

McCoy 2/4/78

P.I.C. 11a

-he said that at the State Dept they had asked about the decision and McCoy's Ambassador had said that we can't speculate on that as the case is in court and the attorneys are reputable

-he said the Judge Bishop is a hard nosed reputable judge so there is no problem

-he said they had just asked because if the case goes on and on they want to know how to answer

Elizabeth Powers will be leaving to go to Saudi Arabia in May and James Heneke will replace her (McCoy doesn't know James Heneke)

-I asked if he had told the State Dept about Jonestown and how no-one was held against their will and how people were happy etc, he said he had done so in great detail and we would be getting his first report (thru the freedom of info)

OI asked about the 2nd report and he said you have to apply again under the freedom of info act for each report

-he said he went so far as to tell the State Dept (and he said we could quote him on this) that he didn't feel there was any use of anyone saying ~~xxxxxxx~~ someone ~~xx~~ was held against their will and the US embassy checking on it as any more of this checking, ~~xxxxxxx~~ and PT could charge them with harassment as he took countless people aside and talked to them

-he said what he has gone thru with us is not unusual (in terms of people being worried about their children), he said he went thru the same thing in Israel when the war was on and American parents were worried they'd get hurt, and in Turkey and Yugoslavia/ young people travel and don't notify their parents for months - in fact he said he went thru "triple" what I went thru with you

-he said that they have to check somewhat because there was a TV documentary called "Passport to the unknown" which showed that the govt was not active in looking for people

AMERICAN INVOLVEMENT

-he said it was only justified when it's discrimination simply because someone is an American

-or it's a criminal case and there is physical brutality

-he said there has been a problem in certain countries (not Guyana) where there is the Neopolitanic law (where someone is guilty until proven innocent) and they can sit in jail for 1 or 2 years with no trial (like France, Bolivia and Ecuador) - drug smugglers are often the ones charged but he felt they still should have a right to a trial

~~xxxxx~~

-when questioned by the State Dept he would say "I'm not in a position to say what we'd do in this decision", the State Dept wants to know what will happen too?

this is strange

90 CONGRESSMAN WHO STOEN WROTE AND WHICH ONES WERE "INTERESTED" IN THE CASE AND WROTE

-interest didn't mean they were pro or con but they inquired

Hyakawa wouldn't touch it, he said it's a case for the courts to deal with (we should write him our thanks for his objectivity, and I'm sure this is impressive to McCoy because Hyakawa he thinks was admirable for taking on the school system (said he had guts) when it was out of hand

Hatfield expressed an interest as did McCloskey

Lugar from Indiana expressed interest

but most of those Congressmen who wrote (actually their staff aids responded) not the congressmen themselves

Clarke from Iowa, Church from Idaho (Senator), Cranston, Hark Drellums, Ryan, the Congressman from Oregon (he didn't know the name), possibly Vice President Mondale but he isn't sure about that

P. I. C. 7011.6

#8 - Mission Village Supplies

1. Jello
2. Old Jewelry
3. Plastic Bags
4. Insulation Tape
5. 18 bars Irish Spring Soap
6. 2 Bed Spreads
7. 2 Pillow Cases
8. 5 Roll
9. 5 Towels
10. 12 Sheets

McCoy 2/4/78 pg 2

P. I. C. // C

-Stoen claims the court order (Calif) is not being observed but McCoy told him that Calif. law is not even observed in Nevada or in another State and he pointed this out to Hawes who demanded that McCoy go in and take the child out
-he almost came to blows with Hawes and told him, "I'm not your employee, I'M not your agent"
-Hawes threatened McCoy and said he'd bring Congressmen in, McCoy said, "you can bring in the whole House of Representatives if you want to"

-some countries will make an agreement called "Letters Rogatory" to honor the laws from another country as binding, but that usually relates to criminal activity (like terrorism)

re the precedent of other countries not giving custody to someone in the US if the child lived in this country

~~he said Israel never gave xx custody back because it meant another Israeli soldier, he didn't want to be quoted on this but it was only Hungary that gave the child back~~

McCoy is going to replace Frank Tuminia

-Tuminia sent a file to McCoy with our letters in it (Laura Johnstons) etc
-after his Washington job, he'll go to Yugoslavia or Poland

YUGOSLAVIA

-he said it was a beautiful country, people are real nice "I never met a real communist there" (he feels the system of self-management in Yugoslavia is close to capitalism even tho the people there call themselves socialists)

-some people live in big apts and drive Mercedes and have boats and there is a wealthy class and a poor class and ~~xxxxxxx~~ there is unemployment (but the Yugoslavians claim the people are lazy) and there is welfare system

-16% unemployment

-there is private ownership of housing/ they allow for free expression

-McCoy is very impressed with the Yugoslavians/ however he did say the police was oppressive

-and if you say anything against Tito you can be arrested for 2 yrs, Tito's wife is on house arrest for meddling with some group

-he said the US has a lot of joint investments in Yugoslavia, has 3 nuclear reactors there

-Dow Chemical has a joint venture with US, 600 million

-in Yugoslavia there is even a US Chamber of Commerce

-US gives military aid to Yugoslavia and thinks of it as very important to our Eastern European policy as they are mavericks and are non-aligned

Bollers (Supreme Ct Judge) told McCoy that what's best for the child will be the decision

-however if you want to prove abandonment it's up to Joyce Touchette because since she was awarded custody, she is the primary defendant and will one day have to appear in court to testify about the abandonment

re Guyana going to the wall on this case

-he can't see where any country would be involved in a foreign policy dispute (where none of their own people were involved)

~~I asked if this could be a "foreign policy dispute" and he said he doesn't think it would be a foreign policy dispute if we don't pressure and the next pressure all comes from their side (Stoen's lobbying) but I'm not sure about this~~

-he said that he and his ambassador have repeated and repeated to people that ~~thxxx~~ it is American citizens on both sides

-only if it were a blatant denial of rights would there be a problem

P. I. C. H. d.

- he said that even if the Amer. govt interceded on the Part of ~~XXXX~~ us, no-one could prove political intervention (I thought this was suspect tho I thought he said intervened on Stoen's part - and I don't think they would intervene on our part anyway), but I objected to this stating we'd know if they intervened on Stoen's part because we have people who are progressive who would tell us (he said you couldn't prove it either way)
- we said in the outside waiting room what we were to say about the fact that it seemed they were trying (Amer. Embassy) but we didn't think they had enough administrative power (or whatever the wording Paula gave me) but we'd probably have to die anyway/ Mike and Debbie forgot the instruction and when I sat down after our appt to get my stuff together and say that, they said "can't we discuss this in the car" but Marcie picked up on it (he didn't leave us alone in his office so we couldn't say it there, and will probably have to do it again).

- Luckhoo (3/4/78 Sharon, Mike, Marcie) *(he only could give us 5 minutes - had to leave to court)*
- re arrest order/ the judge still hasn't written the decision/ Luckho asked the judge
- the arrest order is applicable until the orders are rescinded/ there could still be a need to bring JJ to court (until the order is rescinded) but
- re the immigration thing and their being told not to let the child leave the country, he said that legally there would be no problem with the child leaving/ he doesn't know of any statement to immigration that he shouldn't leave
- re precedent McCoy told us about to keep the child where it is, he said the child takes the domicile of his father (Stoen is the legal father), Old English law
- the child is not nationalized Guyanese so that doesn't apply ~~XXXXXX~~
- no restriction on John traveling

Abandonment ~~is~~ there a good case as McCoy said
 -nothing can be done till the judge makes his decision on the basis of procedure/ ~~a~~ all Grace has to do is say she wants her child back and it isn't considered abandonment ~~is~~ (tho this doesn't mean Grace has a good case), but if abandonment was asserted, JJ would have to come in and make the case

- Luckho still thinks we have an excellent case but still asserts that he doesn't think we will win before this judge but will win in appeals
- re Guyana not going to the wall over the child and yet saying that JJ or Joyce wouldn't be arrested/ he said McCoy hasn't a clue about the case, said we shouldn't go to a million people when we have an attorney (Luckho), we said mc Coy had volunteered this info
- he said that McCoy should then convey to the PM his views
- he said Chief Justice Bollers was very impressed with our show and that Bollers sympathies are with us
- he said we (Luckho said) we shouldn't lobby, this month he feels we will get a decision
- I asked if the judge had mentioned the letters ~~we~~ that Stoens wrote to the judge and he said no
- Luckho hasn't talked to the PM about visiting Jonestown

P. J. A. 7a

McCoy 2/4/78

- he said that at the State Dept they had asked about the decision and McCoy's Ambassador had said that we can't speculate on that as the case is in court and the attorneys are reputable
- he said the Judge Bishop is a hard nosed reputable judge so there is no problem
- he said they had just asked because if the case goes on and on they want to know how to answer
- Elizabeth Foxers will be leaving to go to Arabia in May and James Henke will replace her (McCoy doesn't know James Henke)
- McCoy had told the State Dept about Jonestown and how no-one was held against their will and no people were happy, etc, he said he had done so in great detail and we would be getting his first report (thru the freedom of info)
- I asked about the 2nd report and he said you have to apply again under the freedom of info act for each report
- he said he went so far as to tell the State Dept (and he said we should quote him on this) that he didn't feel there was any use of anyone saying someone was held against their will and the US embassy checking on it as an. more of this checking and PT could charge them with harassment as he took countless people aside and talked to them
- x-he said what he has gone thru with us is not unusual (in terms of people being worried about their children), he said he went thru the same thing in Israel when the war was on and American parents were worried they'd get hurt, and in K. turke, and Australia/ young people travel and don't notify their parents for months - in fact he said he went thru "triple" what I went thru with you
- he said that they have to check somewhat because there was a TV documentary called "Passport to the unknown" which showed that the govt was not active in looking for people

AMERICAN INVOLVEMENT

- he said it was only justified when it's discrimination simply because someone is an American
- or it's a criminal case and there is physical brutality
- he said there has been a problem in certain countries (not Guyana) where there is the Napoleonic law (where someone is guilty until proven innocent) and they sit in jail for 1 or 2 years (its no trial) like France, Bolivia and Ecuador - drug smugglers are often the ones charged but he felt they still should have a right to a trial

xxxxx

- when questioned by the State Dept he would say "I'm not in a position to say what we'd do in this decision", /the State Dept wants to know what will happen too

GO CONGRESSMEN WHO STOLEN NOTES AND WHICH ONES WERE "IN ERRORE" IN THE CASE ADD NOTES

- interest didn't mean they were pro or con but they inquired
- Hyakawa wouldn't touch it, he said it's a case for the courts to deal with (we should write him our thanks for his objectivity, and I'm sure this is impressive to McCoy because Hyakawa he thinks was admirable for taking on the school system (said he had guts) when it was out of hand
- Batfield expressed an interest as did McCloskey
- Lugar from Indiana expressed interest
- but most of those Congressmen who wrote (actually their staff aide responded) not the congressmen themselves
- Clarke from Iowa, Church from Idaho (Senator), Cranston, Kent De. law, ... the Congressman from Oregon (I don't know the name), possibly Vice President Mondale but he isn't sure about that

P.1. A.36

#5 Mission Village Supplies

1. 23 Sheets
2. 50 Head Sweat Bands
3. 1 can oil

P-1-A-3c

-he said that even if the Amer. govt interceded on the part of ~~xxxx~~ us, no-one could prove political intervention (I thought this was suspect tho I thought he said intervened on Stoen's part - and I don't think they would intervene on our part anyway), but I objected to this stating we'd know if they intervened on Stoen's part because we have people who are progressive who would tell us (he said you couldn't prove it either way)

-we said in the outside waiting room what we were to say about the fact that it seemed they were trying (Amer. Embassy) but we didn't think they had enough administrative power (or whatever the word is) to say to me, but we'd probably have to die anyway/ Mike and Debbie forgot the instruction and when I sat down after our appt to get my stuff together and saw that, he said "can't we discuss this in the car" but Marcie picked up on it (he didn't leave us alone in his office so we couldn't say it there, and will probably have to do it again)

Luckhoo (3-7-76 Sharon, Mike, Marcie)

-re arrest order/ the judge still hasn't written the decision/ Luckho asked the judge

-the arrest order is applicable until the orders are rescinded/ there could still be a need to bring JJ to court (until the order is rescinded) but

-re the immigration thing and their being told not to let the child leave the country, he said that legally there would be no problem with the child leaving/ he doesn't know of any statement to immigration that he shouldn't leave

-re precedent McCoy told us about to keep the child where it is, unless it is the child takes the domicile of his father (Stoen is the legal father), via English law

-the child is not naturalized Guyanese so that doesn't apply ~~xxxxxx~~

~~xxxxxxxxxxxx~~
-no restriction on John traveling

Abandonment Is there a good case as McCoy said

-nothing can be done till the judge makes his decision on the basis of procedure/ all Grace has to do is say she wants her child back and it isn't considered abandonment ~~xx~~ (tho this doesn't mean Grace has a good case), but if abandonment was asserted, JJ would have to come in and make the case

-Luckho still thinks we have an excellent case but still asserts that he doesn't think we will win before this judge but will win in appeals

-re Guyana not going to the wall over the child and let say in that JJ or Joyce wouldn't be arrested/ he said McCoy hasn't a clue about the case, said we shouldn't go to a million people and we have an attorney (Luckho), we said McCoy had volunteered this info

-he said that McCoy should then convey to the court this view

-he said Chief Justice Bollers was very impressed with our case and that Bollers sympathies are with us

-he said we (Luckho said) we shouldn't lobby, this month he feels we will get a decision

-I asked if the judge had written the letters we had -toens wrote to the judge and he said no

-Luckho hasn't talked to the PW about visiting Jonestown

P.I.A. J d

- Stoen claims the court order (Calif) is not being observed but McCoy told him that Calif. law is not even observed in Nevada or in another State and he pointed this out to Hawes who demanded that McCoy go in and take the child out
- he almost came to blows with Hawes and told him, "I'm not your employee, I'm not your agent"
- Hawes threatened McCoy and said he'd bring Congressmen in, McCoy said, "you can bring in the whole House of Representatives if you want to"
- some countries will make an agreement called "letters rogatory" to honor the laws from another country as binding, but that usually relates to criminal activity (like terrorism)
- re the precedent of other countries not giving custody to someone in the US if the child lives in this country
- he said Israel never gave xx custody back because it meant another Israeli soldier, he didn't want to be quoted on this but it was only Hungary that gave the child back
- McCoy is going to replace Frank Tumina
- Tumina sent a file to McCoy with our letters in it (Laura Johnston) etc
- after his Washington job, he'll go to Yugoslavia or Poland

YUGOSLAVIA

- he said it was a beautiful country, people are real nice "I never met a real communist there" (he feels the system of self-management in Yugoslavia is close to capitalism even tho the people there call themselves socialists)
- some people live in big apts and drive Mercedes and have boats and there is a wealthy class and a poor class and ~~xxxxxxx~~ there is unemployment (but the Yugoslavians claim the people are lazy) and their welfare system
- 16% unemployment
- there is private ownership of housing/ they allow for free expression
- McCoy is very impressed with the Yugoslavians/ however he did say the police was oppressive
- and if you say anything against Tito you can be arrested for 2 yrs, Tito's wife is on house arrest for meddling with some group
- he said the US has a lot of joint investments in Yugoslavia, has 3 nuclear reactors there
- Dow Chemical has a joint venture with US, 600 million
- in Yugoslavia there is even a US Chamber of Commerce
- US gives military aid to Yugoslavia and thanks of it as very important to our Eastern European policy as they are mavericks and are non-aligned

Bollers (Supreme Ct Judge) told McCoy that what's best for the child will be the decision

- however if you want to prove abandonment it's up to Joyce Boucnette because since she was awarded custody, she is the primary defendant and will one day have to appear in court to testify about the abandonment

re Guyana going to the wall on this case

- we can't see where any country would be involved in a foreign policy dispute (where none of their own people were involved)
- I asked if this could be a "foreign policy dispute" and he said he doesn't think it would be a foreign policy dispute if we don't pressure and the ~~maxi~~ pressure all comes from their side (Stoen's letter), but I'm not sure about this
- he said that he and his ambassador have repeated and re-acted to people that ~~they~~ it is American citizens on both sides
- only if it were a blatant denial of rights would there be a problem