

Phone 415 931-9107

EUGENE CHAIKIN, Attorney-at-Law
Post Office Box 15156 San Francisco, California 94115

B-L-1

December 5, 1976

Paula Adams
Jonestown
Port Kaituma, NWR
Guyana

Dear Paula;

Thought it was time for a note - sparked by yours on the insurance which I just got. I have the insurance all taken care of as far as locating the companies, coverages, costs and an Agent. I sent a memo to you about it, but I guess you never got it. I have gone no further because I have no further instructions. I am assuming that the coverage that we set up when I was there is still in effect. If not please let us know PDQ.

Things here continue to be very arduous. I often look back to my days in Guyana, especially on the farm, with some wistfulness. Somehow, surely a testament to his very great capacity, Jim is managing to build the Guyana Mission, expand and strengthen the movement here, develop an excellent (and well deserved) reputation and public image of the Church, publish what has become a tabloid size newspaper, and still minister to the needs of innumerable of his parishoners. He has become a well recognized leader in the San Francisco community.

Doing all of the things that must be done to keep these projects under weigh, coordinating and arranging priorities, all takes a level of intelligence and concern that is hard for me (still) to comprehend. With him, we are moving on...

Please give my best wishes to everyone down there. Let them know that all up here are working very diligently, in the context of a very complicated situation, to make possible the success of their Mission. We appreciate their work and dedication.

Best regards,

gene

B-1-L-1

B-1-L-2

June 7 1978

The People's Temple
Box 15156
San Francisco
Ca 94115

Dear Sir

I have not received a reply to my letter of April 29 1978 regarding the \$432.72 suit I have had to pay with respect to Brian Davis.

Regarding child support I now attach a schedule showing the amount of \$8625.00 due me as of June 30 1978. Also enclosed again is a copy of the "Stipulation and Settlement Agreement".

I have consulted with the District Attorney and he has told me that this non-payment is a very serious matter and one to be pursued with Mr Davis immediately upon his return to the U.S.

I will look forward to hearing from you with regard to your plans for the payment of this child support, and the \$432.72 suit.

Truly

Marietta F. Davis
Marietta F Davis

*111 Alameda Road
Berkeley CA 94710*

- Copy . Mr Robert Davis, P O Box 893 Georgetown, Guyana, S America
- ✓ People's Temple P O Box 214 Ukiah Ca 95470
- . District Attorney, Family Support Division,
Hall of Justice, Redwood City, Ca
Case No D-25698 ONO DP04

B-1-L-2

THELTON HENDERSON
JOSEPH REMCHO
SANFORD JAY ROSEN

ROSEN, REMCHO & HENDERSON
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
155 MONTGOMERY STREET-15TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94104
TELEPHONE (415) 433-6830

*Carolyn FYI
this + minutes
sent 7/21/77*

B-1-L-3

MEMORANDUM

TO: Prospective Members of the Standing Committee on Delivery of Services to Criminal Defendants, Legal Services Section, State Bar of California

FROM: Sanford Jay Rosen (Legal Services Section Executive Committee Liason)


DATE: July 20, 1977

RE: Membership on Standing Committee

Thank you for your interest in membership on the Standing Committee. You will be pleased to know that there are more than 25 applications for membership. Because membership is limited to 25, we cannot invite each person indicating interest to join the Standing Committee.

To make final selection, I will need a copy of your resume. Please send me a copy as soon as possible.

Thank you for your continued interest in the Standing Committee and its work.


SANFORD JAY ROSEN

B-1-L-3a

PROSPECTIVE MEMBERS 7/20/77

John W. Ellery, Esq.
2222 M Street
Merced, California 95340

Paul Ligda, Esq.
Public Defender of Solano County
Hall of Justice
550 Union Avenue
Fairfield, California 94533

Hal Baron, Esq.
15760 Ventura Blvd., Suite 700
Encino, California 91316

Fred Corbin, Esq.
3971 Goldfinch Street
San Diego, California 92103

James R. Dunn, Esq.
Federal Public Defender
Room 1503 U.S. Courthouse
312 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, California 90012

Carl Jones, Esq.
5140 Crenshaw
Los Angeles, California 90043

Stanley D. Mishook, Assistant Public Defender
San Bernardino County
364 Mt. View Avenue
San Bernardino, California 92415

Adrian K. Panton, Esq.
10925 S. Central Avenue, #212
Los Angeles, California 90059

Ray G. Clark, Esq.
5140 Crenshaw Blvd.
Los Angeles, CA 90043

Mike Stern, Esq.
Federal Public Defender
312 N. Spring Street
Los Angeles, California 90012

Gary G. Campbell, Esq.
State Court of Appeals
350 McAllister Street
San Francisco, California 94102

Philip Martin, Esq.
15 Boardman Place
San Francisco, California 94103

Arthur M. Schaffer, Esq.
133 Front Street
San Diego, California 92102

B-1-L-36

Teri 5-1-74a

Personally, I think we could have gotten the same info from Chaikin in 1/2 hour or less. None of this is new. We paid for Chaikin's trip to Washington DC tax nonprofit corp. tax seminar in February 1977, and it wasn't cheap! Here we go again, and either Bentzman is just being the traditional paternalistic attorney, not dealing in specifics because he is not talking on the same level, or he's a lazy schmuck. I would bet on the latter, and he's probably reluctant to do anything more till we ask for specific work to be done. That's how they are at my office - we are paying for a flight to Washington, not legal advice...

XXX Our problem is that we don't know what specific questions to ask....

June
1/24

B-1-L-4a

Internal Revenue Service

District
Director

Peoples Temple of the Disciples
of Christ
P.O. Box 15023
San Francisco, CA 94115

Attn: Jean F. Brown

Department of the Treasury

P.O. Box 231, Los Angeles, Calif. 90053

Person to Contact:
J.W. Brannan
Telephone Number:
(213) 688-4181
Refer Reply to:
400:DO:JWB
Date:

B-1-L-4c

JAN 20 1978

Dear Ms. Brown:

This letter is in response to your request concerning Internal Revenue Service files which may contain information relative to your organization.

Please be advised that we have checked the indices of the Los Angeles District Intelligence Gathering and Retrieval System along with our Audit, Collection, and Exempt Organization files. These indices failed to disclose any record of your organization.

Sincerely,

J.W. Brannan

J.W. BRANNAN
District Disclosure Officer
Los Angeles District

B-1-L-4c

April 29 1978

From Marietta Davis
111 Arundel Road
Burlingame
Ca 94010

To The People's Temple
Box 15156
San Francisco
Ca 94115

Dear Sir

Attached is a copy of summons received by me yesterday regarding money taken and kept by Brian Davis from his San Mateo Times Newspaper route. At the time of this incident Brian was in my temporary care, after running away from the San Francisco Temple.


As I do not have this money to repay this debt, and as it is not my responsibility but that of Brian and his father. Both father and son are now in Guyana. Brian has always been the financial responsibility of his father, as evidenced by the attached dissolution documents.

As this must be resolved by May 11, please give this matter your immediate attention and forward the sum of \$432.72 (which includes all costs), plus \$25.00 already paid by me on account, copy receipt attached, to me by return mail for settlement to the collection company, or let me know if you wish the collection agency and attorneys to contact you direct.

I should add that in both the Stipulation and Settlement agreement filed March 21, 1973 and the Interlocutory Judgement filed April 19 1973, (copies attached) Mr Davis agreed to pay \$75.00 per month per child for Robert and Cary. These payments have never been made to me, but payments of \$25.00 per month have been received by me from Dec 1977 to date. Before that, small sporadic payments were received by me very infrequently. There is, therefore, a large backlog of child support payments due to me.

I look forward to your immediate reply.

Truly


Marietta Davis

Copy Mr Robert Davis P O Box 893 Georgetown, Guyana, S America

Copy People's Temple P O Box 214 Ukiah Ca 95470
All copy documents attached.

B-1-L-5a

B-1-L-56

19 Jan 78 1-88471257

San Mateo Series

125 AND 00 CTS

NON-NEGOTIABLE

*111 Laurel Road
Burlington*

B-1-L-56


NAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY SAMUEL S. STEVENS 1611 BOREL PLACE SUITE 7, TEL. 573-0448 SAN MATEO, CA 94402 ATTORNEY FOR Plaintiff	TELEPHONE NO	FOR COURT USE ONLY <div style="text-align: right; font-size: 1.2em;">B-1-L-5c</div>
MUNICIPAL COURT, COUNTY OF SAN MATEO SOUTHERN JUDICIAL DISTRICT, SAN MATEO BRANCH 800 NORTH HUMBOLDT STREET SAN MATEO, CALIFORNIA 94401		
PLAINTIFF THE STORES COLLECTION BUREAU OF SAN MATEO COUNTY INC.		
DEFENDANT ARNOLD WOODS, FIRST DCJ. AND SECOND DCJ.		
SUMMONS (MULTI-PURPOSE)	<input type="checkbox"/> 5 Day Responsive Time (Unlawful Detainer) <input type="checkbox"/> 10 Day Responsive Time (State Housing Law) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 30 Day Responsive Time (Specify):	CASE NUMBER. <div style="text-align: right; font-size: 1.2em;">41287</div>

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 5 10 30 days. Read the information below.

¡AVISO! Usted ha sido demandado. El Tribunal puede decidir contra Ud. sin audiencia a menos que Ud. responda dentro de 5 10 30 días. Lea la información que sigue.

1. TO THE DEFENDANT: A civil complaint has been filed by the plaintiff against you. (See footnote*)
 - a. If you wish to defend this lawsuit, you must, within 5 10 30 days after this summons is served on you, file with this court a written pleading in response to the complaint. (If a Justice Court, you must file with the court a written pleading or cause an oral pleading to be entered in the docket in response to the complaint, within the time specified above. Unless you do so, your default will be entered upon application of the plaintiff, and this court may enter a judgment against you for the relief demanded in the complaint, which could result in garnishment of wages, taking of money or property or other relief requested in the complaint.
 - b. If you wish to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your written response, if any, may be filed on time.

Dated: MAR 27 1978 RUSSELL M. WOODS Clerk, By CAROLYN WEBSTER, Deputy



2. NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served
 - a. As an individual defendant.
 - b. As the person sued under the fictitious name of:
 - c. On behalf of:

Under: CCP 416.10 (Corporation) CCP 416.60 (Minor)
 CCP 416.20 (Defunct Corporation) CCP 416.70 (Incompetent)
 CCP 416.40 (Association or Partnership) CCP 416.90 (Individual)
 Other:
d. By personal delivery on (Date).....

*The word "complaint" includes cross-complaint, "plaintiff" includes cross-complainant, "defendant" includes cross-defendant, singular includes the plural and masculine includes feminine and neuter. A written pleading, including an answer, demurrer, etc., must be in the form required by the California Rules of Court. Your original pleading must be filed in this court with proper filing fees and proof that a copy thereof was served on each plaintiff's attorney and on each plaintiff not represented by an attorney. The time when a summons is deemed served on a party may vary depending on the method of service. For example, see CCP 413.10 through 415.40.

B-1-L-5c

ENDORSED
FILED
MUNICIPAL COURT
SOUTHERN JUDICIAL DISTRICT
SAN MATEO BRANCH
MAR 27 1978

By CAROLYN WEBSTER
Deputy

C.L.S.A.

1 Samuel S. Stevens, Attorney at Law
2 1611 Borel Place, Suite 7
3 San Mateo, CA 94402
4 Tel. (415) 573-0448
5 Attorney for the Plaintiff

6 MUNICIPAL COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN MATEO
7 SOUTHERN SMD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

8 THE STORES COLLECTION BUREAU OF SAN)
9 MATEO COUNTY, INC.,)

Plaintiff,)

NO. 44257

10 vs.)

11 MARIE MA DAVIS,)

COMPLAINT FOR MONEY

12)
13)
14 FIRST DOE AND SECOND DOE,)

15 Defendants.)

16
17 Plaintiff alleges:

18 1. Plaintiff does not know the true names of the Defend-
19 ants sued herein as FIRST DOE AND SECOND DOE, and prays leave to
20 insert same when ascertained together with proper allegations to
21 charge them in the premises.

22 2. Plaintiff herein is a California corporation and is
23 duly licensed as a collection agency.

24 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges
25 that the obligation herein was contracted in and payment was to
26 have been made in the ^{Southern SMD} Judicial District, County of
San Mateo, State of California.

B-1-L-52

1 4. Defendants are not residents of the ^{Southern Dist.} Judicial
2 District, County of San Mateo, State of California. This action is
3 not subject to the provisions of Sections 1812.10 or 2984.4 of the
4 Civil Code. B-1-L-5e

5 5. Within four years last past, Defendants became indebted
6 to Plaintiff's assignor, hereinafter named, in the sum of \$371.72
7 as and for a balance due on a book account for goods and services
8 sold and delivered to Defendants at their own special instance and
9 request, which sum they agreed to pay.

10 6. Demand has been made for payment of said sum but Defen-
11 dants have failed and refused, and still fail and refuse, to pay
12 said sum and the whole sum is due, owing and unpaid together with
13 interest from and after 1-4-78.

14 7. Prior to the commencement of this action, the within
15 claim was assigned by San Mateo Limes to Plaintiff,
16 herein and said Plaintiff is the holder and owner of said claim.

17 AS AND FOR A SECOND AND FURTHER CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST
18 DEFENDANTS HEREIN, Plaintiff alleges:

19 1. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the allega-
20 tions of Paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 and 7 of its First Cause of
21 Action as if here set forth in full.

22 2. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges
23 that on 1-4-78 an account was stated in writing as be-
24 tween Plaintiff's assignor and Defendant's upon which stated
25 account of the sum of \$371.78 was agreed upon as the balance
26 due said assignor from Defendants which said sum Defendants then
and there agreed to pay.

B-1-L-5e

1 AS AND FOR A THIRD AND FURTHER CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST
2 DEFENDANTS HEREIN, plaintiff alleges:

3 1. Plaintiff incorporates all allegations of Paragraphs 1, 2
4 3 and 4 of its First Cause of Action as if here set forth in full.

5 2. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges th
6 within four years last past defendants became indebted to plaintiff
7 assignor, hereinafter named, in the sum of \$16.00 as and for a
8 balance due on a book account for goods and services sold and
9 delivered to defendants at their own special instance and request,
10 which sum they agreed to pay.

11 3. Prior to the commencement of this action the within claim
12 was assigned by J. Donald Fleming DDS, D.C. to plaintiff herein and
13 said plaintiff is the holder and owner of said claim and all rights
14 thereunder.

15 AS AND FOR A FOURTH AND FURTHER CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST
16 DEFENDANTS HEREIN, plaintiff alleges:

17 1. Plaintiff incorporates all allegations of Paragraphs 1 and
18 3 of its THIRD Cause of Action as if here set forth in full.

19 2. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges
20 that on 6-10-75 an account was stated in writing as between
21 plaintiff's assignor and defendants upon which stated account the
22 sum of \$ 16.00 was agreed upon as the balance due said assignor
23 from defendants, which said sum defendants then and there agreed to
24 pay.

25
26

B-1-L-5f

May 11/75
4:2.72

5

B-1-L-59

1 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays judgment against Defendants, and
 2 each of them in the sum of \$ 307.72 principal with interest on
 3 said sum at the rate of 7 percent per annum from:
 4 ; 1-4-72 or \$371.72; and from
 5 6-10-75 or \$16.00.
 6
 7 for reasonable attorney fees of \$ _____ together with costs of
 8 suit and such other and further relief as to this Court may seem
 9 meet and proper in the premises.

SAMUEL S. STEVENS

By: *Samuel S. Stevens*
 SAMUEL S. STEVENS
 Attorney for Plaintiff

VERIFICATION

15 The undersigned hereby states that he is the President of
 16 Plaintiff corporation in the above-entitled action; that he has
 17 read the foregoing complaint and knows the contents thereof; that
 18 the same is true of his own knowledge, save as to those matters
 19 therein stated on information and belief, and as to those matters
 20 he believes these to be true.

21 That pursuant to CCP §2015.5, he certifies under penalty of
 22 perjury that the foregoing is true and correct at San Mateo, Calif-
 23 ornia, this 23 day of *March*, 1976.

R. H. O'Neil
 R. H. O'NEIL

B-1-L-59

(ENDORSED)
FILED

MAR 21 1973
MARVIN CHURCH, County Clerk
By: KAZUYO KODAKARI
DEPUTY CLERK

3-1-73 SK

1 Petitioner: M. Davis
2 111...
3 Berkeley, California 94701
4 Telephone: 842-5921

5 Respondent:

8 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

9 In re the Marriage of

10 Petitioner: MARICELA M. DAVIS

No. 16-110

11 and

Stipulation and Settlement

12 Respondent: ROBERT L. DAVIS

Agreement

14 Petitioner and respondent individually state under oath and agreement
15 with this stipulation and

16 IT IS HEREBY AGREED:

Spousal Support

17 Petitioner and respondent waive any claim for spousal
18 support with the understanding that this waiver is final and not
19 subject to modification.

Community Property and Debts

20 1. All items of clothing, furniture and personal effects
21 now in the possession of petitioner or respondent are set aside
22 to the party so possessing the same as his or her sole and
23 separate property. Each party hereby conveys, assigns, transfers,
24 and releases all his or her right, title, and interest in and to
25 any property now in the possession of or standing in the name of
26 the other party to that party.

27 2. Any and all property, real or personal, which may here-
28 after be acquired by petitioner or respondent shall be and remains
the sole and separate property of the party so acquiring the same.

3. Petitioner and respondent shall assume responsibility for
any and all debts incurred in his or her name respectively since the
date of their separation. Neither petitioner nor respondent shall,
at any time hereafter, contract any indebtedness whatsoever in the
name of the other nor cause the same to be charged against the
other party.

Custody of Children

Petitioner and respondent agree to joint legal custody of the

B-1-L-5h

1 Stipulation and Settlement Agreement
2 Dissolution of Marriage
3 Davis and Davis
4 San Mateo County Superior Court No. 168110

B-1-L-5A

4 children from this marriage, namely Robert, Brian, and Cary with
5 the physical custody of Robert and Cary remaining with petitioner
6 and Brian remaining with respondent.

6 Support of Children

7 Respondent agrees to provide support for the children
8 remaining with the petitioner in the amount of seventy five
9 dollars (\$75.00) per month per child, until the age of eighteen.

9 Respondent has carefully read this agreement, fully under-
10 stands its terms, and willingly signs it.

11
12 The foregoing is agreed to by

13
14 Marietta F. Davis
15 MARIETTA F. DAVIS, Petitioner

Robert E. Davis
ROBERT E. DAVIS, Respondent

16 Dated: March 12

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

B-1-L-5;

Name, Address and Telephone Number of Attorney(s)
Marietta F. Davis
111 Arundel
Burlingame, California 94010
Telephone: 342-5921

In pro per:

Attorney(s) for: _____

Space Below (ENDORSE) Mark Only

FILED

APR 19 1973

MAKED BY KAZUYU KODAKARI
by _____
OF TV CL. 6

B-1-L-5j

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

In re the marriage of
Petitioner: MARIETTA F. DAVIS
and
Respondent: ROBERT E. DAVIS

CASE NUMBER
168110

INTERLOCUTORY JUDGMENT OF
DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE

This proceeding was heard on April 5, 1973 before the Honorable W. HOWARD STLEY
(Date)
Department No. 8

The court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent on August 8, 1972 by:
(Date)

- Service of process on that date, respondent not having appeared within the time permitted by law.
- Service of process on that date and respondent having appeared.
- Respondent on that date having appeared.

The court orders that an interlocutory judgment be entered declaring that the parties are entitled to have their marriage dissolved. This interlocutory judgment does not constitute a final dissolution of marriage and the parties are still married and will be, and neither party may remarry, until a final judgment of dissolution is entered.

The court also orders that, unless both parties file their consent to a dismissal of this proceeding, a final judgment of dissolution be entered upon proper application of either party or on the court's own motion after the expiration of at least six months from the date the court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent. The final judgment shall include such other and further relief as may be necessary to a complete disposition of this proceeding, but entry of the final judgment shall not deprive this court of its jurisdiction over any matter expressly reserved to it in this or the final judgment until a final disposition is made of each such matter.

Both parties ^{agreed} ~~agree~~ to joint legal custody of the children from this marriage: Robert, Brian and Cary, with physical custody of Robert and Cary remaining with petitioner and Brian remaining with respondent. Respondent agrees to provide support for the children remaining with petitioner in the amount of 75.00 to be paid on approximately the 10th and 25th of each month, until age of eighteen years. All property, real and personal, has been equally distributed between both parties. The amount of 75.00 per month is for each child.

dated April 18, 1973 W. HOWARD STLEY
Judge of the Superior Court

Form Adopted by Rule 1287 of
Judicial Council of California
Effective January 1, 1970

INTERLOCUTORY JUDGMENT OF
DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE

B-1-L-5j 219-7

MARVIN A. BURNETT
ATTORNEY AT LAW
SUITE 222
4201 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90010
WEBSTER 7-4422

B-1-L-6

March 29, 1978


Mrs. Essie Mae Towns
c/o Chaikin
P. O. Box 15156
San Francisco, California 94115

Dear Mrs. Townes:

I have now opened an escrow for the sale of the house previously owned by your mother. However, the title company has advised us that title cannot be passed based upon a Power of Attorney from you which has neither been acknowledged before a Notary Public or before the American Consul. I therefore enclose a new such Power of Attorney. I realize from your letter that apparently this presents a very difficult situation, but unless we can have the signed Power, the deal will fall through and the property will be lost for taxes over a period of years. I hope that under the circumstances, you will be able to have this taken care of as soon as possible.

As you will notice, this time I have attached a space for two acknowledgments, one before the American Consul and an alternate before a Notary Public. Either one of these should be sufficient without the other.

Very truly yours,


MARVIN A. BURNETT

MAB/et

B-1-L-6