

## MAJOR LEGAL PROBLEMS

### 1. APOSTOLIC CORP.

THIS MAY BE A SIGNIFICANT PROBLEM IF AUDITED BECAUSE OF THE MINGLING OF CHURCH AND APOSTOLIC FUNDS, AND OF INSUFFICIENT RECORD KEEPING, AND THE PERSONALITIES INVOLVED. RECORDS NEED TO BE BUILT AND A TAX RETURN FILED FOR 1976 AND 1977 (2 months). WE COULD BE FACING, AFTER AUDIT, A TAX BILL OF UP TO \$50,000 DEPENDING ON HOW THE SITUATION IS CONSTRUED BY THE TAX AGENCY. WE WILL FILE A NO TAX DUE RETURN BASED UPON OUR VIEW, AND THEN WILL JUST HAVE TO WAIT AND SEE IF IT IS AUDITED. THE BIGGEST PROBLEM WILL BE TO GET THIS TO COMPLETE IT.

### 2. SOCIAL SECURITY

THERE IS THE POTENTIAL THAT THEY WILL TRY TO MAKE A CASE TO REDUCE OUR PEOPLES SS1 / DIS CHECKS BY  $\frac{1}{3}$  BECAUSE OF COMINGLING OF FUNDS - SUBSIDY. THEY WOULD HAVE TO DO A LOT OF INVESTIGATION. AS OF NOW THEY ARE COOPERATIVE WITH US, AND IN FACT OUR

OUTSTANDING BACK CHECKS HAVE BEEN  
REDUCED BY 2/3 IN THE LAST 30 DAYS.  
OF COURSE, THE LONGER OUR COMMUNAL  
STRUCTURE IS OPERATED, THE LONGER OUR  
EXPOSURE TO INVESTIGATION, ETC.

### 3. LIFE CARE

WITH RESPECT TO PERSONS OVER 60 years  
OF AGE, ANY AGREEMENT (THIS CAN BE QUITE  
INFORMAL) TO PROVIDE CARE FOR MORE THAN  
1 YEAR REQUIRES A LICENSE AND ETC. I AM  
SURE THESE RULES APPLY TO OUR SITUATION.  
THERE WILL NOT BE AN INVESTIGATION UNLESS  
SOME SENIOR WHO DONATED A HOME, OR  
SUBSTANTIAL FUNDS, COMPLAINS. IF WE DO  
HAVE A COMPLAINT MY ADVICE WOULD BE  
TO OFFER TO RETURN THE FUNDS RECEIVED,  
IF ON A HOME SALE (LESS REHABILITATION  
COST). I DOUBT IF THERE WOULD BE ANY  
LEGAL ACTION IF THAT WERE DONE. THE  
BEST REMEDY IS TO GET THOSE WHO  
MADE SUBSTANTIAL DONATIONS HERE, AS  
SOON AS POSSIBLE.

#### 7. TAX - ATTACK ON CHURCH EXEMPTION

THIS WOULD BE A LONG, DRAWN OUT AFFAIR.  
WE WOULD HAVE NOTICE OF INVESTIGATION & ETC.  
ULTIMATELY THEY WILL (IF THEY DECIDE TO  
TERMINATE THE EXEMPTION) DO AN ASSESSMENT  
AND GIVE US NOTICE OF TAX DUE. IF THIS  
COMES ABOUT, THE FIGURE WOULD BE LARGE,  
ON THE ORDER OF 40-50% OF OUR BANKED  
INCOME FOR THE YEARS QUESTIONED. ON  
FAILURE TO PAY, THEY WOULD TIE UP OUR  
ASSETS THEN. I DO NOT HAVE A CLEAR  
UNDERSTANDING HOW LONG THIS WOULD TAKE,  
BUT SURELY AT LEAST A YEAR FROM THE  
START OF FORMAL INVESTIGATION, AND LIKELY  
MUCH LONGER. OUR BILGET PROBLEM IS  
THE LACK OF BOOKS & RECORDS OF OUR  
CHURCH FINANCES ... WE HAVE OUR PLANS TO  
DEAL WITH THAT; AND I'LL START SOME  
SORT OF BOOKS GOING AGAIN. OUR BEST  
APPROACH TO THIS IS TO CONTINUE CHURCH  
OPERATION IN U.S. ON A MODEST BASIS, AND TO  
STAY OUT OF POLITICAL ACTIVITY.

## 5. FINANCIAL ARRANGEMENTS

THERE IS AN IMMEDIATE STORAGE REPORTING ISSUE WITH RESPECT TO ALL WHO CAN EXERCISE "CONTROL". THE NEXT ISSUE IS WITH RESPECT TO ANNUAL TAX RETURNS - 1966 (1971) FOR WHO FILED THEM. NOTING TO BE DONE. THIS IS AN ASSUMED RISK. MY FEELING IS THAT THIS WOULD COME TO OFFICIAL ATTENTION, IF AT ALL, BASED UPON EITHER (1) AN IRS AUDIT OF OUR PT. BANK ACCOUNTS, OR (2) AN INTELLIGENCE AGENCY INVESTIGATION. OUR ONLY FINAL REMEDY FOR THIS, UNLESS WE WANT TO DISCLOSE, IS TO KEEP AFFECTED PERSONS OUT OF THE U.S. I FEEL THAT THE UTILIZATION OF CHURCH FUNDS IN THE AGRICULTURAL PROJECT IS ENTIRELY LEGAL.

## 6. INDIVIDUAL PROBLEMS

PROBATIONERS AND FOSTER CHILDREN. THESE, IN THE SENSE OF OUR ABILITY TO GET THEM OVERSEAS LEGALLY, DEPEND TO A LARGE EXTENT ON OUR COMMUNITY IMAGE. IF THE ESTABLISHMENT FIGGS WE ARE OK. THEY CAN BE WORKED WITH. IT IS ALL DISCRETIONARY. WITH RESPECT TO CHILD CUSTODY,

KK-3-A-23

MARRIAGES AND EX-MARRIAGE PARTNERS  
AS DISCUSSED, THESE CAN ONLY BE  
ARRANGED BY <sup>those</sup> PEOPLE COMING AT THEM-  
SELVES WITH THEIR CHILDREN. WE  
SHOULD HAVE AS LITTLE ORGANIZATIONAL  
CONTACT AS POSSIBLE WITH THESE  
ARRANGEMENTS.

#### 7. THE ROSE ISSUE

I DO NOT KNOW HOW TO EVALUATE THIS,  
BUT I FEEL IT TO BE A REAL PRESENT  
RISK IN VIEW OF WHO MAY BE TALKING.  
IT MAY WELL DEPEND UPON THEIR ABILITY  
TO ESTABLISH HOW THE EVENT OCCURRED  
BECAUSE THAT MUST BE DONE AT TRIAL  
BEFORE ANY ADMISSION OF RESPONSIBILITY CAN  
BE INTRODUCED.

I REMEMBER, IN THIS CONTEXT, THE OLD  
ADAGE APPLICABLE TO LEGAL EXPERTS, THAT  
"FIGURES DON'T LIE, BUT LIARS FIGURE."

KK-3-A-24