented reports in writing in each of the below-listed cases (these reports are now on file in the office of the Adult Authority at Sacramento), charging that the below-named prisoners had willfully violated the terms and conditions of their paroles.

The action in each of the following listed cases was suspended and return to prison ordered for revocation proceedings, for the causes set/forth in the report of which this order is a part."

A 77153 A MAZOR, Noseph A. (RIV 2)

Due cause being shown by the Parole and Community Services Division, it is hereby ordered that the paroles heretofore granted the above-named and numbered prisoners be suspended uron the grounds that the alove-named and numbered parolees have violated the terms and conditions of their paroles as more particularly set forth in the Parole and Community Services Division charges which are made a part of this order.

It is further ordered, that the Parole and Community Services Division, /shall return said prisoners to the custody of the Firector of Corrections to abide further action of the Adult Authoriti.

It is further ordered in accordance with Resolution 171 adopted Ly the Adult Authority on Barch C. 1951, that the above-listed prisoners who have terms fixed at less than the maximum shall be refixed at the maximum until further order of the Authority.

In the event any of said prisoners shall be found in any State other than California, an application for a requisition for the return of said prisoners is hereby authorized and the Chief or Denuty Chief, Parole and Community Services Division, is hereby authorized to execute such application for and on behalf of the Adult Authority.

The affirmative votes of: James H. Hoover, Hember; Robert Del Pesco, AA Rep.; Actions reviewed and concurred in by: Manley J. Bowler, dember

(Signed) 30SEPH A. SPANCLER మ్ము Administrative Officer

ATTEST

ADULT ATHORITY

Heeting of

EXCERPT FROM MINUTES OF MEETING HELD ON THE ABOVE DATE FROM OFFICIAL RECORDS ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF THE ADMINSTRATIVE OFFICER AT SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA.

THE ADMINSTRATIVE OFFICER AT SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA.
HIGH AT CALIFORNIA MEDICAL FACILITY-RECEPTION GUIDANCE CENTER
TO UNOT IT MAY CONCERN:

Present were: Marren Ballachey; Frank O'Brien; Actions reviewed and concurred in by: Manley J. Powler; Daniel R. Lopez

ORDER OF THE ADULT AUTHORITY'S MARCH 1971 PAROLE VIOLATOR CALENDAR

IT APPEARING THAT THE following named and numbered inmates, having been duly charged with wilfully violating the terms and conditions of their paroles and Tickets of Leave, and the Chief State Parole Officer having presented written charges with recommendations that the paroles heretofore granted to said impates be suspended, cancelled, and/or revoked and it further appearing that written copies of the charges, notices of time off hearings, and notices of consideration of revocation of all or a nortion of credits earned or to be earned, have been duly served in all cases; and the Adult Authority, having considered each case, following the submission of crall and documentary evidence supporting such charges of parole violations, finds that the following inmates have violated the terms and conditions of their paroles and Tickets of Leave.

IT IS THEREFORE MICERED THAT the paroles heretofore granted are becely revoled and/or the credits earned or to be carned by Each of the below-named and numbered inmates, wader Section 2000 and 2001 of the Ienal Code, shall be, and herely are forfitted, and the specific charges as stated by the Chieff State Parole Officer are made a part of the revocation and/or the forfeiture of credits in the manner bereintelow set forth opposite the inmates' respective names:

1 77153 A MAZOP, Jeseph A.

C L

E D

(PV TFT 1-14-71) Plead not guilt to counts 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,0,0,10, 11. Found guilty of counts 5,6,7,11. Counts 3,8,10 submitted for additional information. Counts 1,2,4,9 dismissed. Revoked. Denied. Place on July 1971 RR Calendar.

The affirmative votes of: Warren Callachey; Frank C ..rien; Actions reviewed and concurred in by: Manley J. Bowler; Daniel R. Lorez

(Signed)

L. MORERTSON, Correctional Counselor II

A T T E S T March 5, 1971

ATTEST

April 7, 1971

JOSEPH A. SPANSLEP Administrative Officer

MARKET F

COC-244A

State of California

April 20, 1971 EXCERPT FROM MINUTES OF MEETING HELD ON THE ABOVE DATE FROM OFFICIAL RECORDS ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF

THE ADMINSTRATIVE OFFICER AT SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA.

JELD AT BACRAMENTO (BRECHTL MEETING)

TO MICH HAY CONCERN:

Ourtis Lynum, Vice-Chairman; Leland M. Edman, Present werd: Member

MASOR, Joseph A. (CIM)

Parole violation charges 3 and 10 in report dated December 16, 1970 dismissed. Found sailty charge /B.

EY TED

The -affirmative votes of:

Curtis Lynum, Vice-Chairann Loland A. Diman, Momber

(Eignel)

JOURPH A. SPANGLER Adminiturative Officer

ATTEST Aprel 20, 1771

ATTEST

May 7, 1971

JOSEPH A. SPANGLER Administrative Officer

CENTIFICATION

I hereby certify that my name is D. H. Francisco and that I am employed in the Capacity of Records Officer at the California Medical Facility at Vacaville, California, an institution of the California Department of Corrections; by virtue of such capacity I am custodian of the official records of said institution; that the attached documents bearing the official seal of the Department of Corrections are true and correct photocopies of the official records of said institution for:

JOSEPH A. MAZOR A-77155-A

Done at Vacaville California, County of Solano,
California on this 6th day of April , 1971

A. A. Brancisco

D. H. FRANCISCO RECORDS ÓFFICER III